



PRIMARY L-FUZZY IDEALS

K. Swamy*

*Assistant Professor in Mathematics, Aditya Engineering College,
Surampalem, Peddapuram-533437, Andhra Pradesh, India.*

G. V. Ramana

*Assistant Professor in Mathematics, Aditya Engineering College,
Surampalem, Peddapuram-533437, Andhra Pradesh, India.*

A. V. S. N. Murty

*Professor in Mathematics, Aditya Engineering College,
Surampalem, Peddapuram-533437, Andhra Pradesh, India.*

(Received on: 05-12-13; Revised & Accepted on: 18-12-13)

ABSTRACT

In this paper it is studied that the concepts of primary L-fuzzy ideal and primary L-fuzzy ideal belonging to a prime L-fuzzy ideal [1]. Also it is proved that every Prime L-Fuzzy Ideal is a Primary L-Fuzzy Ideal but the converse is not true. Throughout this paper, X stands for a commutative ring with identity and L stands for a complete distributive lattice.

Keywords: L-Fuzzy Subset, L-Fuzzy Ideal, Prime L-Fuzzy Ideal, Primary L-Fuzzy Ideal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy subset was studied by L. A. Zadeh [4] generalizing the idea of characteristic function. By a fuzzy subset of a set X, we mean any function from X into the closed interval [0,1]. In the paper [6], J. A. Goguen replaced the valuation set [0, 1], by a complete lattice attempting to make a generalized study of fuzzy set theory by studying L fuzzy sets (where L is a complete lattice). A. Rosenfeld in his paper [3], studied fuzzy groups. Wang-Jin Liu [8] and Zhang Yue and Peng Xingtu [10] studied Fuzzy ideals, Prime ideals, Maximal ideals on a ring.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition: 2.1 (L-Fuzzy set) A function $A: X \rightarrow L$ is called an L-Fuzzy subset of X. The set of all L-Fuzzy sets in X is denoted by $F(X)$.

Definition: 2.2 [L-Fuzzy sub ring] An L-fuzzy subset A of a ring X is said to be an L-fuzzy subring of X if it satisfies the following

- 1) $A(x) \wedge A(y) \leq A(x-y)$ for all $x, y \in X$
- 2) $A(x) \wedge A(y) \leq A(xy)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition: 2.3 [L-fuzzy Ideal] Let $X=(X, +, \cdot)$ be a ring. An L-fuzzy subset A of X is called an L-fuzzy ideal iff

- 1) $A(x) \wedge A(y) \leq A(x-y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- 2) $A(x) \vee A(y) \leq A(xy)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Note: The set of all L-fuzzy ideals in X is denoted by $I(X)$.

Definition: 2.4 [Level Set] Let A be an L-fuzzy set in X. For $t \in L$, we define $A_t = \{x \in X / A(x) \geq t\}$.

Here A_t is called the t-cut (or a level subset) of A.

Corresponding author: K. Swamy

*Assistant Professor in Mathematics, Aditya Engineering College,
Surampalem, Peddapuram-533437, Andhra Pradesh, India.*

Proposition: 2.5 Let X be a ring and $A \in F(X)$, $A \neq 0$. Then $A \in I(X)$ iff the level subset A_t for any $t \in L$ with $t \leq A(\theta)$ ($\neq 0$), where θ is the zero element in X , is an ideal of X .

Theorem: 2.6 Let N be an arbitrary ideal of a ring X . Then there exists $A \in I(X)$ and $t \in L$ such that $A_t = N$.

Definition: 2.7: Let A be an L-fuzzy sub ring of a ring X . Then the set

$X_A = \{x \in X / A(x) = A(\theta)\}$ is called a base set of A (Where θ is the zero element in X).

Proposition: 2.8 If $A \in I(X)$ then the base set X_A is an ideal of X .

Definition: 2.9 Let $A \in I(X)$. A is said to be a prime L-fuzzy ideal, if for a, b $\in X$,

$A(a.b) = A(\theta)$ implies $A(a) = A(\theta)$ or $A(b) = A(\theta)$ (where θ is the zero element of ring X).

Proposition: 2.10 Let P be a prime L-fuzzy ideal, and suppose that

$P(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = P(\theta)$. Then for at least one value of i , we have $P(a_i) = P(\theta)$.

Proof: Let P be a prime L-fuzzy ideal.

Suppose that $P(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = P(\theta)$ and $P(a_i) \neq P(\theta)$ for all i .

We shall obtain a contradiction.

We have $P(a_1(a_2 \dots a_n)) = P(\theta)$ and $P(a_1) \neq P(\theta)$.

Since P is prime L-fuzzy ideal, we have $P(a_2 a_3 \dots a_n) = P(\theta)$.

Again $P(a_2(a_3 \dots a_n)) = P(\theta)$ and $P(a_2) \neq P(\theta)$.

Since P is prime L-fuzzy ideal, we have $P(a_3 a_4 \dots a_n) = P(\theta)$.

Continuing this process, finally we get $P(a_n) = P(\theta)$.

This is a contradiction.

Hence $P(a_i) = P(\theta)$ for some i .

The following is the straight forward verification.

Proposition: 2.11 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an epimorphism of rings and P be a Prime L-fuzzy ideal of Y . Then $f^{-1}(P)$ is a Prime L-fuzzy ideal of X .

3. PRIMARY L-FUZZY IDEALS

Definition: 3.1 (Primary L-fuzzy ideal) Let A be an L-fuzzy ideal of X . Then A is called a Primary L-fuzzy ideal of X , if for a, b $\in X$, $A(ab) = A(\theta)$ and $A(a) \neq A(\theta)$ implies $A(b^n) = A(\theta)$ for some positive integer n .

Note: 3.2 In fact, A is primary L-fuzzy ideal means, its base set X_A is primary ideal of X .

The following theorem can be easily proved

Theorem: 3.3 Every prime L-fuzzy ideal is a primary L-fuzzy ideal.

The converse of the above theorem is not true. i.e., every primary L-fuzzy ideal of a ring X is not prime L-fuzzy ideal of X . For,

Clearly $I = \langle 4 \rangle = 4Z$, the set of all multiples of 4 is a primary ideal in the ring of integers Z but not a prime ideal. We now prove that the characteristic function χ of I is primary L-fuzzy ideal but not prime L-fuzzy ideal.

Let $L = \{0, 1\}$ be a lattice. Define $\chi : Z \rightarrow L$ by

$$\chi(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in I \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin I \end{cases}$$

Clearly, χ is an L-fuzzy subset of Z.

We now prove that χ is an L-fuzzy ideal of Z. For this we have to prove that

- 1) $\chi(a) \wedge \chi(b) \leq \chi(a-b)$
- 2) $\chi(a) \vee \chi(b) \leq \chi(ab)$, for all $a, b \in Z$

Here four cases arise

- i) $a \in I, b \notin I$
- ii) $a \notin I, b \in I$
- iii) $a \in I, b \in I$
- iv) $a \notin I, b \notin I$.

1) Each of the cases i), ii) and iv) : $\chi(a) \wedge \chi(b) = 0$. Since $\chi(x) = 0$ or 1 for any $x \in X$, $\chi(a-b) \geq 0 = \chi(a) \wedge \chi(b)$.

Case iii): i.e., $a \in I, b \in I$: So, $a-b \in I$.

$$\chi(a) \wedge \chi(b) = 1 \wedge 1 = 1 = \chi(a-b).$$

2) Each of the cases i), ii) and iii): clearly $\chi(a) \vee \chi(b) = 1$. Since I is an ideal, $ab \in I$. So $\chi(ab) = 1 = \chi(a) \vee \chi(b)$.

Case iv): Clearly $\chi(a) = 0 = \chi(b)$ and hence $\chi(ab) \geq 0 = \chi(a) \vee \chi(b)$.

Thus χ is an L-fuzzy ideal of Z.

Now, we have to prove that χ is a primary L-fuzzy ideal.

Let $a, b \in Z$ and $\chi(ab) = \chi(0) = 1$. So, $ab \in I = \langle 4 \rangle$.

If $a \in I$ then it is clear.

If $a \notin I$, then $b^n \in I$ for some $n > 0$ (since $I = \langle 4 \rangle$ is primary ideal in Z) and hence $\chi(b^n) = 1 = \chi(0)$.

Hence χ is a primary L-fuzzy ideal of Z. Now,

χ is not prime L-fuzzy ideal, for; $\chi(2 \cdot 6) = 1$ but $\chi(2) \neq 1$ and $\chi(6) \neq 1$.

Theorem: 3.4 Let $A \in I(X)$ and $A(e) \neq A(\theta)$ (where 'e' is the unit element of the ring X). The following three statements are equivalent.

- 1) A is primary L-fuzzy ideal.
- 2) X_A is a primary ideal.
- 3) Every Zero divisor in the residue class ring X/X_A is nilpotent.

Proof: 1 \Leftrightarrow 2: Assume that A is primary L-fuzzy ideal.

Let $ab \in X_A$ and $a \notin X_A$.

i.e., $A(ab) = A(\theta)$ and $A(a) \neq A(\theta)$.

Since A is primary L-fuzzy ideal, $A(b^n) = A(\theta)$ for some positive integer n.

$$\Rightarrow b^n \in X_A.$$

Hence X_A is primary ideal. This proves $1 \Rightarrow 2$.

Conversely, assume that (2) holds i.e. X_A is primary ideal.

Let $A(ab) = A(\theta)$ and $A(a) \neq A(\theta)$.

Then $ab \in X_A$ and $a \notin X_A$.

Since X_A is primary ideal, $b^n \in X_A$ (for some positive integer n)

i.e., $A(b^n) = A(0)$. Hence A is primary L – fuzzy ideal. This proves $2 \Rightarrow 1$. Thus $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$.

2 \Leftrightarrow 3: Assume that (2) holds i.e. X_A is primary ideal.

Let $x+X_A$ be a zero divisor in the residue class ring X/X_A .

Then there exists $y+X_A \neq 0$ (i.e., $y \notin X_A$)

such that $(x+X_A)(y+X_A) = 0 + X_A$

$$\Rightarrow xy+X_A = 0+X_A$$

$$\Rightarrow xy \in X_A.$$

Since $y \notin X_A$ and X_A is primary ideal, $x^n \in X_A$ for some positive integer n

$$\Rightarrow x^n+X_A = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (x+X_A)^n = 0.$$

i.e. $x+X_A$ is a nilpotent element in X/X_A .

Therefore every zero divisor in the ring X/X_A is nilpotent.

Conversely assume that 3) holds.

Let $ab \in X_A$ and $a \notin X_A$.

i.e., $ab + X_A = 0 + X_A$ and $a+X_A \neq 0 + X_A$.

i.e., $(a+X_A)(b+X_A) = 0$ and $a+X_A \neq 0$.

i.e., $b+X_A$ is a zero divisor in X/X_A .

By our assumption, $b+X_A$ is nilpotent.

Therefore $(b+X_A)^n = 0 + X_A$ for some positive integer n .

$$\Rightarrow b^n+X_A = 0+X_A.$$

$$\Rightarrow b^n \in X_A.$$

Hence X_A is primary ideal. Thus $2 \Leftrightarrow 3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zhang Yue, Primer L-Fuzzy Ideals and primary L-Fuzzy ideals, Fuzzy sets and Systems 27(1988) 345- 350.
- [2] D.S.Malik and J. N. Mordeson, Fuzzy Commutative Algebra, World Scientific (1988).
- [3] A.Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math Anal, Appl. 35: 512-517(1971).
- [4] L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets Inform and Control 8, 338-348 (1965).
- [5] G.Gratzer, Lattice theory: first concept and distributive lattice by W. H. Freeman and company, San Fransico.
- [6] J.A.Goguen, L Fuzzy sets, J. Math Anal Appl 18(1967) 145-174.

- [7] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. MacDonald, Univ. of Oxford, Introduction to Commutative Algebra, Addison- Wesley Publishing Company, 1969.
- [8] Wang-Jin Liu, Fuzzy invariant Subgroups and fuzzy ideals, fuzzy sets and Systems 8(1982): 133-139.
- [9] U. M. Swamy., A. V. S. N. Murty., A TEXT BOOK of “ALGEBRA- ABSTRACT AND MODERN”, PEARSON EDUCATION, Jan. 2012.
- [10] Zhang yue and peng Xingtu, the maximum fuzzy ideals and prime fuzzy ideals on ring, fuzzy mathematics (1984) 115-116.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared