



SOME RESULTS ON THE GROUP INVERSE OF BLOCK MATRIX OVER RIGHT ORE DOMAINS

Hanyu Zhang*

Group of Mathematical, Jidong second middle school, Jidong 158200, PR China.

(Received on: 29-01-14; Revised & Accepted on: 07-02-14)

ABSTRACT

Suppose R be a right Ore domain with identity 1 , and $R^{m \times n}$ denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over R . In this paper, we give the existences and the representations of the group inverse for block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} AC & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} BA & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ under the special condition over right Ore domains. The paper's results generalize some relative results of Wang and Fan (International Research Journal of Pure Algebra.3 (12):347-351, 2013)

Keyword: group inverse; block matrix; right Ore domain.

1. INTRODUCTION

A square matrix G is said to be group inverse of A , if G satisfies $AGA = A$, $GAG = G$ and $AG = GA$. It is well known that if G exists, it is unique. We then write $G = A^\#$. When $A^\#$ exists, we denote $A^\pi = I - AA^\#$.

The Drazin inverses and group inverses of 2×2 block matrices have applications in many areas, especially in singular differential and difference equations and finite Markov chains (see [4-8]). It is important to study them in a larger ring. In 2001, Cao [11] studied the problem over a division ring. Zhang and Bu [1] made a research over a right Ore domain in 2012. The purpose of this paper extends the results of group inverse over skew fields given in [10] to right Ore domains.

A ring is called a right Ore domain if it possesses no zero divisors and every two elements of the ring have a right common multiple. A left Ore domain is defined similarly. Every right(left) Ore domain R can be embedded in the skew field (denoted by K_R) of quotients of itself. For any matrix A over R , the rank of A (denoted by $r(A)$) is defined as the rank of A over K_R (see [1]-[3]).

Let R be a right Ore domain with identity 1 , $R^{m \times n}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over R . The rank of a matrix $A \in R^{m \times n}$ (denoted by $r(A)$) is defined as the rank of A over K_R , i.e., the maximum order of all invertible subblocks of A over K_R . A matrix A is called regular if there exists a matrix X such that $AXA = A$, then X is called a $\{1\}$ -inverse or regular inverse of A . In this case, denote the set of all $\{1\}$ -inverses of A by $A\{1\}$. Let $A^{(1)}$ be any $\{1\}$ -inverse of A . Let $A \in R^{m \times n}$, denote the range and the row range of a matrix A by $R(A)$ and $R_r(A)$, where $R(A) = \{Ax \mid x \in R^{n \times 1}\}$ and $R_r(A) = \{yA \mid y \in R^{1 \times m}\}$.

2. SOME LEMMAS

In this section, we give some lemmas which play important role throughout this paper.

Corresponding author: Hanyu Zhang

Group of Mathematical, Jidong second middle school, Jidong 158200, PR China.

E-mail: zhanghanyu423@126.com

Lemmas: 1^[9] Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$, the followings are equivalent:

- (i) $A^\#$ exists;
- (ii) $A^2 X = A$ for some $X \in R^{n \times n}$. In this case, $A^\# = AX^2$;
- (iii) $YA^2 = A$ for some $Y \in R^{n \times n}$. In this case, $A^\# = Y^2 A$;
- (iv) $R(A) = R(A^2)$;
- (v) $R_r(A) = R_r(A^2)$.

Lemmas: 2 Let $A, B \in R^{n \times n}$. If AB and BA are all regular, $r(A) = r(B) = r(AB) = r(BA)$, then $(AB)^\#$ and $(BA)^\#$ exist.

Proof: If $r(A) = r(B) = r(AB) = r(BA)$, then there exist matrices X, Y, Z and W over K_R such that $A = ABX = YBA$ and $B = BAZ = WAB$. Thus $AB(AB)^{(1)}A = ABX = A$, $A(BA)^{(1)}BA = YBA = A$, $BA(BA)^{(1)}B = BAZ = B$, $B(AB)^{(1)}AB = WAB = B$. Thus $R(A) = R(AB)$, $R(B) = R(BA)$, $R_r(A) = R_r(BA)$, $R_r(B) = R_r(AB)$,

Therefore $R(AB) = AR(B) = AR(BA) = ABR(A) = ABR(AB) = R(ABAB)$,

$$R_r(BA) = R_r(B)A = R_r(AB)A = R_r(A)BA = R_r(BA)BA = R_r(BABA).$$

By Lemma 1 we conclude that $(AB)^\#$ and $(BA)^\#$ both exist.

Lemmas: 3 Let $A \in R^{n \times m}$, $B \in R^{m \times n}$, $(AB)^\#$ and $(BA)^\#$ exist. then

- (i) $(AB)^\# = A[(BA)^\#]^2 B$;
- (ii) $(AB)^\# A = A(BA)^\#$;
- (iii) $B(AB)^\# A = BA(BA)^\#$;
- (iv) $AB(AB)^\# A = A$;
- (v) $A(BA)^\# BA = A$;
- (vi) $BA(BA)^\# B = B$.

Proof: From Lemma 2.3 of [9], they are obvious.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem: 1 Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} AC & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R^{2n \times 2n}$, and $r(B) \geq r(C)$, then

(1) $M^\#$ exists if and only if $r(B) = r(C) = r(BC) = r(CB)$ and BC, CB are both regular.

(2) If $M^\#$ exists, then $M^\# = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$M_1 = (BC)^\# AC(BC)^\#,$$

$$M_2 = (BC)^\# B - (BC)^\# [AC(BC)^\#]^2 B,$$

$$M_3 = C(BC)^\#,$$

$$M_4 = -C(BC)^\# AC(BC)^\# B.$$

Proof: (1): "Only if" part.

If M has a group inverse, by Lemma 1, there exist matrices X and Y over R such that $M = M^2 X = Y M^2$. Since

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} I & A \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} BC & 0 \\ CAC & CB \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} I & A \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} I & A \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & Y_2 \\ Y_3 & Y_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -A \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} BC & 0 \\ CAC & CB \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & Y_2 \\ Y_3 & Y_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} BC & 0 \\ CAC & CB \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e.,

$$BCX_1 = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$BCX_2 = B, \tag{2}$$

$$CACX_1 + CBX_3 = C, \tag{3}$$

$$CACX_2 + CBX_4 = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$Y_1BC + Y_2CAC = B, \tag{5}$$

$$Y_2CB = B, \tag{6}$$

$$Y_3BC + Y_4CAC = C, \tag{7}$$

$$Y_4CB = 0. \tag{8}$$

Note that $M^\#$ exists, it is easy to know B is regular. Using (2) and (6), we have $BC = BB^{(1)}BC = BCX_2B^{(1)}BC$ and $CB = CBB^{(1)}B = CBB^{(1)}Y_2CB$, i.e., both BC and CB are regular.

From (2) and (6) we know $R(B) = R(BC)$, $R_r(B) = R_r(CB)$ Therefore, $r(B) = r(BC) = r(CB)$, and $r(C) \geq r(BC) = r(B) \geq r(C)$, i.e., $r(B) = r(C)$.

The “if” part.

By Lemma 2 we know that $(AB)^\#$ and $(BA)^\#$ both exist. Let $X_1 = (BC)^\pi B$, $X_2 = (BC)^\# B$, $X_3 = (CB)^\# C$, $X_4 = -(CB)^\# CAC(BC)^\# B$. That implies $M = M^2 X$ have a solution, so by Lemma 1 $M^\#$ exists.

(2): By Lemma 1, the expression of $M^\#$ can be got from $M^\# = MX^2$. Using Lemma 3, next we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} M^\# &= \begin{pmatrix} AC & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (BC)^\# B \\ (CB)^\# C & -(CB)^\# CAC(BC)^\# B \end{pmatrix} X \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} B(CB)^\# C & AC(BC)^\# B - B(CB)^\# CAC(BC)^\# B \\ 0 & C(BC)^\# B \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (BC)^\# B \\ (CB)^\# C & -(CB)^\# CAC(BC)^\# B \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Corollary: 1 Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R^{2n \times 2n}$, and $r(B) \geq r(A)$, then

(1) $M^\#$ exists if and only if $r(B) = r(A) = r(BA) = r(AB)$ and BA, AB are both regular.

(2) If $M^\#$ exists, then $M^\# = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{pmatrix}$,

where

$$M_1 = (BA)^\pi A(BA)^\#,$$

$$M_2 = (BA)^\# B - (BA)^\pi [A(BA)^\#]^2 B,$$

$$M_3 = A(BA)^\#,$$

$$M_4 = -A(BA)^\# A(BA)^\# B.$$

Proof: The results is a special case of Theorem 1, let $A = I$ in Theorem, the conclusion is obvious. Similarly, we can get the following results.

Theorem: 2 Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} BA & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R^{2n \times 2n}$, and $r(B) \leq r(C)$, then

(1) $M^\#$ exists if and only if $r(B) = r(C) = r(BC) = r(CB)$ and BC, CB are both regular.

(2) If $M^\#$ exists, then $M^\# = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{pmatrix}$,

where

$$M_1 = B(CB)^\# A - B(CB)^\# AB(CB)^\# B,$$

$$M_2 = B(CB)^\#,$$

$$M_3 = -CB(CB)^\# AB(CB)^\# A + (CB)^\# B - CB[(CB)^\# AB]^2 (CB)^\# B,$$

$$M_4 = -CB(CB)^\# AB(CB)^\#.$$

Corollary: 2 Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R^{2n \times 2n}$, and $r(B) \leq r(A)$, then

(1) $M^\#$ exists if and only if $r(B) = r(A) = r(BA) = r(AB)$ and BA, AB are both regular.

(2) If $M^\#$ exists, then $M^\# = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{pmatrix}$,

where

$$M_1 = A(BA)^\# - A(BA)^\# A(BA)^\# A,$$

$$M_2 = A(BA)^\#,$$

$$M_3 = -BA(BA)^\# A(BA)^\# + (BA)^\# A - BA[(BA)^\# A]^2 (BA)^\# A,$$

$$M_4 = -BA(BA)^\# A(BA)^\#.$$

Proof: Let $A = I$ in Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Zhang, C. Bu, Group inverses of matrices over right Ore domains, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2012, 218: 6942-6953.
- [2] P.M. Cohn, *Free Rings and Their Relations*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, second ed., vol. 9, Academic Press Inc., London, 1985.
- [3] L. Huang, *Geometry of Matrices Over Ring*, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [4] S. L. Campbell, C.D. Meyer, *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*, Dover, Newyork, 1991.
- [5] A. Ben-Israel, T.N.E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, second ed., Spinger-Verlag, Newyork, 2003.
- [6] S.L. Campbell, The Drazin inverse and systems of second order linear differential equations, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 1983, 14: 195-198.
- [7] C. D. Meyer, The role of the group generalized inverse in the theory of finite Markov chains, *SIAM Rev.* 1975, 17(3): 443-464.
- [8] C. Bu, K. Zhang, Representations of the Drazin inverse on solution of a class singular differential equations, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 2011, 59: 863-877.
- [9] Y. Ge, H. Zhang, Y. Sheng, C. Cao, Group inverse for two classes of 2×2 anti-triangular block matrices over right Ore domains, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* 2013, 42: 183-191.
- [10] J. Wang, X. Fan, Some results on the group inverse of block matrix over skew fields, *International Research Journal of Pure Algebra*, 2013, 3(12): 347-351.
- [11] C. Cao, Some results of group inverses for partitioned matrices over skew fields, *Sci. Heilongjiang Univ.* 2001, 18: 5-7 (in chinese).

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared