



COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM OF COMPATIBLE OF TYPE (P)
USING IMPLICIT RELATION IN FUZZY METRIC SPACE

Bijendra Singh & Mahendra Singh Bhadauriya*

School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain (M.P.), India

Vikrant Institute of Technology & Management, Gwalior (M.P.), India

(Received on: 17-07-13; Revised & Accepted on: 30-07-13)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for compatible mapping of type (P) in Fuzzy metric space using implicit relation. Our result modifies the results of M. Koireng et.al. [10].

Mathematical Classification: 54H25, 54E50.

Keywords: Compatible Maps, Fuzzy Metric Spaces, Compatible Maps of Type (P), Implicit Relation.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced initially by Zadeh [17] which laid the foundation of fuzzy mathematics. George and Veeramani In [5] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [9]. They also obtained that every metric space induces a fuzzy metric spaces. Sessa [16] proved a generalization of commutativity. So called weak commutatively. Further Jungck [8] more generalized commutativity called compatibility in metric space.

In [1] Cho, Sharma et al introduced the concept of semi compatibility in D-metric space. Recently Bijendra Singh et al [15] introduced the concept of semi compatible mapping in the context of a fuzzy metric space.

The first important result of compatible mapping was obtained by Jungck [8]. Pathak, Chang and Cho introduced the concept of compatible mapping of type (P) [12]

Our aim in this paper is to prove some common fixed point theorem of compatible map of type (P) by generalized some interesting result [2] [10].

2. PRELIMINARIES AND DEFINITION

Definition 2.1 [6]: A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a continuous t-norm if $([0, 1], *)$ is an abelian topological monoid with 1 such that $a*b \leq c*d$. Whenever $a \leq c$, $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ examples of t-norm are $a*b = ab$ and $a*b = \min\{a, b\}$

Definition 2.2 [5]: the 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is called a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$. Satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $M(x, y, t) > 0$
- (2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ If and only if $x = y$
- (3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$
- (4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$
- (5) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ Is continuous, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$

Corresponding author: Mahendra Singh Bhadauriya
Vikrant Institute of Technology & Management, Gwalior (M.P.), India

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $a * b = ab$ or $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$. Let $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then $(X, M, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space.

Definition 2.3 [14]: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0, t > 0$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ For all $n, m \geq x_0$

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to converge to a point x in X iff for each $\epsilon > 0, t > 0$ there exists $x_0 \in N$ such that $M(x_n, x, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ For all $n \geq x_0$

A fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in it converges to a point in it.

Definition 2.4 [15]: A pair of self mappings (A, S) of fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) \rightarrow 1 \forall t > 0$$

Whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = x$, for some $x \in X$

Definition 2.5 [14]: A pair (A, S) of self mappings of a fuzzy metric space is said to be semi compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Sx$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = x$ so (A, S) is semi compatible and $Ay = Sy \Rightarrow ASy = SAy$ by taking $\{x_n\} = y$ and $x = Ay = Sy$.

Proposition 2.1 [2]: in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ limit of a sequence is unique.

Proof: Let $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ and $\{x_n\} \rightarrow y$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y, t)$

Now $M(x, y, t) \geq M(x, x_n, t/2) * M(y, x_n, t/2)$ taking Limit $n \rightarrow \infty, M(x, y, t) \geq 1 * 1$

i.e. $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ thus $x = y$ and hence the limit is unique

Proposition 2.2 [15]: (A, S) is a semi-compatible pair of self maps of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ and S is continuous then (A, S) is compatible.

Proof: Consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\{Ax_n\} \rightarrow x$ and $\{Sx_n\} \rightarrow x$, by semi compatibility of (A, S) we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Sx$. As S is continuous we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = Sx$

Now, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SAx_n, ASx_n, t) = M(Sx, Sx, t) = 1$

Hence (A, S) is compatible.

Note: Converse is not true.

Definition 2.6 [12]: Self mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be compatible of type (P) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{x_n\} \rightarrow y$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(AAx_n, SSx, t) = 1$ For all $t > 0$

Whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z$ For some $z \in X$.

Lemma [15]: let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space. If there exists $k \in X$ such that $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t/k^n)$ for positive integer n . taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $M(x, y, kt) \geq 1$ and hence $x=y$

Lemma 2.8 [14]: the only t-norm $*$ satisfying $r*r \geq r$ for all $r \in [0,1]$ is the minimum t-norm, that is, $a*b = \min \{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0,1]$

Proposition 2.10 [11]: Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space and let A and S be Continuous mappings of X then A and S are compatible if and only if they are Compatible of type (P).

Proposition 2.11 [12]: Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space and let A and S be Compatible mappings of type (P) and $Az=Sz$ for some $z \in X$, then $AAz=ASz=SAz=SSz$.

Proposition 2.12 [10]: Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space and let A and S be Compatible mappings of type (P) and let $Ax_n, Sx_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $z \in X$. Then

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SSx_n = Az$ For if A is continuous at z ,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AAx_n = Sz$ For if S is continuous at z ,
- (iii) $ASz=SAz$ and $Az=Sz$ if A and S are continuous at z .

A CLASS OF IMPLICIT RELATION

Let ϕ be the set of all real and continuous from, $\phi : [0, 1]^s \rightarrow R$ satisfying the following conditions.

(A-1) ϕ is non-increasing in second, third, fourth and fifth argument

(A-2) $\phi(u, v, v, u, v) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u \geq v$
 $\phi(u, v, v, v, v) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u \geq v$

Example: $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = t_1 - \text{Max.} \{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\}$

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ with continuous t-norm defined by $a*b = \min\{a, b\}$ $\{b\} \in [0,1]$ Satisfying

- (i) $A(X) \subset T(X), B(X) \subset S(X)$
- (ii) S and T are continuous.
- (iii) Pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are compatible of type (P)
- (iv) \exists Some $k \in (0,1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$
 $\phi(M(Ax, By, kt), M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(Ty, By, kt), M(Ty, Ax, t)) \geq 0$
- (v) $\forall x, y \in X, M(x, y, t) \rightarrow 1$ As $t \rightarrow \infty$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be any point as $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $S(X) \subset B(X)$, $\exists x_1 \in X$ and $x_2 \in X$ such hat $Ax_0 = Tx_1$ and $Bx_1 = Sx_2$. Inductively we construct a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$y_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$; $(y_{2n} = Sx_{2n})$ $n = 0, 1, \dots$ With $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$ using contractive condition, we get

$$\phi(M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt), M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt), M(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt), M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi (M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt), 1) \geq 0$$

Since ϕ is non-increasing in fifth argument therefore,

$$\varphi (M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt), M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)) \geq 0$$

Therefore by (2) property of implicit relation

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t)$$

$$\text{Similarly } M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t)$$

$$\text{Hence } M(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \geq M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t) \forall n$$

We show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_{n+p}, y_n, t) = 1 \text{ For all } p \text{ and } t > 0$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) &\geq M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t/k) \\ &\geq M(y_n, y_{n-2}, t/k^2) \\ &\geq \dots \\ &\geq M(y_1, y_0, t/k^n) \rightarrow 1, \text{ As } t/k^n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus the result holds for $p = 1$. By induction hypothesis suppose that the result hold for $p = r$, now.

$$M(y_n, y_{n+r+1}, t) \geq M(y_n, y_{n+r}, t/2) * M(y_{n+r}, y_{n+r+1}, t/2) \rightarrow 1 * 1 = 1$$

Thus the result holds for $p = r + 1$

Hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and as X is complete we get $\{y_n\} \rightarrow z \in X$. Hence

$$Ax_{2n} \rightarrow z, Sx_{2n} \rightarrow z \quad \dots \text{ (I)}$$

$$Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z, Bx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z \quad \dots \text{ (II)}$$

From proposition and since pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are compatible of type (P) we get

$$AAx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz, SSx_{2n} \rightarrow Az, BBx_{2n+1} \rightarrow Tz, TTx_{2n+1} \rightarrow Bz$$

From contractive condition we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(M(AAx_{2n}, BBx_{2n+1}, kt), M(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n+1}, t), M(AAx_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t), M(BBx_{2n+1}, TBx_{2n+1}, kt), \\ M(AAx_{2n+1}, TBx_{2n}, t)) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\varphi(M(Sz, Tz, kt), M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Sz, Sz, t), M(Tz, Tz, kt), M(Sz, Tz, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(M(Sz, Tz, kt), M(Sz, Tz, t), 1, 1, M(Sz, Tz, t)) \geq 0$$

Since ϕ is non increasing in third, fourth argument

$$\Rightarrow \phi(M(Sz, Tz, kt), M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Sz, Tz, kt), M(Sz, Tz, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow M(Sz, Tz, kt) \geq M(Sz, Tz, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow Sz = Tz \text{ (by Lemma)}$$

By From contractive condition

$$\phi(M(Az, BTx_{2n+1}, kt), M(Sz, TTx_{2n+1}, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(BTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, t), M(TTx_{2n+1}, Az, t)) \geq 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\phi(M(Az, Tz, kt), M(Sz, Sz, t), M(Az, Tz, t), M(Tz, Tz, kt), M(Az, Tz, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\phi(M(Az, Tz, kt), 1, M(Az, Tz, t), 1, M(Az, Tz, t)) \geq 0$$

\Rightarrow Since ϕ is non-increasing in second and fourth argument

$$\phi(M(Az, Tz, kt), M(Az, Tz, t), M(Az, Tz, t), M(Az, Tz, t), M(Az, Tz, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow M(Az, Tz, kt) \geq M(Az, Tz, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow Az = Tz = Sz \text{ [By Lemma]}$$

Again from contractive condition

$$\phi(M(Az, Bz, kt), M(Sz, Tu, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Tz, Bz, kt), M(Tz, Az, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(M(Az, Bz, kt), M(Az, Az, t), M(Az, Az, t), M(Az, Bz, kt), M(Az, Az, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(M(Az, Bz, kt), 1, 1, M(Az, Bz, kt), 1) \geq 0$$

Since ϕ is non increasing in second, third and fifth argument

$$\Rightarrow \phi(M(Az, Bz, kt), M(Az, Bz, t), M(Az, Bz, t), M(Az, Bz, kt), M(Az, Bz, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(M(Az, Bz, kt) \geq M(Az, Bz, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow Az = Bz$$

And so $\Rightarrow Az = Tz = Sz = Bz$ and now we show that $Bz = z$

By contractive condition

$$\phi(M(z, Bz, kt), M(z, Tz, t), M(z, z, t), M(Tz, Bz, kt), M(z, Tz, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(M(z, Bz, kt), M(z, Bz, t), 1, 1, M(Bz, z, t)) \geq 0$$

Since ϕ is none increasing in third and fifth argument?

$$\phi(M(z, Bz, kt), M(z, Bz, t), M(z, Bz, t), M(z, Bz, t), M(z, Bz, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow M(z, Bz, kt) \geq M(Bz, z, t) \quad \{\text{By Lemma}\}$$

$$\Rightarrow Bz = z$$

So we get

$$Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = z$$

Hence z is a common fixed point A, B, S, and T

Uniqueness: Let z and z' be two common fixed points of the maps A, B, S and T. Then

$$Az = Bz = Tz = Sz = z \text{ and } Az' = Bz' = Tz' = Sz' = z'$$

Using contractive condition, we get

$$\phi(M(Az, Bz', kt), M(Sz, Tz', t), M(Sz, Az, t), M(Tz', Bz', kt), M(Tz', Az, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(M(z, z', kt), M(z, z', t), M(z, z, t), M(z', z', kt), M(z', z, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(M(z, z', kt), M(z, z', t), M(z, z', t), M(z', z, t), M(z', z, t)) \geq 0$$

Since ϕ is none increasing in third and fourth argument so

$$\Rightarrow \phi(M(z, z', kt), M(z, z', t), M(z, z', t), M(z', z', t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow M(z, z', kt) \geq M(z, z', t) \quad \{\text{By Second conducting}\}$$

$$\Rightarrow z = z' \quad (\text{By Lemma})$$

Hence z is a unique common fixed point maps A, B, S, T.

Corollary 3.1: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ with continuous t-norm defined by $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ $\{b\} \in [0, 1]$ Satisfying I to III.

$$\phi(M(Ax, By, kt), M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(Tx, Ay, t)) \geq 0$$

then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 3.2: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ with Continuous t-norm defined by $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ $\{b\} \in [0, 1]$ Satisfying I, ii, iii, v of theorem3.1 and there exist some $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$

$$\phi(M(Ax, By, kt), M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(Ty, By, 2t), M(Ty, Ax, t)) \geq 0$$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 3.2: Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric spaces. S and T have a common fixed point in X if and only if there exist a self mapping A of X such that the following condition is satisfied:

- (i) $A(X) \subset T(X) \cap S(X)$
- (ii) Pairs (A, S) and (A, T) are compatible of type (P)
- (iii) $\exists k \in (0, 1)$ Such that for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$

$$\phi(M(Ax, Ay, kt), M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(Ty, Ay, kt), M(Ty, Ax, t)) \geq 0$$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: We shown that the necessity of the conditions (I) - (iii). Suppose that S and T Have a common fixed point in X, say z. Then $so = z = Tz$.

Let $Ax = z$ for all $x \in X$. Then we have $A(X) \subset T(X) \cap S(X)$ and we know that [A, S] and [A, T] are compatible mapping of type (P), in fact $AS = SA$ and $AT = TA$, and hence the conditions (I) and (ii) are satisfied.

For some $p \in (0, 1)$, we get $M(Ax, Ay, kt) = 1$ so

$$\varphi(M(Ax, Ay, kt), M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(Ty, Ay, kt), M(Ty, Ax, t)) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in X, t > 0$$

And hence condition (iii) is satisfied.

Now for the sufficiency of conditions, let $A = B$ in theorem 3.1. then A, S and T

Have a common fixed point in X.

Corollary 3.3: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ with continuous t-norm Satisfying I to III of theorem 3.2 and

$$\varphi(M(Ax, By, kt), M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(Tx, Ay, t)) \geq 0$$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Dr. M. S. Chauhan for their valuable suggestion towards the improvement of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Cho, Y.J. Sharma, B.K. and Sahu, D.R. 1995, Semi-compatibility and fixed points, Math. Japonica 42(1) 91-98.
2. Cho, on common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Inter. Math. Forum, 1 (10) (2006), 471-479.
3. Deng Zi- Ke, Fuzzy pseudo metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 86 (1982), 74-95.
4. Erceg.M.A, Metric spaces in Fuzzy set theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 69(1979), 205-230.
5. A. George and P. Veeramani, on same results of analysis for fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 90 (1997), 365-368.
6. Grabiec M., Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27(1988), 385-389.
7. G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points. Internat. J. Math. And Math. Sci., 9(4), (1986), 771-779.
8. Kramosil and J. Machalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 11 (1975), 336-344.
9. M. Koireng and Y. Rohen, Common fixed point theorem of Compatibility of type P in fuzzymetric space, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 6, 2012, no. 4, 181 – 188.
10. O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala, on fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems; 12(1984), 215-229.
11. Pathak, Chang, Cho, Fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (P), Indian journal of Math. 36(2) (1994), 151-166.
12. B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math., 10(1960), 314- 334.
13. Bijendra Singh and M. S. Chauhan, Common fixed points of compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 115(2000), 471-475.
14. Singh B. and Chouhan, M.S. Common fixed points of compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy sets and systems, 115, 471-475 (2000).
15. S. Sessa, On weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point onsiderations, Publ.Inst. Math. Besgrad, 32 (46) (1982) 149-153.
16. Zadeh L.A., Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared