

ON FOLDNESS OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY POSITIVE
IMPLICATIVE IDEALS OF BCK-ALGEBRAS

B. Satyanarayana* and R. Durga Prasad

Department of Applied Mathematics Acharya Nagarjuna University Campus
Nuzvid-521201, Krishna (District) Andhra Pradesh, INDIA

*E-mail: drbsn63@yahoo.co.in

(Received on: 17-05-11; Accepted on: 24-05-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we derive the intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold BCK-ideal of X, intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of BCK-algebra X and then discuss the related properties. We show that every intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal which is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold weak commutative ideal is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold implicative ideal. We gave characterizations of intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold Positive implicative ideals and establish the extension property for intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold Positive implicative ideals of BCK-algebras.

Key words: BCK-algebra, Intuitionistic fuzzy ideal, Intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicative ideal.

1. INTRODUCTION:

For the general development of BCK-algebras, the ideal theory plays an important role. In 1999, Hung and Chen [5] introduced the notion of n-fold positive implicative ideals. The aim of this paper is to discuss the intuitionistic fuzzification of an n-fold BCK-ideal and n-fold positive implicative ideals of BCK-algebras. We define the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold BCK-ideals, intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideals of BCK-algebras and then discuss the related properties. We show that every intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal which is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold weak commutative ideal is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold implicative ideal. Using level sets, we give characterizations of an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of BCK-algebras. Finally we establish the extension property for intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideals in BCK-algebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES:

First we present the fundamental definitions. By a BCK-algebra (see [8, 9]) we mean an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying the following axioms:

$$(BCK-1) (x * y) * (x * z) \leq (z * y),$$

$$(BCK-2) x * (x * y) \leq y,$$

$$(BCK-3) x \leq x,$$

$$(BCK-4) x \leq y, y \leq x \Rightarrow x = y,$$

$$(BCK-5) 0 \leq x, \text{ for every } x, y, z \in X.$$

We can define a binary relation \leq on X by letting $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$. Then (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set with least element 0 . In any BCK-algebra X the following hold

$$(P1) x * 0 = x,$$

$$(P2) x * y \leq x,$$

$$(P3) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y,$$

$$(P4) (x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y,$$

$$(P5) x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y,$$

$$(P6) x \leq y \Rightarrow x * z \leq y * z \text{ and } z * y \leq z * x, \text{ for every } x, y, z \in X.$$

***Corresponding author: B. Satyanarayana*, *E-mail: drbsn63@yahoo.co.in**

Throughout this paper X will always mean a BCK-algebra unless otherwise specified. A BCK-algebra X is said to be positive implicative if $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$ for every $x, y, z \in X$. A non-empty sub-set I of X is said to be sub-algebra of X if for $x, y \in X \Rightarrow x * y \in X$. A non-empty subset I of X is called an ideal of X if (I_1) $0 \in I$ (I_2) $x * y$ and $y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$ for every $x, y \in X$.

A non-empty sub-set I of X is said to be n -fold BCK-ideal if (I_1) and (I_3) there exists a fixed $n \in X$ such that $(x * y^{n+1}) * z \in I$ and $z \in I \Rightarrow x * y^n \in I$ for every $x, y, z \in X$. A non-empty sub-set I of X is said to be an n -fold positive implicative ideal if (I_1) and there exists a fixed $n \in N$ such that

$$(I_4) (x * y) * z^n \in I \text{ and } y * z^n \in I \Rightarrow x * z^n \in I \text{ for every } x, y, z \in X.$$

For any elements x and y of X , $x * y^n$ denotes $(\dots((x * y) * y) * \dots) * y$ in which 'y' occurs n -times.

Let μ and λ be the fuzzy sets of X . For $s, t \in [0, 1]$ the set $U(\mu; s) = \{x \in X / \mu(x) \geq s\}$ is called upper s -level cut of μ and the set $L(\lambda; t) = \{x \in X / \lambda(x) \leq t\}$ is called lower t -level cut of λ and can be used to the characterizations of μ and λ .

As an important generalization of the notion of fuzzy sets in X , Atanassov [1, 2] introduced the concept of an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS for short) defined by "An intuitionist fuzzy set A in a non-empty set X is an object having the form $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x)) / x \in X\}$, where the function $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\lambda_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denoted the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the degree of non membership (namely $\lambda_A(x)$) of each element $x \in X$ to the set A respectively and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \lambda_A(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$. For the sake of simplicity, we use the symbol form $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ (or) $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ ".

Definition: 2.1 [12] An IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal (IF-ideal) of X , if it satisfies

- (IF-1) $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(0) \leq \lambda_A(y)$
- (IF-2) $\mu_A(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\}$
- (IF-3) $\lambda_A(x) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x * y), \lambda_A(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$

Definition: 2.2 [12] An IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy sub-algebra of X , if it satisfies

- (i) $\mu_A(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$
- (ii) $\lambda_A(x * y) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem: 2.3. [12] Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , if $x \leq y$ in X , then $\mu_A(x) \geq \mu_A(y)$ and $\lambda_A(x) \leq \lambda_A(y)$, that is, μ_A is an order-reversing and λ_A is an order-preserving.

Theorem 2.4 [12] An IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , if for $x, y, z \in X$, $x * y \leq z \Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A(y), \mu_A(z)\}$ and $\lambda_A(x) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(y), \lambda_A(z)\}$.

Definition: 2.5 [13] An IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold implicative

(IFI^n) -ideal of X , if it satisfies

$(IFI^n 1)$ $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(0) \leq \lambda_A(x)$ and there exists a fixed $n \in N$ such that

$$(IFI^n 2) \mu_A(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*(y*x^n))*z), \mu_A(z)\}$$

$$(IFI^n 3) \lambda_A(x) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*(y*x^n))*z), \lambda_A(z)\}, \text{ for every } x, y, z \in X.$$

Definition: 2.6 [13] An IFS $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold commutative ideal (IFCIⁿ –ideal) of X if it satisfies

$$(IFCI^n 1) \mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(0) \leq \lambda_A(x) \text{ and there exist a fixed } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that}$$

$$(IFCI^n 2) \mu_A(x*(y*(y*x^n))) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*z), \mu_A(z)\}$$

$$(IFCI^n 3) \lambda_A(x*(y*(y*x^n))) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*z), \lambda_A(z)\} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Definition: 2.7 [13] An IFS $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold weak commutative ideal (IFWCⁿ –ideal) of X if it satisfies

$$(IFWC^n -1) \mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(0) \leq \lambda_A(x) \text{ and there exists a fixed } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that}$$

$$(IFWC^n -2) \mu_A(y*(y*x^n)) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*(x*y^n))*z), \mu_A(z)\}$$

$$(IFWC^n -3) \lambda_A(y*(y*x^n)) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*(x*y^n))*z), \lambda_A(z)\} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

3. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY n -FOLD BCK-IDEAL OF BCK-ALGEBRAS:

Definition: 3.1. An IFS $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold BCK-ideal of X if it satisfies

$$(BCKI^n 1) \mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(0) \leq \lambda_A(x) \text{ and there exists a fixed } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that}$$

$$(BCKI^n 2) \mu_A(x*y^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*y^{n+1})*z), \mu_A(z)\}$$

$$(BCKI^n 3) \lambda_A(x*y^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*y^{n+1})*z), \lambda_A(z)\} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Proposition: 3.2. Every intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold BCK- ideal of X is an intuitionistic fuzzy deal of X .

Proof: Let $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionist fuzzy n -fold BCK- ideal of X

Put $y = 0$ in (BCKIⁿ 2) and (BCKIⁿ 3) we get

$$\mu_A(x) = \mu_A(x*0^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*0^{n+1})*z), \mu_A(z)\} \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*z), \mu_A(z)\}$$

and

$$\lambda_A(x) = \lambda_A(x*0^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*0^{n+1})*z), \lambda_A(z)\} \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*z), \lambda_A(z)\}.$$

Thus $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

The following example shows that the converse of theorem 3.2 may not be true.

Example: 3.3. Let $X = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers, in which the operation $*$ is defined by $x * y = \max\{0, x - y\}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then X is a BCK-algebra [5, Example 1.3]

Let $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an IFS in X given by $\mu_A(0)=0.8>0.3=\mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(0)=0.1<0.4=\lambda_A(x)$,

for all $x(\neq 0) \in X$. Then A is an IF-ideal of X but $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is not an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-fold BCK-ideal of X , because

$$\mu_A(5*2^2)=\mu_A(1)=0.3<0.8=\mu_A(0)=\min\{\mu_A((5*2^3))*0),\mu_A(0)\}$$

and

$$\lambda_A(5*2^2)=\lambda_A(1)=0.4>0.1=\lambda_A(0)=\max\{\lambda_A((5*2^3))*0),\lambda_A(0)\},$$

we give a condition for an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal to be an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold BCK-ideal.

Proposition: 3.4. Let $A=(X,\mu_A,\lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X. Then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold BCK-ideal of X if and only if it satisfies the following inequalities

$$\mu_A(x*y^n)\geq\mu_A(x*y^{n+1}) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x*y^n)\leq\lambda_A(x*y^{n+1}) \text{ for all } x,y\in X.$$

Proof: Suppose that $A=(X,\mu_A,\lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold BCK-ideal of X

Put $z = 0$ in (BCKIⁿ 2) and (BCKIⁿ 3) we get

$$\mu_A(x*y^n)\geq\min\{\mu_A((x*y^{n+1})*0),\mu_A(0)\}=\min\{\mu_A(x*y^{n+1}),\mu_A(0)\}=\mu_A(x*y^{n+1}) \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_A(x*y^n)\leq\max\{\lambda_A((x*y^{n+1})*0),\lambda_A(0)\}=\max\{\lambda_A(x*y^{n+1}),\lambda_A(0)\}=\lambda_A(x*y^{n+1})$$

Therefore $\mu_A(x*y^n)\geq\mu_A(x*y^{n+1})$ and $\lambda_A(x*y^n)\leq\lambda_A(x*y^{n+1})$ for all $x,y\in X$.

Conversely, suppose that $A=(X,\mu_A,\lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X satisfies the inequalities

$$\mu_A(x*y^n)\geq\mu_A(x*y^{n+1}) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x*y^n)\leq\lambda_A(x*y^{n+1}) \text{ for all } x,y\in X.$$

Using (IF2) and (IF3) we get

$$\mu_A(x*y^n)\geq\mu_A(x*y^{n+1})\geq\min\{\mu_A((x*y^{n+1})*z),\mu_A(z)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_A(x*y^n)\leq\lambda_A(x*y^{n+1})\leq\max\{\lambda_A((x*y^{n+1})*z),\lambda_A(z)\} \text{ for all } x,y,z\in X.$$

Thus $A=(X,\mu_A,\lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold BCK-ideal of X

Corollary: 3.5. Every intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold BCK-ideal $A=(X,\mu_A,\lambda_A)$ of X satisfies the inequalities

$$\mu_A(x*y^n)\geq\mu_A(x*y^{n+k}) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x*y^n)\leq\lambda_A(x*y^{n+k}) \text{ for all } x,y\in X. \text{ and } k\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Proof: Using the proposition 3.4, the proof is straightforward by induction

4. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY n-FOLD POSITIVE IMPLICATIVE IDEALS OF BCK-ALGEBRAS:

Definition: 4.1. An IFS $A=(X,\mu_A,\lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal

(IFPIⁿ - ideal) of X if it satisfies

$$(IFPI^1) \mu_A(0)\geq\mu_A(x), \lambda_A(0)\leq\lambda_A(x) \text{ and there exists a fixed } n\in\mathbb{N} \text{ such that}$$

$$(IFPI^2) \mu_A(x*z^n)\geq\min\{\mu_A((x*y)*z^n), \mu_A(y*z^n)\}$$

$$(IFPI^3) \lambda_A(x*z^n)\leq\max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*z^n), \lambda_A(y*z^n)\} \text{ for all } x,y,z\in X.$$

An intuitionistic fuzzy 1-fold positive implicative ideal is an intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicative ideal

Example: 4.2 Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a BCK-algebra with the following cayley table

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0
2	2	2	0

Define an IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X by $\mu_A(0) = 0.8, \mu_A(1) = 0.7, \mu_A(2) = 0.3$ and

$\lambda_A(0) = 0.1, \lambda_A(1) = 0.2, \lambda_A(2) = 0.4$. Then $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionist fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Theorem: 4.3 Every intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X must be intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Proof: Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionist fuzzy n -fold Positive implicative ideal of X . Put $z = 0$ in (IFPIⁿ 2) and (IFPIⁿ 3) we get

$$\mu_A(x) = \mu_A(x * 0^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x * y) * 0^n), \mu_A(y * 0^n)\} = \min\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\}$$

and

$$\lambda_A(x) = \lambda_A(x * 0^n) \geq \max\{\lambda_A((x * y) * 0^n), \lambda_A(y * 0^n)\} = \max\{\lambda_A(x * y), \lambda_A(y)\}$$

Thus $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

The following example shows that the converse of the Theorem 4.3 may not be true.

Example: 4.4 Let $X = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers, in which the operation $*$ is defined by $x * y = \max\{0, x - y\}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then X is a BCK-algebra ([5], 1.3).

Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an IFS in X given by $\mu_A(0) = 0.4 > 0.1 = \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(0) = 0.1 < 0.4 = \lambda_A(x)$,

for all $x (\neq 0) \in X$. Then A is an IF-ideal of X , but $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is not an intuitionistic fuzzy 2-fold positive implicative-ideal of X , because

$$\mu_A(13 * 5^2) = \mu_A(3) = 0.3 < 0.8 = \mu_A(0) = \min\{\mu_A((13 * 3) * 5^2), \mu_A(3 * 5^2)\}$$

and

$$\lambda_A(13 * 5^2) = \lambda_A(3) = 0.3 < 0.8 = \lambda_A(0) = \max\{\lambda_A((13 * 3) * 5^2), \lambda_A(3 * 5^2)\}$$

Corollary: 4.5. Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of if $x \leq y$ in X , then $\mu_A(x) \geq \mu_A(y)$ and $\lambda_A(x) \leq \lambda_A(y)$, that is, μ_A is an order-reversing and λ_A is an order-preserving.

We give some conditions for an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal to be an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal.

Theorem: 4.6 Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X if and only if it satisfies the inequalities

$$\mu_A((x * z^n) * (y * z^n)) \geq \mu_A((x * y) * z^n)$$

and

$$\lambda_A((x * z^n) * (y * z^n)) \leq \lambda_A((x * y) * z^n) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Proof: Assume that $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X . By Theorem 4.3, that $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Let $x, y, z \in X$ and $a=x*(y*z^n)$ and $b=x*y$.

Since $((x*(y*z^n))*(x*y))*z^n \leq (y*(y*z^n))*z^n$. By Corollary 4.5, we have

$$\mu_A (((x*(y*z^n))*(x*y))*z^n) \geq \mu_A ((y*(y*z^n))*z^n)$$

and

$$\lambda_A (((x*(y*z^n))*(x*y))*z^n) \leq \lambda_A ((y*(y*z^n))*z^n)$$

$$\text{Then } \mu_A ((a*b)*z^n) = \mu_A (((x*(y*z^n))*(x*y))*z^n)$$

$$\geq \mu_A ((y*(y*z^n))*z^n) \quad (\text{by BCK-1})$$

$$= \mu_A ((y*z^n)*(y*z^n)) \quad (\text{by P3})$$

$$= \mu_A (0). \quad (\text{by BCK-3})$$

$$\text{and so } \mu_A ((a*b)*z^n) = \mu_A (0).$$

$$\text{And } \lambda_A ((a*b)*z^n) = \lambda_A (((x*(y*z^n))*(x*y))*z^n)$$

$$\leq \lambda_A ((y*(y*z^n))*z^n) \quad (\text{by BCK-1})$$

$$= \lambda_A ((y*z^n)*(y*z^n)) \quad (\text{by P3})$$

$$= \lambda_A (0). \quad (\text{by BCK-3})$$

$$\text{and so } \lambda_A ((a*b)*z^n) = \lambda_A (0).$$

Using (P3), (IFPIⁿ 2) and (IFPIⁿ 3) we obtain

$$\mu_A ((x*z^n)*(y*z^n)) = \mu_A ((x*(y*z^n))*z^n) = \mu_A (a*z^n)$$

$$\geq \min\{\mu_A ((a*b)*z^n), \mu_A (b*z^n)\}$$

$$= \min\{\mu_A (0), \mu_A (b*z^n)\}$$

$$= \mu_A (b*z^n) = \mu_A ((x*y)*z^n) \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_A ((x*z^n)*(y*z^n)) = \lambda_A ((x*(y*z^n))*z^n) = \lambda_A (a*z^n)$$

$$\leq \max\{\lambda_A ((a*b)*z^n), \lambda_A (b*z^n)\}$$

$$= \max\{\lambda_A (0), \lambda_A (b*z^n)\}$$

$$= \lambda_A (b*z^n) = \lambda_A ((x*y)*z^n)$$

Thus $\mu_A ((x*z^n)*(y*z^n)) \geq \mu_A ((x*y)*z^n)$ and $\lambda_A ((x*z^n)*(y*z^n)) \leq \lambda_A ((x*y)*z^n)$ for all $x \in X$.

Conversely, suppose that $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X satisfies the inequalities

$$\mu_A((x*z^n)*(y*z^n)) \geq \mu_A((x*y)*z^n)$$

and

$$\lambda_A((x*z^n)*(y*z^n)) \leq \lambda_A((x*y)*z^n) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Using (IF-2) and (IF-3) we obtain

$$\mu_A(x*z^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*z^n)*(y*z^n)), \mu_A(y*z^n)\} \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*z^n), \mu_A(y*z^n)\}$$

and

$$\lambda_A(x*z^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*z^n)*(y*z^n)), \lambda_A(y*z^n)\} \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*z^n), \lambda_A(y*z^n)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Thus $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionist fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X .

Proposition: 4.7 Let $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Then A is an Intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X if and only if it satisfies the inequalities

$$\mu_A(x*y^n) \geq \mu_A((x*y)*y^n) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x*y^n) \leq \lambda_A((x*y)*y^n) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Proof: Assume that $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold Positive implicative ideal of X . Put $z = y$ in (IFPIⁿ 2) and (IFPIⁿ 3) we get

$$\mu_A(x*y^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*y^n), \mu_A(y*y^n)\} = \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*y^n), \mu_A(0)\} = \mu_A((x*y)*y^n)$$

$$\lambda_A(x*y^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*y^n), \lambda_A(y*y^n)\} = \max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*y^n), \lambda_A(0)\} = \lambda_A((x*y)*y^n).$$

$$\text{Thus } \mu_A(x*y^n) \geq \mu_A((x*y)*y^n) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x*y^n) \leq \lambda_A((x*y)*y^n), \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

Conversely suppose that $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X satisfies the inequalities

$$\mu_A(x*y^n) \geq \mu_A((x*y)*y^n) \text{ and } \lambda_A(x*y^n) \leq \lambda_A((x*y)*y^n) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Since $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(0) \leq \lambda_A(x)$

$$\text{Now we can prove } \mu_A(x*z^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*z^n), \mu_A(y*z^n)\}$$

$$\lambda_A(x*z^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*z^n), \lambda_A(y*z^n)\}, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

In contrary, there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that

$$\mu_A(x_0*y_0^n) < \min\{\mu_A((x_0*y_0)*y_0^n), \mu_A(y_0*y_0^n)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A(x_0*y_0^n) < \mu_A((x_0*y_0)*y_0^n), \text{ which is a contradiction.}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_A(x*z^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*z^n), \mu_A(y*z^n)\}, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

$$\text{Similarly we can prove } \lambda_A(x*z^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*z^n), \lambda_A(y*z^n)\} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Thus A is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X .

Proposition: 4.8 Let $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionist fuzzy set of X . Then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X if and only if it is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold BCK-ideal of X .

Proof: Assume $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X . By Corollary 4.3, that $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionist fuzzy ideal of X . Putting $z = y$ in (IFPIⁿ 2) and (IFPIⁿ 3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_A(x*y^n) &\geq \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*y^n), \mu_A(y*y^n)\} = \min\{\mu_A(x*y^{n+1}), \mu_A(0)\} = \mu_A(x*y^{n+1}) \\ \lambda_A(x*y^n) &\leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*y^n), \lambda_A(y*y^n)\} = \max\{\mu_A(x*y^{n+1}), \lambda_A(0)\} = \lambda_A(x*y^{n+1})\end{aligned}$$

By proposition 3.4, A is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold BCK-ideal of X . Conversely, suppose that A is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold BCK-ideal of X . By proposition 3.2, that $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionist fuzzy ideal of X , by Theorem 2.3 μ_A is order reversing and λ_A is order preserving. It follows from (P3) and (P4) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_A((x*z^{2n})*(y*z^n)) &= \mu_A(((x*z^n)*z^n)*(y*z^n)) = \mu_A(((x*z^n)*(y*z^n))*z^n) \geq \mu_A((x*y)*z^n). \\ \lambda_A((x*z^{2n})*(y*z^n)) &= \lambda_A(((x*z^n)*z^n)*(y*z^n)) = \lambda_A(((x*z^n)*(y*z^n))*z^n) \leq \lambda_A((x*y)*z^n).\end{aligned}$$

Using corollary 3.5, (IF2) and (IF3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_A(x*z^n) &\geq \mu_A(x*z^{2n}) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*z^{2n})*(y*z^n)), \mu_A(y*z^n)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*z^n), \mu_A(y*z^n)\} \\ \lambda_A(x*z^n) &\leq \lambda_A(x*z^{2n}) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*z^{2n})*(y*z^n)), \lambda_A(y*z^n)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*z^n), \lambda_A(y*z^n)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus A is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X .

Theorem: 4.9 An IFS $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X if and only if the non-empty upper s -level cut $U(\mu_A; s)$ and the non-empty lower t -level cut $L(\lambda_A; t)$ are n -fold positive implicative deals of X for any $s, t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof: Assume $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is intuitionist fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of a X . For any $s, t \in [0, 1]$, define the sets

$$U(\mu_A; s) = \{x \in X / \mu_A(x) \geq s\} \text{ and } L(\lambda_A; t) = \{x \in X / \lambda_A(x) \leq t\}.$$

Since $U(\mu_A; s) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in U(\mu_A; s) \Rightarrow \mu_A(x) \geq s$. By definition we have $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x)$ for all $x \in X$ implies $0 \in U(\mu_A; s)$. Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $((x*y)*z^n) \in U(\mu_A; s), y*z^n \in U(\mu_A; s) \Rightarrow \mu_A((x*y)*z^n) \geq s$ and $\mu_A(y*z^n) \geq s$.

Since

$$\mu_A(x*z^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*z^n), \mu_A(y*z^n)\} \geq \min\{s, s\} = s \Rightarrow \mu_A(x*z^n) \geq s \Rightarrow x*z^n \in U(\mu_A; s).$$

Let $x \in L(\lambda_A; t) \Rightarrow \lambda_A(x) \leq t$ since $\lambda_A(0) \leq \lambda_A(x)$ for all $x \in X$ imply $0 \in L(\lambda_A; t)$. Further more if

$$(x*y)*z^n \in L(\lambda_A; t), y*z^n \in L(\lambda_A; t) \text{ then } \lambda_A((x*y)*z^n) \leq t \text{ and } \lambda_A(y*z^n) \leq t.$$

Since $\lambda_A(x * z^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x * y) * z^n), \lambda_A(y * z^n)\} = \max\{t, t\} = t$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Therefore $\lambda_A(x * z^n) \leq t \Rightarrow x * z^n \in L(\lambda_A; t)$. Thus $U(\mu_A; s)$ and $L(\lambda_A; t)$ are n -fold positive implicative ideals of X , for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$.

Conversely suppose that $U(\mu_A; s)$ and $L(\lambda_A; t)$ are n -fold positive implicative ideals of X , for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$. Put $\mu_A(x) = s, \lambda_A(x) = t$ for any $x \in X$.

Since $0 \in U(\mu_A; s) \Rightarrow \mu_A(0) \geq s = \mu_A(x)$ and $0 \in L(\lambda_A; t) \Rightarrow \lambda_A(0) \leq t = \lambda_A(x)$ for all $x \in X$

Now we prove that (IFPIⁿ 2) and (IFPIⁿ 3). In contrary, there exists $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$\mu_A(x_0 * z_0^n) < \min\{\mu_A((x_0 * y_0) * z_0^n), \mu_A(y_0 * z_0^n)\}.$$

$$\text{Taking } s_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\mu_A(x_0 * z_0^n) + \min\{\mu_A((x_0 * y_0) * z_0^n), \mu_A(y_0 * z_0^n)\} \right],$$

It follows that $((x_0 * y_0) * z_0^n), y_0 * z_0^n \in U(\mu_A, s_0)$ but $x_0 * z_0^n \notin U(\mu_A; s_0)$. This is a contradiction.

Similarly, we can prove (IFPIⁿ 3). Thus $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X .

Theorem: 4.10 Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then the following Conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X .
- (ii) $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold BCK-ideal of X .
- (iii) $\mu_A(x * y^n) \geq \mu_A(x * y^{n+1})$ and $\lambda_A(x * y^n) \leq \lambda_A(x * y^{n+1})$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (iv) $\mu_A((x * z^n) * (y * z^n)) \geq \mu_A((x * y) * z^n)$ and $\lambda_A((x * z^n) * (y * z^n)) \leq \lambda_A((x * y) * z^n)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.
- (v) $U(\mu_A; s)$ and $L(\lambda_A; t)$ are n -fold positive implicative ideals of X for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem: 4.11 If $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X then

- (i) for all $x, y, a, b \in X, ((x * y) * y^n) * a \leq b \Rightarrow \mu_A(x * y^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(b)\}$
and $\lambda_A(x * y^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(a), \lambda_A(b)\}$
- (ii) for all $x, y, z, a, b \in X, ((x * y) * z^n) * a \leq b \Rightarrow \mu_A((x * z^n) * (y * z^n)) \geq \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(b)\}$
and $\lambda_A((x * z^n) * (y * z^n)) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(a), \lambda_A(b)\}$

Proof: Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X . Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $((x * y) * y^n) * a \leq b$. Using theorem 2.4, we have

$$\mu_A((x * y) * y^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(b)\} \text{ and } \lambda_A((x * y) * y^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(a), \lambda_A(b)\}.$$

Put $z = y$ in (IFPIⁿ 2) and (IFPIⁿ 3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_A(x*y^n) &\geq \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*y^n), \mu_A(y*y^n)\} = \min\{\mu_A((x*y)*y^n), \mu_A(0)\} = \mu_A((x*y)*y^n) \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(b)\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_A(x*y^n) &\leq \max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*y^n), \lambda_A(y*y^n)\} = \max\{\lambda_A((x*y)*y^n), \lambda_A(0)\} = \lambda_A((x*y)*y^n) \\ &\leq \max\{\lambda_A(a), \lambda_A(b)\}\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $((x*y)*z^n)*a \leq b$. Since $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ intuitionist fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X , it follows from theorems 2.4 and 4.6. We obtain

$$\mu_A((x*z^n)*(y*z^n)) \geq \mu_A((x*y)*z^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(b)\}$$

and

$$\lambda_A((x*z^n)*(y*z^n)) \leq \lambda_A((x*y)*z^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(a), \lambda_A(b)\}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem: 4.12 Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative -ideal of X , then so is $\neg A$, where $\neg A = (X, \mu_A, \bar{\lambda}_A)$.

Theorem: 4.13 Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X then so is $\Diamond A$, where $\Diamond A = (X, \bar{\lambda}_A, \lambda_A)$.

Theorem: 4.14 $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X if and only if $\neg A = (X, \mu_A, \bar{\lambda}_A)$ and $\Diamond A = (X, \bar{\lambda}_A, \lambda_A)$ are intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideals of X .

Theorem: 4.15 Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of X . If A is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X , then the sets $J = \{x \in X / \mu_A(x) = \mu_A(0)\}$ and $K = \{x \in X / \lambda_A(x) = \lambda_A(0)\}$ are n -fold positive implicative ideals of X .

Theorem: 4.16 An IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is both an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal and an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold weak commutative ideal of X then it is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold implicative ideal of X .

Proof: Let $x, y \in X$. Using ([13], 4.5(ii)), Corollary 4.5, (P3) and (BCK-3),

$$\begin{aligned}\text{we have } \mu_A(x * (x * (y * x^n))) &\geq \mu_A((y * x^n) * ((y * x^n) * x^n)) \geq \mu_A((y * (y * x^n)) * x^n) \\ &= \mu_A((y * x^n) * (y * x^n)) = \mu_A(0)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{and } \lambda_A(x * (x * (y * x^n))) &\leq \lambda_A((y * x^n) * ((y * x^n) * x^n)) \leq \lambda_A((y * (y * x^n)) * x^n) \\ &= \lambda_A((y * x^n) * (y * x^n)) = \lambda_A(0).\end{aligned}$$

Since $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X from this we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_A(x) &\geq \min\{\mu_A(x * (x * (y * x^n))), \mu_A(x * (y * x^n))\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(0), \mu_A(x * (y * x^n))\} = \mu_A(x * (y * x^n)) \text{ and} \\ \lambda_A(x) &\leq \max\{\lambda_A(x * (x * (y * x^n))), \lambda_A(x * (y * x^n))\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \max\{\lambda_A(0), \lambda_A(x * (y * x^n))\} \\ &= \lambda_A(x * (y * x^n)) \end{aligned}$$

So from ([13, 3.4]), $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold implicative ideal of X.

Theorem: 4.17 (Extension property for intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideals)

Let $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ and $B=(X, \mu_B, \lambda_B)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of X such that $A(0) = B(0)$ and $A \subseteq B$, that is, $\mu_A(0) = \mu_B(0)$, $\lambda_A(0) = \lambda_B(0)$ and $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$, $\lambda_A(x) \geq \lambda_B(x)$, for all $x \in X$. If $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X then so is B.

Proof: Suppose $A=(X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X. Using Proposition 3.4, it is sufficient to show that $B=(X, \mu_B, \lambda_B)$ satisfies the inequalities

$$\mu_B(x * y^n) \geq \mu_B(x * y^{n+1}) \text{ and } \lambda_B(x * y^n) \leq \lambda_B(x * y^{n+1}) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Let $x, y \in X$. Using (BCK-3), (P3) and Proposition 3.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_B(0) &= \mu_A(0) = \mu_A((x * (x * y^{n+1})) * y^n) \leq \mu_A((x * (x * y^{n+1})) * y^n) \\ &= \mu_A((x * y^n) * (x * y^{n+1})) \leq \mu_B((x * y^n) * (x * y^{n+1})) \text{ and} \\ \lambda_B(0) &= \lambda_A(0) = \lambda_A((x * (x * y^{n+1})) * y^n) \geq \lambda_A((x * (x * y^{n+1})) * y^n) \\ &= \lambda_A((x * y^n) * (x * y^{n+1})) \geq \lambda_B((x * y^n) * (x * y^{n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $B=(X, \mu_B, \lambda_B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X, it follows from (IF1), (IF2) and (IF3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_B(x * y^n) &\geq \min\{\mu_B((x * y^n) * (x * y^{n+1})), \mu_B(x * y^{n+1})\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_B(0), \mu_B(x * y^{n+1})\} = \mu_B(x * y^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{And } \lambda_B(x * y^n) &\leq \max\{\lambda_B((x * y^n) * (x * y^{n+1})), \lambda_B(x * y^{n+1})\} \\ &\leq \max\{\lambda_B(0), \lambda_B(x * y^{n+1})\} = \lambda_B(x * y^{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $B=(X, \mu_B, \lambda_B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Atanassov, K., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1)(1983), 87-96.
- [2] Atanassov, K., New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 61(2)(1994), 137-142.
- [3] Atanassov, K., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Theory and applications, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft computing, 35. Heidelberg, Physica- Verlag 1990.
- [4] Atanassov. K., Intuitionistic fuzzy systems, Springer Physica-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [5] Hung, Y., and Chen, Z., on ideals in BCK-algebras, Math. Japan. 50(1999), no.2, 211-226. CMP 1 718 851. Zbl 938.06018.

- [6] Jun, Y.B., On n-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideals of BCK-algebras, Int.J.Math.Math.Sci.26 (2001), no.9, 525-537.
- [7] Satyanarayana, B., Kondala Rao, E.V., and Krishna, L., Intuitionistic fuzzy BCK-algebra, ANU Journal of Physical Sciences, 1(2)(2009), 21-32.
- [8] Satyanarayana, B. and Durga Prasad, R., Product of intuitionistic Fuzzy BCK- algebras, Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, 4(1)(2009), 1-8, Research India Publication.
- [9] Satyanarayana, B., and Durga Prasad, R., Direct product of finite Intuitionist fuzzy BCK-algebras, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Research India Publication, 5(2)(2009), 125-138.
- [10] Satyanarayana, B., Bindu Madhavi.U and Durga Prasad, R., On Intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideals in BCK-algebras, International Journal of Algebra, 4(15)(2010), 743-749.
- [11] Satyanarayana, B., Bindu Madhavi.U., and Durga Prasad, R., on foldness of Intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideals in BCK-algebras, International Mathematical forum, 5(45) (2010), 2205-2211.
- [12] Satyanarayana, B., and Durga Prasad, R., On Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in BCK- algebras, International J. of Math. Sci and Appls, 5(1) (2011), 283-294.
- [13] Satyanarayana, B., and Durga Prasad, R., on foldness of Intuitionistic fuzzy implicative / Commutative ideals of BCK-algebras (Communicated).
- [14] Zadeh, L.A., Fuzzy sets, Information Control, 8(1965), 338-353.
