



SOME FIXED POINT RESULTS CONCERNING WITH USUAL METRIC SPACES FOR NON-SYMMETRIC RATIONAL EXPRESSIONS

Rajesh Shrivastava*, Jitendra Singhvi^{*1}, Ramakant Bhardwaj** and Shyam Patkar**

*Prof. & Head, Department of Mathematics Govt. Science & Commerce College, Benazeer, Bhopal

^{*1} Research scholar Department of Mathematics Govt. Science & Commerce College, Benazeer, Bhopal

**Truba Institute of Engineering & Information Technology, Bhopal

Email: jitendrasinghvi@gmail.com, rajeshraju0101@rediffmail.com, rkbhardwaj100@gmail.com

(Received on: 06-07-11; Accepted on: 16-07-11)

ABSTRACT

The present paper deals with some fixed point and common fixed point theorems in usual complete metric spaces for new symmetric rational expressions.

AMS: 47H10, 54H25

Key words: Complete Metric Space, Usual Metric Space, Fixed Point, and Common Fixed Point.

2. INTRODUCTION & PRELIMINARIES:

The study of non-contraction mapping concerning the existence of fixed points draws attention of various authors in non-linear analysis. It is well known that the differential and integral equations that arise in physical problems are generally non-linear, therefore the fixed point methods specially Banach' contraction principle provides a powerful tool for obtaining the solutions of these equations which were very difficult to solve by any other methods. Recently Verma [24] described about the application of Banach's contraction principle [2]. Browder [4] was the first mathematician to study non-expansive mappings. Meanwhile Brouwer [4] and Ghode [6] have independently proved a fixed point theorem for non-expansive mapping.

Many other mathematicians viz; Datson [5] Goebel [6], Goebel and Zlotkiewicz [8], Goebel, Kirk and Simi [9], Iseki [11], Singh and Chatterjee [22], Sharma and Rajput [21], Rajput and Naroliya [20] Pathak and Maity [18], Qureshi and Singh [19], Sharma and Bhagwan [23], Ahmad and Shakil [1], Shahzad and Udomene [24] have done the generalization of non-expansive mappings as well as non-contraction mappings. Kirk [15, 16 and 17] gave the comprehensive survey concerning fixed point theorems for non-expansive mappings. In the present paper we are proving some fixed point and common fixed point theorems for non contraction mappings in usual metric spaces for rational expressions which are motivated by Shrivastava, Dwivedi & Bhardwaj[25]

2.1 Usual Metric Space: A metric space (X, d) is said to be usual metric space if it is defined as 1

$$d(x, y) = |x - y| \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad 1, 2, 3 \dots\dots,$$

Theorem 3.1: - Let (X, d) be a complete usual metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is self mapping;

$$\text{such that } T^2 = I \quad \dots(3.1.1)$$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)d(x, Ty) + [d(x, y)]^3}{[d(x, y)]^2} + \beta \frac{d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)d(x, Ty) + [d(x, y)]^3}{[d(x, y)]^2} + \gamma [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] + \delta [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] + \eta d(x, y) \quad \dots(3.1.2)$$

If $x \neq y, \forall x, y \in X$, with $10\alpha + 9\beta + 8\gamma + 5\delta + \eta < 4$ then T has unique fixed point in X .

Proof: Suppose x be any point in usual metric space X . Taking

$$y = \frac{1}{2}(T + I)x, \quad z = T(y)$$

$$d(z, x) = d(Ty, T^2x) = |Ty - T^2x| \quad \text{by def}^n$$

$$\begin{aligned} |Ty - T(Tx)| &\leq \alpha \frac{|y - Ty| |Tx - x| |y - x| + |y - Tx|^3}{|y - Tx|^2} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{|Tx - x| |Tx - Ty| |y - x| + |y - Tx|^3}{|y - Tx|^2} \\ &\quad + \gamma[|y - Ty| + |Tx - x|] + \delta[|y - x| + |Tx - Ty|] + \eta |y - Tx| \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{|y - Ty| |Tx - x| \frac{1}{2}|x - Tx| + \frac{1}{8}|x - Tx|^3}{\frac{1}{4}|x - Tx|^2} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{|Tx - x| [|Tx - y| + |y - Ty|] \frac{1}{2}|x - Tx| + |x - Tx|^3}{\frac{1}{4}|x - Tx|^2} \\ &\quad + \gamma[|y - Ty| + |Tx - x|] + \delta \left[\frac{1}{2}|x - Tx| + \frac{1}{2}|x - Tx| + |y - Ty| \right] + \eta \frac{1}{2}|x - Tx| \\ &= |x - Tx| \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \frac{\eta}{2} \right] + |y - Ty| [2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma + \delta] \end{aligned}$$

$$|z - x| \leq \frac{1}{2} [\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta] |x - Tx| + |y - Ty| [2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma + \delta] \quad \dots(3.1.3)$$

Now for $|u - x| = |2y - z - x| = |Tx - Ty|$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \alpha \frac{|x - Tx| |y - Ty| |x - Ty| + |x - y|^3}{|x - y|^2} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{|y - Ty| |y - Tx| |x - Ty| + |x - y|^3}{|x - y|^2} \\ &\quad + \gamma[|x - Tx| + |y - Ty|] + \delta[|x - Ty| + |y - Tx|] + \eta |x - y| \\ |u - x| &\leq \alpha \frac{\frac{1}{2}|x - Tx|^2 |y - Ty| + \frac{1}{8}|x - Tx|^3}{\frac{1}{4}|x - Tx|^2} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{|y - Ty| \frac{1}{2}|x - Tx| \frac{1}{2}|Tx - x| + |x - y|^3}{\frac{1}{4}|x - Tx|^2} \\ &\quad + \gamma[|x - Tx| + |y - Ty|] + \delta \left[\frac{1}{2}|x - Tx| + \frac{1}{2}|x - Tx| \right] + \frac{\eta}{2} |x - Tx| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + \delta] |x - Tx| + (2\alpha + \beta + \gamma) |y - Ty| \quad \dots(3.1.4) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 |z-u| &\leq |z-x|+|x-u| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}|x-Tx|[\alpha+2\beta+2\gamma+2\delta+\eta]+|y-Ty|[2\alpha+2\beta+\gamma+\delta]+\frac{1}{2}|x-Tx|[\alpha+\beta+2\gamma+\delta] \\
 &\quad +|y-Ty|[2\alpha+\beta+\gamma] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}|x-Tx|[2\alpha+3\beta+4\gamma+3\delta+\eta]+|y-Ty|[4\alpha+3\beta+2\gamma+\delta] \quad \dots(3.1.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 |z-u| &= |Ty-(2y-z)| \\
 &= |Ty-2y+T(y)| \\
 |z-u| &= 2|Ty-y| \quad \dots(3.1.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.1.5) and (3.1.6)

$$|Ty-y| \leq s|Tx-x|$$

$$\text{where } s = \frac{2\alpha+3\beta+4\gamma+3\delta+\eta}{4-(8\alpha+6\beta+4\gamma+2\delta)} < 1$$

because $10\alpha+9\beta+8\gamma+5\delta+\eta < 4$

Let $R = \frac{1}{2}|T+I|$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(R^2(x), R(x)) &= |R^2(x)-R(x)| \\
 &= |R(R(x))-R(x)| \\
 &= |R(y)-y| \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}|y-Ty| \\
 &< \frac{s}{2}|x-Tx|
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$d(R^2(x), R(x)) < \frac{s}{2}d(x, Tx)$$

By the definition of R , we claim that $\{R_n(x)\}$ in a Cauchy sequence in X . By the completeness of X , $\{R^n(x)\}$

Converges to some element x_0 in X . So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{R^n(x)\} = x_0$$

$$\text{So } \{R(x_0)\} = x_0$$

$$\text{Hence } T(x_0) = x_0$$

So x_0 is a fixed point of T .

Uniqueness: If possible let $y_0 \neq x_0$ is another fixed point of T .

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_0, y_0) &= |x_0 - y_0| \\
 &\leq \alpha \frac{|x_0 - Tx_0| |y_0 - Ty_0| |x_0 - Ty_0| + |x_0 - y_0|^3}{|x_0 - y_0|^2} \\
 &\quad + \beta \frac{|y_0 - Ty_0| |y_0 - Tx_0| |x_0 - Ty_0| + |x_0 - y_0|^3}{|x_0 - y_0|^2} \\
 &\quad + \gamma [|x_0 - Tx_0| + |y_0 - Ty_0|] + \delta [|x_0 - Ty_0| + |y_0 - Tx_0|] + \eta |x_0 - y_0| \\
 |x_0 - y_0| &\leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta) |x_0 - y_0| \\
 \text{i.e. } d(x_0, y_0) &\leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta) d(x_0, y_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction.

So $x_0 = y_0$

Hence fixed point is unique.

Now we prove common fixed point theorems for two mappings.

Theorem: 3.2 Let K be closed and convex subset of a complete Usual Metric Space X .

Let $T : K \rightarrow K$ and $G : K \rightarrow K$ satisfies the following conditions. T and G commute ... (3.2.1)

$T^2 = I$ and $G^2 = I$... (3.2.2)

Where I denotes the identity mapping.

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \alpha \frac{d(Gx, Tx) d(Gy, Ty) d(Gx, Ty) + [d(Gx, Gy)]^3}{[d(Gx, Gy)]^2} \\
 &\quad + \beta \frac{d(Gy, Ty) d(Gy, Ty) d(Gx, Ty) + [d(Gx, Gy)]^3}{[d(Gx, Gy)]^2} \\
 &\quad + \gamma [d(Gx, Tx) + d(Gy, Ty)] + \delta [d(Gx, Ty) + d(Gy, Tx)] + \eta d(Gx, Gy)
 \end{aligned}$$

For every $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta \in [0, 1[$ with $x \neq y$ and $d(Gx, Gy) \neq 0$ and $10\alpha + 9\beta + 8\gamma + 5\delta + \eta < 4$ then T and G have unique common fixed point.

Proof: Suppose x is point in usual metric space X . We have taken non-contraction mapping. So it is clear that

$$(TG)^2 = I$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Tx, Ty) &= d(TG(Gx), TG(Gy)) = |TG(Gx) - TG(Gy)| \\
 &\leq \alpha \frac{|G(G^2x) - T(G^2x)| |G(G^2y) - T(G^2y)| |G(G^2x) - T(G^2y)| + |G(G^2x) - G(G^2y)|^3}{|G(G^2x) - G(G^2y)|^2} \\
 &\quad + \beta \frac{|G(G^2y) - T(G^2y)| |G(G^2y) - T(G^2x)| |G(G^2x) - T(G^2y)| + |G(G^2x) - G(G^2y)|^3}{|G(G^2x) - G(G^2y)|^2} \\
 &\quad + \gamma [|G(G^2x) - T(G^2x)| + |G(G^2y) - T(G^2y)|] + \delta [|G(G^2x) - T(G^2y)| + |G(G^2y) - T(G^2x)|] \\
 &\quad + \eta |G(G^2x) - G(G^2y)|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \alpha \frac{|G(x) - TG(Gx)| |G(y) - TG(Gy)| |G(x) - TG(Gy)| + |G(x) - G(y)|^3}{|G(x) - G(y)|^2} \\
 &+ \beta \frac{|G(y) - TG(Gy)| |G(y) - TG(Gx)| |G(x) - TG(Gy)| + |G(x) - G(y)|^3}{|G(x) - G(y)|^2} \\
 &+ \gamma [|G(x) - TG(Gx)| + |G(y) - TG(Gy)|] \\
 &+ \delta [|G(x) - TG(Gy)| + |G(y) - TG(Gx)|] + \eta |G(x) - G(y)|
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $G(x) = p$, $G(y) = q$, where $p \neq q$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |TG(Gx) - TG(Gy)| &= |TG(p) - TG(q)| \\
 &= \alpha \frac{|p - TG(p)| |q - TG(q)| |p - TG(q)| + |p - q|^3}{|p - q|^2} \\
 &+ \beta \frac{|q - TG(q)| |q - TG(p)| |p - TG(q)| + |p - q|^3}{|p - q|^2} \\
 &+ \gamma [|p - TG(p)| + |q - TG(q)|] \\
 &+ \delta [|p - TG(q)| + |q - TG(p)|] + \eta |p - q|
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $TG = R$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |R(p) - R(q)| &\leq \alpha \frac{|p - R(p)| |q - R(q)| |p - R(q)| + |p - q|^3}{|p - q|^2} \\
 &+ \beta \frac{|q - R(q)| |q - R(p)| |p - R(q)| + |p - q|^3}{|p - q|^2} \\
 &+ \gamma [|p - R(p)| + |q - R(q)|] \\
 &+ \delta [|p - R(q)| + |q - R(p)|] + \eta |p - q|
 \end{aligned}$$

It is clear by theorem (3.1) that $R = TG$ has at least one fixed point say x_0 in K . That is

$$R(x_0) = TG(x_0) = x_0$$

and so $T(TG)(x_0) = Tx_0$

or $T^2(Gx_0) = T(x_0)$

$$G(x_0) = T(x_0)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Tx_0, x_0) &= |Tx_0 - x_0| \\
 &= |Tx_0 - T^2(x_0)| \\
 &\leq \alpha \frac{|Gx_0 - Tx_0| |Gx_0 - T(Tx_0)| |Gx_0 - Tx_0| + |Gx_0 - G(Tx_0)|^3}{|Gx_0 - G(Tx_0)|^2} \\
 &+ \beta \frac{|G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)| |G(Tx_0) - Tx_0| |Gx_0 - T(Tx_0)| + |Gx_0 - G(Tx_0)|^3}{|Gx_0 - G(Tx_0)|^2} \\
 &+ \gamma [|Gx_0 - Tx_0| + |G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)|] \\
 &+ \delta [|Gx_0 - T(Tx_0)| + |G(Tx_0) - Tx_0|] \\
 &+ \eta |Gx_0 - G(Tx_0)|
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$|Tx_0 - x_0| \leq [\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta]|Tx_0 - x_0|$$

which is a contradiction. Because $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + \eta < 1$

So $T(x_0) = x_0$

That is x_0 is the fixed point of T .

But $T(x_0) = Gx_0$ & $G(x_0) = x_0$

Hence it is the common fixed point of T and G .

Uniqueness: If possible let $y_0 \neq x_0$ is another common fixed point of T and G then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, y_0) &= |x_0 - y_0| = |T^2(x_0) - T^2(y_0)| = |T(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0)| \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{|G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)| |G(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0)| |G(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0)| + |G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0)|^3}{|G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0)|^2} \\ &+ \beta \frac{|G(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0)| |G(Ty_0) - T(Tx_0)| |G(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0)| + |G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0)|^3}{|G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0)|^2} \\ &+ \gamma [|G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0)| + |G(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0)|] \\ &+ \delta [|G(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0)| + |G(Ty_0) - T(Tx_0)|] \\ &+ \eta |G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0)| \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$|x_0 - y_0| \leq [\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta]|x_0 - y_0| \quad \text{but } \alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta < 1$$

So $x_0 = y_0$

i.e.

$$d(x_0, y_0) < d(x_0, y_0)$$

Which is a contradiction.

So $x_0 = y_0$ *i.e.* common fixed point is unique.

REFERENCES

[1] Ahmad, A. and Shakil, M. "Some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces" *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. & Appl.* 11(2006) 343-349.

[2] Banach, S. "Sur les operation dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals" *Fund. Math.* 3(1922) 133-181.

[3] Badshah, V.H. and Gupta, O.P. "Fixed point theorems in Banach and 2- Banach spaces" *Jnanabha* 35(2005) 73-78.

[4] Brouwder, F.E. "Non-expansive non-linear operators in Banach spaces" *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 54 (1965) 1041-1044.

[5] Datson, W.G.Jr. "Fixed point of quasi non-expansive mappings" *J, Austral. Math. Soc.* 13 (1972) 167-172.

[6] Gohde, D. "Zum prinzip der kontraktiven abbildung" *Math. Nachr* 30 (1965) 251-258.

- [7] Goebel, K. "An elementary proof of the fixed point theorem of Browder and Kirk" Michigan Math. J. 16(1969) 381-383.
- [8] Goebel, K. and Zlotkiewics, E. "Some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces" Colloq Math 23(1971) 103-106.
- [9] Goebel, K. Kirk, W.A. and Shimt, T.N. "A fixed point theorem in uniformly convex spaces" Boll. Un. Math, Italy 4(1973) 67-75.
- [10] Gahlar, S. "2- Metrche raume and ihre topologicche structure" Math. Nadh.26 (1963-64) 115-148.
- [11] Isekey, K. "fixed point theorem in Banach space" Math Sem. Notes,Kobe University 2(1974) 111-115.
- [12] Jong, S.J. "Viscosity approximation methods for a family of finite non expansive in Banach spaces" nonlinear Analysis 64 (2006) 2536-2552.
- [13] Khan, M.S. "Fixed points and their approximation in Banach spaces for certain commuting mappings" Glasgow Math. Jour.23 (1982) 1-6.
- [14] Khan, M.S. and Imdad, M. "Fixed points of certain involutions in Banach spaces" J. Austral. Math. Soc.37 (1984) 169-177.
- [15] Kirk, W.A. "A fixed point theorem mappings do not increase distance" Amer. Math. Monthly 72 (1965)1004-1006.
- [16] Kirk, W.A. "A fixed point theorem for non-expansive mappings" Lecture notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin and NewYork 886 (1981) 111-120.
- [17] Kirk, W.A "Fixed point theorem for non-expansive mappings" Contem Math.18 (1983) 121-140.
- [18] Pathak, H.K. and Maity, A.R. "A fixed point theorem in Banach space" Acta Ciencia Indica 17 (1991) 137-139.
- [19] Qureshi, N.A. and Singh, B. "A fixed point theorem in Banach space" Acta Ciencia Indica 11 (1995)282-284.
- [20] Rajput, S.S. and Naroliya, N. " Fixed point theorem in Banach space" Acta Ciencia Indica 17 (1991) 469-474.
- [21] Sharma, P.L. and Rajput, S.S. " Fixed point theorem in Banach space" Vikram Mathematical Journal 4 (1983) 35-38.
- [22] Singh, M.R. and Chatterjee, A.K. "Fixed point theorem in Banach space" Pure Math. Manuscript 6 (1987) 53-61.
- [23] Sharma, S. and Bhagwan, A. "Common fixed point theorems on Normed space" Acta Ciencia Indica 31 (2003) 20-24.
- [24] Shahzad, N and Udomene, A. "Fixed point solutions of variation inequalities for asymptotically non-expansive mappings in Banach spaces" Nonlinear Analysis 64(2006) 558-567
- [25] Shrivastava, Dwivedi, S., Bhardwaj,R: " Some Fixed Point & Common Fixed Point Theorems in Usual Metric Spaces" International Journal of Engineering Sciences Research Vol.01 Issue 2 (2011)58-65
- [26] Verma, B.P. "Application of Banach fixed point theorem to solve non linear equations and its generalization" Jnanabha 36 (2006)21-23.
- [27] Yadava, R.N., Rajput, S.S. and Bhardwaj, R.K. "Some fixed point and common fixed point theorems in Banach spaces" Acta Ciencia Indica 33 No 2 (2007) 453-460
- [28] Yadava, R.N., Rajput, S.S., Choudhary, S. and Bhardwaj, R.K. "Some fixed point and common fixed point theorems for non-contraction mapping on 2-Banach spaces" Acta Ciencia Indica 33 No 3 (2007) 737-744.

***Corresponding author: Jitendra Singhvi^{*1}, E-mail: jitendrasinghvi@gmail.com**