

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FOUR SELF MAPS ON A FUZZY METRIC SPACE CONTROLLED BELOW BY SB-FUNCTIONS INVOLVING RATIONAL PARAMETERS

K.P.R. Sastry¹, M.V.R. Kameswari², Ch. Srinivasa Rao³, and R. Venkata Bhaskar^{4*}

¹8-28-8/1, Tamil Street, Chinna Waltair, Visakhapatnam - 530 017, India

²Department of Engineering Mathematics, GIT, Gitam University, Visakhapatnam -530 045, India

³Department of Mathematics, Mrs. A.V.N. College, Visakhapatnam - 530 001, India

⁴Department of Mathematics, Raghu Engineering College, Visakhapatnam - 531 162, India

(Received on: 29-05-13; Revised & Accepted on: 20-06-13)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we use a special class of function called SB-functions due to Sastry *et.al* [6], use them with rational terms as control function to obtain a fixed point theorem for four self maps on a fuzzy metric. We observe that the result of Reena Jain *et.al* [5] follows as a corollary of this result.

Mathematical subject classification (2010): 47H10, 54H25.

Key words: Fuzzy metric space, point of coincidence, occasionally weakly compatible maps, SB- function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Reena Jain *et.al* [5] proved a common fixed point theorem for four selfmaps on a fuzzy metric space satisfying an inequality containing rational functions. We use SB-functions due to Sastry *et.al* [6] as a control functions involving rational parameters to obtain a common fixed point theorem for four selfmaps on a fuzzy metric space and obtain the result of Reena Jain *et.al* [5] as a corollary.

We start with some definitions.

Definition 1.1: (Zadeh. L.A [8]) A fuzzy set A in a nonempty set X is a function with domain X and values in $[0,1]$.

Definition 1.2: (Schweizer.B and Sklar. A [7]) A function $* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is said to be a continuous t-norm if $*$ satisfies the following conditions:

For $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

- (i) $*$ is commutative and associative
- (ii) $*$ is continuous
- (iii) $a * 1 = a \quad \forall a \in [0,1]$
- (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$

Definition 1.3: (Kramosil. I and Michelek. J [4]) A triple $(X, M, *)$ is said to be a fuzzy metric space (FM space, briefly) if X is a nonempty set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfies the following conditions:

For $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$.

- (i) $M(x, y, t) > 0, M(x, y, 0) = 0$
- (ii) $M(x, y, t) = 1 \quad \forall t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- (iii) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$
- (iv) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$
- (v) $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous.

Then M is called a fuzzy metric space on X .

***Corresponding author: R. Venkata Bhaskar^{4*}**

⁴Department of Mathematics, Raghu Engineering College, Visakhapatnam -531 162, India

The function $M(x, y, t)$ denotes the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t .

Definition 1.4: (George. A and Veeramani. P [1]) Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space. Then,

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1 \forall t > 0$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1 \forall t > 0$ and $p = 1, 2, \dots$
- (iii) An FM –space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Definition 1.5: Let X be a nonempty set and f and g be selfmaps on X . A point x in X is called a coincidence point of f and g if $fx = gx$. We shall call $w = fx = gx$ a point of coincidence of f and g .

Definition 1.6: (Jungck. G [2]) Two selfmaps S and T of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points, that is if $Sx = Tx$ for some $x \in X$, then $STx = TSx$.

Definition 1.7: Two selfmaps f and g of a nonempty set X are occasionally weakly compatible (OWC), if there is a point x in X which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute. That is f and g are occasionally weakly compatible if there exists $x \in X \ni fx = gx$ and $f gx = g f x$.

A pair S and T of maps may be OWC but may not be weakly compatible.

Example 1.8: Let \mathbb{R} be the real line with usual metric.

Define $S, T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $Sx = 2x$ and $Tx = x^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then 0 and 2 are coincidence points of S and T .

Also $ST0 = 0, TS0 = 0$ but $ST2 = 8 \neq 16 = TS2$.

Therefore S and T are occasionally weakly compatible selfmaps but are not weakly compatible.

Lemma 1.9: (Jungck. G and Rhoades.B.E [3]) Let X be a nonempty set and f and g are OWC selfmaps on X . If f and g have unique point of coincidence, $w = fx = gx$, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g .

Reena Jain, M.S.Rathore and Naval Singh [5] proved the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.10: (Reena Jain, M.S.Rathore and Naval Singh [5], Theorem 3.1)

Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and A, B, S and T be self maps of X . Let the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) be OWC. Suppose there exists $u \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(Ax, By, ut) \geq \min \left\{ M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), M(By, Sx, t), M(Ax, Ty, t) \left(\frac{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1+M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \right\} \quad (1.10.1)$$

for $x, y \in X$ and for $t > 0$.

Then there exists a unique point $w \in X$ such that $w = Aw = Sw$ and a unique point $z \in X$ such that $z = Bz = Tz$. Moreover $z = w$, so that there is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

The following Theorem follows immediately from Theorem 1.10

Theorem 1.11: (Reena Jain, M.S.Rathore and Naval Singh [5], Theorem 3.3)

Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and A, B, S and T be self maps of X . Let the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) be OWC. Suppose there exists $u \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(Ax, By, ut) \geq \phi \left(\min \left\{ M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), M(By, Sx, t), M(Ax, Ty, t) \left(\frac{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1+M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \right\} \right)$$

for $x, y \in X$ and for $t > 0$, where $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is such that $\phi(t) > t$ for $t \in (0, 1)$.

Then there exists a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we use a special class Ψ of self maps on $[0,1]$ due to [6], called SB-function and use the members of this class as control functions, to prove a common fixed point theorem (Theorem 2.3) for four self maps on a fuzzy metric space. We also observe that condition (1.10.1) is not symmetric; Hence we restate the theorem, making the condition symmetric, and obtain this result as a corollary of our main result. We start with

Definition 2.1: (K.P.R.Sastry, G.A.Naidu, D.Narayana Rao, and R.Venkata Bhaskar [6]) A function $\psi: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is said to be a SB- function if (i) $\psi(t) < t$ and (ii) $\psi^n(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Notation: Let $\Psi = \{\psi/\psi: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ is a SB - function}\}$.

It is shown in [6] that the class Ψ is non-empty.

It may be observed that symmetric property in inequality (1.10.1) of Theorem 1.10 is lacking. Hence we restate Theorem 1.10 as follows.

Theorem 2.2: Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and A, B, S and T be self maps of X . Let the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) be OWC. Suppose there exists $u \in (0,1)$ such that

$$M(Ax, By, ut) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), \\ M(By, Sx, t) \left(\frac{1+M(By, Ty, t)}{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)} \right), M(Ax, Ty, t) \left(\frac{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1+M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.2.1)$$

for $x, y \in X$ and for $t > 0$.

Then there exists a unique point $w \in X$ such that $w = Aw = Sw$ and a unique point $z \in X$ such that $z = Bz = Tz$. Moreover $z = w$, so that there is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Now, we state and prove our main result.

Theorem 2.3: Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space and A, B, S and T be self maps of X . Let the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) be OWC. Suppose there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ and $u \in (0,1)$ such that

$$M(Ax, By, ut) \geq \psi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), \\ M(By, Sx, t) \left(\frac{1+M(By, Ty, t)}{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)} \right), M(Ax, Ty, t) \left(\frac{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1+M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \end{array} \right\} \right) \quad (2.3.1)$$

for $x, y \in X$ and for $t > 0$.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Since (A, S) and (B, T) are OWC self maps on X , there exist points $x, y \in X$ such that $Ax = Sx, By = Ty, ASx = SAsx$ and $BTy = TBy$.

Now we prove that $Ax = By$.

From (2.3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Ax, By, ut) &\geq \psi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), \\ M(By, Sx, t) \left(\frac{1+M(By, Ty, t)}{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)} \right), M(Ax, Ty, t) \left(\frac{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1+M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Ax, Ty, t), M(Ax, Ax, t), M(By, By, t), \\ M(By, Ax, t) \left(\frac{1+M(By, By, t)}{1+M(Ax, Ax, t)} \right), M(Ax, Ty, t) \left(\frac{1+M(Ax, Ax, t)}{1+M(By, By, t)} \right) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\min \left\{ M(Ax, By, t), 1, 1, M(Ax, By, t) \left(\frac{1+1}{1+1} \right), M(Ax, By, t) \left(\frac{1+1}{1+1} \right) \right\} \right) \\ &= \psi(M(Ax, By, t)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $M(Ax, By, ut) \geq \psi(M(Ax, By, t))$ for $t > 0$.

Therefore $M(Ax, By, t) \geq \psi \left(M \left(Ax, By, \frac{t}{u} \right) \right) \geq \psi^2 \left(M \left(Ax, By, \frac{t}{u^2} \right) \right) \geq \dots \geq \psi^n \left(M \left(Ax, By, \frac{t}{u^n} \right) \right)$

Since $\psi \in \Psi$, $\psi^n \left(M \left(Ax, By, \frac{t}{u^n} \right) \right) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore $M(Ax, By, t) \geq 1$

Therefore $Ax = By$.

Thus $Ax = Sx = By = Ty$.

Suppose that there exists another point $z \in X \ni Az = Sz$.

Then from (2.3.1), we have $Az = Sz = By = Ty$.

Therefore $Ax = Az$ and $Sx = Sz$

Therefore $w = Ax = Sx$ is the unique point of coincidence of A and S .

Therefore by Lemma 1.9, w is the only common fixed point of A and S .

Since $Ax = Sx = By = Ty$, w is the only common fixed point of B and T .

Thus A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Note: In this Theorem, completeness of the fuzzy metric space is not used.

Now we show that Theorem 2.2 follows as a corollary from Theorem 2.3

Proof of Theorem 2.2:

If (2.2.1) is satisfied, then for any $\psi \in \Psi$, $u \in (0,1)$, $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$M(Ax, By, ut) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), \\ M(By, Sx, t) \left(\frac{1+M(By, Ty, t)}{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)} \right), M(Ax, Ty, t) \left(\frac{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1+M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$> \psi \left(\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), \\ M(By, Sx, t) \left(\frac{1+M(By, Ty, t)}{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)} \right), M(Ax, Ty, t) \left(\frac{1+M(Ax, Sx, t)}{1+M(By, Ty, t)} \right) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

So that (2.3.1) is satisfied.

Consequently from Theorem 2.3, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

REFERENCES

- [1] George. A and Veeramani. P, *On some results in Fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy sets and system, 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [2] Jungck . G, *Compatible mappings and common fixed point*, Internat J. Math. Mat. Sci 9 (1996), 771-779.
- [3] Jungck. G and Rhoades. B. E, *Fixed point Theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings*, Fixed point theory, Volume 7, No.2, 2006, 287-296.
- [4] Kramosil. I and Michelek. J, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric*, Kybernetica, 11 (1975), 336-344.
- [5] Reena Jain, M.S.Rathore and Naval Singh, *Common fixed point theorem in Fuzzy metric spaces using Rational inequality*, Journal of Advanced studies in Topology, Vol. 2, No .2 (May 2011), 46-52.
- [6] K.P.R.Sastry, G.A.Naidu, D.Narayana Rao, and R.Venkata Bhaskar, *A Common fixed point theorem for four selfmaps on Fuzzy metric space controlled by a SB-function*, International Journal of Mathematics Archive-3(12), 2012, 5006-5010.
- [7] Schweizer.B and Sklar. A, *Probabilistic Metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math.10 (1960), 313-334.
- [8] Zadeh. L.A, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform and control, 189 (1965), 338-353.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared