

FIXED POINT AND COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN BANACH SPACE
TAKING RATIONAL EXPRESSION FOR 1, 2, 3 MAPPING

¹Ramakant Bhardwaj ²Sachin V. Bedre*, and ³Rajesh Shrivastava

¹Department of Mathematics Truba Inst. of Engg. & I.T, Bhopal (M.P.), India

²Department of Mathematics, Mahatma Gandhi Mahavidyalaya, Ahmedpur, Dist-Latur, India

³Department of Mathematics, Govt. Science and Commerce College, Benazir Bhopal (M.P.), India

E-mail: ¹rkbhardwaj100@gmail.com, ²sachin.bedre@yahoo.com, ³rajeshraju0101@rediffmail.com

(Received on: 26-07-11; Accepted on: 10-08-11)

ABSTRACT

In the present paper we will establish some fixed point and common fixed point theorem in Banach space taking rational expression for 1,2,3 mappings. Our result is extended form of many known results taking particular inequality.

Keywords: Fixed point, Common fixed point Banach space.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 54H25

1. INTRODUCTION:

In recent years, nonlinear analysis have attracted much attention .The study of non contraction mapping concerning the existence of fixed points draw attention of various authors in non linear analysis. It is well known that the differential and integral equations that arise in physical problems are generally nonlinear, therefore fixed point methods especially Banach contraction principle provide powerful tool for obtaining the solution of these equations which are very difficult to solve by other method. Recently Verma [24] described about the application of Banach contraction principle [2].

Browder [4] was the first mathematician to study non expansive mappings. Mean while Browder [4] and Ghode [6] have independently proved a fixed point theorem for non expansive mapping.

Many other Mathematicians have done the generalization of non-expansive mappings as well as noncontract ion mappings Kirk [15, 16 & 17] gives the comprehensive survey concerning fixed point theorems for non expansive mappings.

Recently Rajesh Shrivastava, sabha Kant Dwivedi and S.S. Rajput [29] generalizes non contraction mappings.

Before start the main result we write some definitions.

2. PRELIMINARIES:

Definition 2.1: Let L be a linear space and $\|\cdot\|$ is nonnegative, real valued function define on L such that for all

$x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in R$ or C

(i) $\|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$

(ii) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$

(iii) $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$

Then $\|\cdot\|$ is called norm and $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is called nor med linear space.

Definition 2.2: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a normed linear space L is called Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$

***Corresponding author:** ²Sachin V. Bedre*, ***E-mail:** sachin.bedre@yahoo.com

Definition 2.3: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a normed linear space L, is called sequence if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_m\| = 0$

Definition 2.4: A normed linear space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is called Banach space.

3. MAIN RESULT:

Theorem 3.1:-Let F be a mapping of a Banach space X into it self. If F satisfies the following conditions;

$$F^2 = I, \text{ where } I \text{ is identity mapping.} \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(X) - F(Y)\| \tag{3.1.2} \\ & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|X - F(X)\| \|X - Y\| + \|Y - F(Y)\| \|Y - F(X)\| + \|X - Y\|^2}{\|X - F(X)\| + \|X - Y\|} \right] \\ & + \beta \left[\frac{\|Y - F(Y)\| \|X - Y\| + \|X - F(X)\| \|X - F(Y)\| + \|X - Y\|^2}{\|Y - F(Y)\| + \|X - Y\|} \right] \\ & + \gamma [\|X - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\|] + \delta [\|X - F(Y)\| + \|Y - F(X)\|] + \eta \|X - Y\| \end{aligned}$$

For every $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta > 0$ and $5\alpha + 5\beta + 4\gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 2$, then F has a fixed point.

If $\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta < 1$. Then F has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Suppose X is a point in the Banach space X,

Taking $Y = \frac{1}{2}(F + I)(X)$, $Z = F(Y)$ and $u = 2Y - Z$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z - X\| &= \|F(Y) - F^2(X)\| = \|F(Y) - F(F(X))\| \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Y - F(Y)\| \|Y - F(X)\| + \|F(X) - F^2(X)\| \|F(X) - F(Y)\| + \|Y - F(X)\|^2}{\|Y - F(Y)\| + \|Y - F(X)\|} \right] \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|F(X) - F^2(X)\| \|Y - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\| \|Y - F^2(X)\| + \|Y - F(X)\|^2}{\|F(X) - F^2(X)\| + \|Y - F(X)\|} \right] \\ &+ \gamma [\|Y - F(Y)\| + \|F(X) - F^2(X)\|] + \delta [\|Y - F^2(X)\| + \|F(X) - F(Y)\|] + \eta [\|Y - F(X)\|] \\ &= \alpha \left[\frac{\|Y - F(Y)\| \|Y - F(X)\| + \|F(X) - X\| \|F(X) - F(Y)\| + \|Y - F(X)\|^2}{\|Y - F(Y)\| + \|Y - F(X)\|} \right] \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|F(X) - X\| \|Y - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\| \|Y - X\| + \|Y - F(X)\|^2}{\|F(X) - X\| + \|Y - F(X)\|} \right] \\ &+ \gamma [\|Y - F(Y)\| + \|F(X) - X\|] + \delta [\|Y - X\| + \|F(X) - F(Y)\|] + \eta [\|Y - F(X)\|] \\ &= \alpha \left[\frac{\|Y - F(Y)\| \|Y - F(X)\| + \|F(X) - X\| \|F(X) - F(Y)\| + \|Y - F(X)\|^2}{\|F(X) - F(Y)\|} \right] \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|F(X) - X\| \|Y - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\| \|Y - X\| + \|Y - F(X)\|^2}{\|Y - X\|} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\gamma[\|Y-F(Y)\|+\|F(X)-X\|]+\delta[\|Y-X\|+\|F(X)-F(Y)\|]+ \eta[\|Y-F(X)\|] \\
 = & \alpha \left[\frac{\|Y-F(Y)\|\|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)-F(X)\|+\|F(X)-X\|\|F(X)-F[\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\|+\|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)-F(X)\|^2}{\|F(X)-F[\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\|} \right] \\
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|F(X)-X\|\|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)-F(X)\|+\|Y-F(Y)\|\|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)-X\|+\|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)-F(X)\|^2}{\|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)-X\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma[\|Y-F(Y)\|+\|F(X)-X\|]+\delta[\|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)-X\|+\|F(X)-F[\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\|] \\
 & +\eta\|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)-F(X)\| \\
 = & \alpha \left[\frac{\|Y-F(Y)\|\|\frac{1}{2}F(X)-X\|+\|F(X)-X\|\|\frac{1}{2}F(X)-X\|+\frac{1}{4}\|F(X)-X\|^2}{\frac{1}{2}\|F(X)-X\|} \right] \\
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|F(X)-X\|\|\frac{1}{2}F(X)-X\|+\|Y-F(Y)\|\|\frac{1}{2}F(X)-X\|+\frac{1}{4}\|F(X)-X\|^2}{\frac{1}{2}\|F(X)-X\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma[\|Y-F(Y)\|+\|F(X)-X\|]+\delta[\|\frac{1}{2}F(X)-X\|+\|\frac{1}{2}F(X)-X\|]+ \eta\|\frac{1}{2}F(X)-X\| \\
 = & \alpha[\|Y-F(Y)\|+\|F(X)-X\|+\frac{1}{2}\|F(X)-X\|]+\beta[\|F(X)-X\|+\|Y-F(Y)\|+\frac{1}{2}\|F(X)-X\|] \\
 & +\gamma[\|Y-F(Y)\|+\|F(X)-X\|]+\delta\|F(X)-X\|+\frac{\eta}{2}\|F(X)-X\| \\
 = & \alpha[\|Y-F(Y)\|+\frac{3}{2}\|F(X)-X\|]+\beta[\frac{3}{2}\|F(X)-X\|+\|Y-F(Y)\|] \\
 & +\gamma[\|Y-F(Y)\|+\|F(X)-X\|]+\delta\|F(X)-X\|+\frac{\eta}{2}\|F(X)-X\| \\
 = & \left[\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\beta+\gamma+\delta+\frac{\eta}{2} \right] \|F(X)-X\|+[\alpha+\beta+\gamma]\|Y-F(Y)\| \\
 \|Z-X\| \leq & \left[\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\beta+\gamma+\delta+\frac{\eta}{2} \right] \|F(X)-X\|+[\alpha+\beta+\gamma]\|Y-F(Y)\| \tag{3.1.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u-X\| & =\|2Y-Z-X\|=\|(F+I)(X)-Z-X\|=\|F(X)+X-Z-X\| \\
 & =\|F(X)-Z\|=\|F(X)-F(Y)\| \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|X-F(X)\|\|X-Y\|+\|Y-F(Y)\|\|Y-F(X)\|+\|X-Y\|^2}{\|X-F(X)\|+\|X-Y\|} \right] \\
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|Y-F(Y)\|\|X-Y\|+\|X-F(X)\|\|X-F(Y)\|+\|X-Y\|^2}{\|Y-F(Y)\|+\|X-Y\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma[\|X-F(X)\|+\|Y-F(Y)\|]+\delta[\|X-F(Y)\|+\|Y-F(X)\|]+ \eta\|X-Y\| \\
 = & \alpha \left[\frac{\|X-F(X)\|\|X-Y\|+\|Y-F(Y)\|\|Y-F(X)\|+\|X-Y\|^2}{\|Y-F(X)\|} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|Y - F(Y)\| \|X - Y\| + \|X - F(X)\| \|X - F(Y)\| + \|X - Y\|^2}{\|X - F(Y)\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma [\|X - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\|] + \delta [\|X - F(Y)\| + \|Y - F(X)\|] + \eta \|X - Y\| \\
 & = \alpha \left[\frac{\|X - F(X)\| \|X - [\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\| + \|Y - F(Y)\| \|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X) - F(X)\| + \|X - [\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\|^2}{\|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X) - F(X)\|} \right] \\
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|Y - F(Y)\| \|X - [\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\| + \|X - F(X)\| \|X - F[\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\| + \|X - [\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\|^2}{\|X - F[\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma [\|X - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\|] + \delta [\|X - F[\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\| + \|\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X) - F(X)\|] \\
 & +\eta [\|X - [\frac{1}{2}(F+I)(X)]\|] \\
 & = \alpha \left[\frac{\|X - F(X)\| \frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\| \frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\| + \frac{1}{4} \|X - F(X)\|^2}{\frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\|} \right] \\
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|Y - F(Y)\| \frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\| + \|X - F(X)\| \frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\| + \frac{1}{4} \|X - F(X)\|^2}{\frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma [\|X - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\|] + \delta [\frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\| + \frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\|] + \eta [\frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\|] \\
 & = \alpha [\|X - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\| + \frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\|] + \beta [\|Y - F(Y)\| + \|X - F(X)\| + \frac{1}{2} \|X - F(X)\|] \\
 & +\gamma [\|X - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\|] + \delta [\|X - F(X)\|] + \frac{\eta}{2} \|X - F(X)\| \\
 & = \alpha [\frac{3}{2} \|X - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\|] + \beta [\|Y - F(Y)\| + \frac{3}{2} \|X - F(X)\|] \\
 & +\gamma [\|X - F(X)\| + \|Y - F(Y)\|] + \delta \|X - F(X)\| + \frac{\eta}{2} \|X - F(X)\| \\
 & = \left[\frac{3}{2} \alpha + \frac{3}{2} \beta + \gamma + \delta + \frac{\eta}{2} \right] \|X - F(X)\| + [\alpha + \beta + \gamma] \|Y - F(Y)\| \\
 \therefore \|u - X\| & \leq \left[\frac{3}{2} \alpha + \frac{3}{2} \beta + \gamma + \delta + \frac{\eta}{2} \right] \|X - F(X)\| + [\alpha + \beta + \gamma] \|Y - F(Y)\| \tag{3.1.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Z - u\| & \leq \|Z - X\| + \|X - u\| \\
 & = \left[\frac{3}{2} \alpha + \frac{3}{2} \beta + \gamma + \delta + \frac{\eta}{2} \right] \|X - F(X)\| + [\alpha + \beta + \gamma] \|Y - F(Y)\| \\
 & + \left[\frac{3}{2} \alpha + \frac{3}{2} \beta + \gamma + \delta + \frac{\eta}{2} \right] \|X - F(X)\| + [\alpha + \beta + \gamma] \|Y - F(Y)\| \\
 & = 2 \left[\frac{3}{2} \alpha + \frac{3}{2} \beta + \gamma + \delta + \frac{\eta}{2} \right] \|X - F(X)\| + 2[\alpha + \beta + \gamma] \|Y - F(Y)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [3\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta] \|X - F(X)\| + [2\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma] \|Y - F(Y)\| \\
 \|Z - u\| &= [3\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta] \|X - F(X)\| + [2\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma] \|Y - F(Y)\| \tag{3.1.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Z - u\| &= \|F(Y) - (2Y - Z)\| \\
 &= \|F(Y) - 2Y + Z\| \\
 &= 2\|F(Y) - Y\|
 \end{aligned}$$

∴ from (1.5)

$$\therefore 2\|Y - F(Y)\| = [3\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta] \|X - F(X)\| + [2\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma] \|Y - F(Y)\|$$

$$\therefore \|Y - F(Y)\| \leq q \|X - F(X)\|$$

$$\text{where } q = \frac{3\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta}{2 - (2\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma)} < 1$$

since $5\alpha + 5\beta + 4\gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 2$

Let $G = \frac{1}{2}(F + I)$ then for every $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|G^2(X) - G(X)\| &= \|G(Y) - Y\| \\
 &= \left\| \frac{1}{2}(F + I)(Y) - Y \right\| \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \|Y - F(Y)\| \\
 &< \frac{q}{2} \|X - F(X)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of q, we claim that $\{G^n(X)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

By the completeness, $\{G^n(X)\}$ converges to some element X_0 in X.

$$\text{i.e. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G^n(X) = X_0$$

Which implies that $G(X_0) = X_0$.

Hence $F(X_0) = X_0$

i.e. X_0 is a fixed point of F .

For the uniqueness, if possible let $Y_0 (\neq X_0)$ be another fixed point of F then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|X_0 - Y_0\| &= \|F(X_0) - F(Y_0)\| \\
 &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|X_0 - F(X_0)\| \|X_0 - Y_0\| + \|Y_0 - F(Y_0)\| \|Y_0 - F(X_0)\| + \|X_0 - Y_0\|^2}{\|X_0 - F(X_0)\| + \|X_0 - Y_0\|} \right] \\
 &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|Y_0 - F(Y_0)\| \|X_0 - Y_0\| + \|X_0 - F(X_0)\| \|X_0 - F(Y_0)\| + \|X_0 - Y_0\|^2}{\|Y_0 - F(Y_0)\| + \|X_0 - Y_0\|} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\gamma[\|X_0 - F(X_0)\| + \|Y_0 - F(Y_0)\|] + \delta[\|X_0 - F(Y_0)\| + \|Y_0 - F(X_0)\|] + \eta\|X_0 - Y_0\| \\
 & = \alpha \frac{\|X_0 - Y_0\|^2}{\|X_0 - Y_0\|} + \beta \frac{\|X_0 - Y_0\|^2}{\|X_0 - Y_0\|} + 2\delta\|X_0 - Y_0\| + \eta\|X_0 - Y_0\| \\
 & = \alpha\|X_0 - Y_0\| + \beta\|X_0 - Y_0\| + 2\delta\|X_0 - Y_0\| + \eta\|X_0 - Y_0\| \\
 & = [\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta]\|X_0 - Y_0\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \|X_0 - Y_0\| \leq [\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta]\|X_0 - Y_0\|$$

since $\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta < 1$

$$\therefore \|X_0 - Y_0\| = 0$$

$$\therefore X_0 = Y_0$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2: Let K be closed and convex subset of a Banach space X . Let $F : K \rightarrow K$, $G : K \rightarrow K$ satisfy the following conditions :

$$F \text{ and } G \text{ commute} \tag{3.2.1}$$

$$F^2 = I \text{ and } G^2 = I, \text{ where } I \text{ denotes identify mapping} \tag{3.2.2}$$

$$\|F(X) - F(Y)\| \tag{3.2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|G(X) - F(X)\| \|G(X) - G(Y)\| + \|G(Y) - F(Y)\| \|G(Y) - F(X)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|^2}{\|G(X) - F(X)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|} \right] \\
 & + \beta \left[\frac{\|G(Y) - F(Y)\| \|G(X) - G(Y)\| + \|G(X) - F(X)\| \|G(X) - F(Y)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|^2}{\|G(Y) - F(Y)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|} \right] \\
 & + \gamma[\|G(X) - F(X)\| + \|G(Y) - F(Y)\|] + \delta[\|G(X) - F(Y)\| + \|G(Y) - F(X)\|] + \eta\|G(X) - G(Y)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

For every $X, Y \in X$, $0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta$ and $5\alpha + 5\beta + 4\gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 2$. Then there exist at least one fixed point, $X_0 \in X$ such that $F(X_0) = G(X_0) = X_0$. further if $\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta < 1$ then X is the unique fixed point of F and G .

Proof:

from (3.2.1) and (3.2.2) it follows that $(FG)^2 = I$ and (3.2.2) and (3.2.3) imply.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|FGG(X) - FGG(Y)\| = \|FG^2(X) - FG^2(Y)\| \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|GG^2(X) - FG^2(X)\| \|GG^2(X) - GG^2(Y)\| + \|GG^2(Y) - FG^2(Y)\| \|GG^2(Y) - FG^2(X)\| + \|GG^2(X) - GG^2(Y)\|^2}{\|GG^2(X) - FG^2(X)\| + \|GG^2(X) - GG^2(Y)\|} \right] \\
 & + \beta \left[\frac{\|GG^2(Y) - FG^2(Y)\| \|GG^2(X) - GG^2(Y)\| + \|GG^2(X) - FG^2(X)\| \|GG^2(X) - FG^2(Y)\| + \|GG^2(X) - GG^2(Y)\|^2}{\|GG^2(Y) - FG^2(Y)\| + \|GG^2(X) - GG^2(Y)\|} \right] \\
 & + \gamma[\|GG^2(X) - FG^2(X)\| + \|GG^2(Y) - FG^2(Y)\|]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\delta \left[\|GG^2(X) - FG^2(Y)\| + \|GG^2(Y) - FG^2(X)\| \right] + \eta \|GG^2(X) - GG^2(Y)\| \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|G(X) - FG \cdot G(X)\| \|G(X) - G(Y)\| + \|G(Y) - FG \cdot G(Y)\| \|G(Y) - FG \cdot G(X)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|^2}{\|G(X) - FG \cdot G(X)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|} \right] \\
 & + \beta \left[\frac{\|G(Y) - FG \cdot G(Y)\| \|G(X) - G(Y)\| + \|G(X) - FG \cdot G(X)\| \|G(X) - FG \cdot G(Y)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|^2}{\|G(Y) - FG \cdot G(Y)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|} \right] \\
 & + \gamma \left[\|G(X) - FG \cdot G(X)\| + \|G(Y) - FG \cdot G(Y)\| \right] \\
 & + \delta \left[\|G(X) - FG \cdot G(Y)\| + \|G(Y) - FG \cdot G(X)\| \right] + \eta \left[\|G(X) - G(Y)\| \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Now that $G(X) = Z$ and $G(Y) = W$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|FG(Z) - FG(W)\| \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Z - FG(Z)\| \|Z - W\| + \|W - FG(W)\| \|W - FG(Z)\| + \|Z - W\|^2}{\|Z - FG(Z)\| + \|Z - W\|} \right] \\
 & + \beta \left[\frac{\|W - FG(W)\| \|Z - W\| + \|Z - FG(Z)\| \|Z - FG(W)\| + \|Z - W\|^2}{\|W - FG(W)\| + \|Z - W\|} \right] \\
 & + \gamma \left[\|Z - FG(Z)\| + \|W - FG(W)\| \right] + \delta \left[\|Z - FG(W)\| + \|W - FG(Z)\| \right] + \eta \|Z - W\|
 \end{aligned}$$

We have $(FG)^2 = I$ and so by theorem I, FG has at least one fixed point say X_0 in K, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & FG(X_0) = X_0 \\
 & FFG(X_0) = F(X_0) \\
 & G(X_0) = F(X_0)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.4}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|F(X_0) - X_0\| = \|F(X_0) - F^2(X_0)\| = \|F(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|G(X_0) - F(X_0)\| \|G(X_0) - GF(X_0)\| + \|GF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \|GF(X_0) - F(X_0)\| + \|G(X_0) - GF(X_0)\|^2}{\|G(X_0) - F(X_0)\| + \|G(X_0) - GF(X_0)\|} \right] \\
 & + \beta \left[\frac{\|GF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \|G(X_0) - GF(X_0)\| + \|G(X_0) - F(X_0)\| \|G(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|G(X_0) - GF(X_0)\|^2}{\|GF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|G(X_0) - GF(X_0)\|} \right] \\
 & + \gamma \left[\|G(X_0) - F(X_0)\| + \|GF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \right] \\
 & + \delta \left[\|G(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|GF(X_0) - F(X_0)\| \right] + \eta \|G(X_0) - GF(X_0)\| \\
 & = \alpha \left[\frac{\|F(X_0) - F(X_0)\| \|F(X_0) - X_0\| + \|X_0 - X_0\| \|X_0 - F(X_0)\| + \|F(X_0) - X_0\|^2}{\|F(X_0) - F(X_0)\| + \|F(X_0) - X_0\|} \right] \\
 & + \beta \left[\frac{\|X_0 - X_0\| \|F(X_0) - X_0\| + \|F(X_0) - F(X_0)\| \|F(X_0) - X_0\| + \|F(X_0) - X_0\|^2}{\|X_0 - X_0\| + \|F(X_0) - X_0\|} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\gamma\left[\|F(X_0)-F(X_0)\|+\|X_0-X_0\|\right]+\delta\left[\|F(X_0)-X_0\|+\|X_0-F(X_0)\|\right]+\eta\left[\|F(X_0)-(X_0)\|\right] \\
 & =\alpha\|F(X_0)-X_0\|+\beta\|F(X_0)-X_0\|+2\delta\|F(X_0)-X_0\|+\eta\|F(X_0)-X_0\| \\
 & =(\alpha+\beta+2\delta+\eta)\|F(X_0)-X_0\|
 \end{aligned}$$

There fore

$$\|F(X_0)-X_0\|\leq(\alpha+\beta+2\delta+\eta)\|F(X_0)-X_0\|$$

This is contradiction

Since $\alpha+\beta+\delta+\eta<1$

$$\therefore F(X_0)=X_0$$

I.e. X_0 is fixed point of F , but $F(X_0)=G(X_0)$ therefore we have $G(X_0)=X_0$

I.e. X_0 is the common fixed point of F and G .

Now, we shall prove that X_0 is the unique common fixed point of F and G . If possible let Y_0 be another fixed point of F and G .

Now by (3.2.1), (3.2.2), (3.2.3), (3.2.4) and (3.2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|X_0-Y_0\| & =\|F^2(X_0)-F^2(Y_0)\|=\|FF(X_0)-FF(Y_0)\| \\
 & \leq\alpha\left[\frac{\|GF(X_0)-FF(X_0)\|\|GF(X_0)-GF(Y_0)\|+\|GF(Y_0)-FF(Y_0)\|\|GF(Y_0)-FF(X_0)\|+\|GF(X_0)-GF(Y_0)\|^2}{\|GF(X_0)-FF(X_0)\|+\|GF(X_0)-GF(Y_0)\|}\right] \\
 & +\beta\left[\frac{\|GF(Y_0)-FF(Y_0)\|\|GF(X_0)-GF(Y_0)\|+\|GF(X_0)-FF(X_0)\|\|GF(X_0)-FF(Y_0)\|+\|GF(X_0)-GF(Y_0)\|^2}{\|GF(Y_0)-FF(Y_0)\|+\|GF(X_0)-GF(Y_0)\|}\right] \\
 & +\gamma\left[\|GF(X_0)-FF(X_0)\|+\|GF(Y_0)-FF(Y_0)\|\right] \\
 & +\delta\left[\|GF(X_0)-FF(Y_0)\|+\|GF(Y_0)-FF(X_0)\|\right]+\eta\|GF(X_0)-GF(Y_0)\| \\
 & =\alpha\|X_0-Y_0\|+\beta\|X_0-Y_0\|+2\delta\|X_0-Y_0\|+\eta\|X_0-Y_0\| \\
 & =(\alpha+\beta+2\delta+\eta)\|X_0-Y_0\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\|X_0-Y_0\|\leq(\alpha+\beta+2\delta+\eta)\|X_0-Y_0\|$$

Since $\alpha+\beta+2\delta+\eta<1$, it follows

$$X_0=Y_0$$

Proving the uniqueness of X_0 , the proof of theorem 2 is complete.

Theorem 3.3:

Let K be a closed and convex subset of a Banach space X . Let F, G and H be three mappings of X into itself such that

$$FG=GF, GH=HG \text{ and } FH=HF \tag{3.3.1}$$

$$F^2=I, G^2=I, H^2=I, \text{ where } I \text{ denotes the identify mapping} \tag{3.3.2}$$

$$\|F(X)-F(Y)\| \tag{3.3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|GH(X) - F(X)\| \|GH(X) - GH(Y)\| + \|GH(Y) - F(Y)\| \|GH(Y) - F(X)\| + \|GH(X) - GH(Y)\|^2}{\|GH(X) - F(X)\| + \|GH(X) - GH(Y)\|} \right] \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|GH(Y) - F(Y)\| \|GH(X) - GH(Y)\| + \|GH(X) - F(X)\| \|GH(X) - F(Y)\| + \|GH(X) - GH(Y)\|^2}{\|GH(Y) - F(Y)\| + \|GH(X) - GH(Y)\|} \right] \\ &+ \gamma [\|GH(X) - F(X)\| + \|GH(Y) - F(Y)\|] + \delta [\|GH(X) - F(Y)\| + \|GH(Y) - F(X)\|] \\ &+ \eta \|GH(X) - GH(Y)\| \end{aligned}$$

For every $X, Y \in K$ and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta$ such that $5\alpha + 5\beta + 4\gamma + 2\delta + \eta < 2$ then there exist at least one fixed point $X_0 \in X$ such that

$$F(X_0) = GH(X_0) \text{ and } FG(X_0) = H(X_0)$$

Further if $\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta < 1$ then F has a unique fixed point.

Proof: From (3.3.1) and (3.3.2) it follows that $(FGH)^2 = I$, where I is the identify mapping, from (3.3.2) and (3.3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|FGH \cdot G(X) - FGH \cdot G(Y)\| = \|F \cdot GHG(X) - F \cdot GHG(Y)\| \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|(GH)^2 G(X) - FGHG(X)\| \|(GH)^2 G(X) - (GH)^2 G(Y)\| + \|(GH)^2 G(Y) - FGHG(Y)\| \|(GH)^2 G(Y) - FGHG(X)\| + \|(GH)^2 G(X) - (GH)^2 G(Y)\|^2}{\|(GH)^2 G(X) - FGHG(X)\| + \|(GH)^2 G(X) - (GH)^2 G(Y)\|} \right] \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|(GH)^2 G(Y) - FGHG(Y)\| \|(GH)^2 G(X) - (GH)^2 G(Y)\| + \|(GH)^2 G(X) - FGHG(X)\| \|(GH)^2 G(X) - FGHG(Y)\| + \|(GH)^2 G(X) - (GH)^2 G(Y)\|^2}{\|(GH)^2 G(Y) - FGHG(Y)\| + \|(GH)^2 G(X) - (GH)^2 G(Y)\|} \right] \\ &+ \gamma [\|(GH)^2 G(X) - FGHG(X)\| + \|(GH)^2 G(Y) - FGHG(Y)\|] \\ &+ \delta [\|(GH)^2 G(X) - FGHG(Y)\| + \|(GH)^2 G(Y) - FGHG(X)\|] \\ &+ \eta [\|(GH)^2 G(X) - (GH)^2 G(Y)\|] \\ &= \alpha \left[\frac{\|G(X) - FGHG(X)\| \|G(X) - G(Y)\| + \|G(Y) - FGHG(Y)\| \|G(Y) - FGHG(X)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|^2}{\|G(X) - FGHG(X)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|} \right] \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|G(Y) - FGHG(Y)\| \|G(X) - G(Y)\| + \|G(X) - FGHG(X)\| \|G(X) - FGHG(Y)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|^2}{\|G(Y) - FGHG(Y)\| + \|G(X) - G(Y)\|} \right] \\ &+ \gamma [\|G(X) - FGHG(X)\| + \|G(Y) - FGHG(Y)\|] + \delta [\|G(X) - FGHG(Y)\| + \|G(Y) - FGHG(X)\|] \\ &+ \eta [\|G(X) - G(Y)\|] \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we put $G(X) = Z$ and $G(Y) = W$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|FGH(Z) - FGH(W)\| \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Z - FGH(Z)\| \|Z - W\| + \|W - FGH(W)\| \|W - FGH(Z)\| + \|Z - W\|^2}{\|Z - FGH(Z)\| + \|Z - W\|} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|W - FGH(W)\| \|Z - W\| + \|Z - FGH(Z)\| \|Z - FGH(W)\| + \|Z - W\|^2}{\|W - FGH(W)\| + \|Z - W\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma [\|Z - FGH(Z)\| + \|W - FGH(W)\|] + \delta [\|Z - FGH(W)\| + \|W - FGH(Z)\|] \\
 & +\eta [\|Z - W\|]
 \end{aligned}$$

Put $FGH = N$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|N(z) - N(w)\| \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|z - N(z)\| \|z - w\| + \|w - N(w)\| \|w - N(z)\| + \|z - w\|^2}{\|z - N(z)\| + \|z - w\|} \right] \\
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|w - N(w)\| \|z - w\| + \|z - N(z)\| \|z - N(w)\| + \|z - w\|^2}{\|w - N(w)\| + \|z - w\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma [\|z - N(z)\| + \|w - N(w)\|] + \delta [\|z - N(w)\| + \|w - N(z)\|] + \eta \|z - w\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Put $w = \frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z)$

$N(w) = s$ and $t = 2w - s$ (A)

Now from (A) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|s - z\| & = \|N(w) - z\| = \|N(w) - N^2(z)\| = \|N(w) - N(N(z))\| \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|w - N(w)\| \|w - N(z)\| + \|N(z) - N^2(z)\| \|N(z) - N(w)\| + \|w - N(z)\|^2}{\|w - N(w)\| + \|w - N(z)\|} \right] \\
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|N(z) - N^2(z)\| \|w - N(z)\| + \|w - N(w)\| \|w - N^2(z)\| + \|w - N(z)\|^2}{\|N(z) - N^2(z)\| + \|w - N(z)\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma [\|w - N(w)\| + \|N(z) - N^2(z)\|] + \delta [\|w - N^2(z)\| + \|N(z) - N(w)\|] + \eta [\|w - N(z)\|] \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|w - N(w)\| \|w - N(z)\| + \|N(z) - z\| \|N(z) - N(w)\| + \|w - N(z)\|^2}{\|N(z) - N(w)\|} \right] \\
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|N(z) - z\| \|w - N(z)\| + \|w - N(w)\| \|w - z\| + \|w - N(z)\|^2}{\|z - w\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma [\|w - N(w)\| + \|N(z) - z\|] + \delta [\|w - z\| + \|N(z) - N(w)\|] + \eta \|w - N(z)\| \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|w - N(w)\| \|\frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z) - N(z)\| + \|N(z) - z\| \|N(z) - N(\frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z))\| + \|\frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z) - N(z)\|^2}{\|N(z) - N(\frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z))\|} \right] \\
 & +\beta \left[\frac{\|N(z) - z\| \|\frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z) - N(z)\| + \|w - N(w)\| \|\frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z) - z\| + \|\frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z) - N(z)\|^2}{\|z - \frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z)\|} \right] \\
 & +\gamma [\|w - N(w)\| + \|N(z) - z\|] + \delta [\|\frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z) - z\| + \|N(z) - N(\frac{1}{2}(N + I)(z))\|]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\eta\left\|\frac{1}{2}(N+I)(z)-N(z)\right\| \\
 & \leq \alpha\left[\frac{\|w-N(w)\|\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|+\|N(z)-z\|\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|+\frac{1}{4}\|N(z)-z\|^2}{\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|}\right] \\
 & +\beta\left[\frac{\|N(z)-z\|\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|+\|w-N(w)\|\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|+\frac{1}{4}\|N(z)-z\|^2}{\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|}\right] \\
 & +\gamma\left[\|w-N(w)\|+\|N(z)-z\|\right]+\delta\left[\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|+\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|\right]+\eta\left[\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|\right] \\
 & \leq \alpha\left[\|w-N(w)\|+\|N(z)-z\|+\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|\right] \\
 & +\beta\left[\|N(z)-z\|+\|w-N(w)\|+\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\|\right] \\
 & +\gamma\left[\|w-N(w)\|+\|N(z)-z\|\right]+\delta\left[\|N(z)-z\|\right]+\eta\frac{1}{2}\|N(z)-z\| \\
 & \leq\left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\beta+\gamma+\delta+\frac{1}{2}\eta\right)\|N(z)-z\|+(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)\|w-N(w)\|
 \end{aligned} \tag{B}$$

Similarly it can be shown that

$$\|t-z\| \leq\left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\beta+\gamma+\delta+\frac{1}{2}\eta\right)\|N(z)-z\|+(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)\|w-N(w)\| \tag{C}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|s-t\| & \leq\|s-z\|+\|z-t\| \\
 & \leq(3\alpha+3\beta+2\gamma+2\delta+\eta)\|N(z)-z\|+2(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)\|w-N(w)\|
 \end{aligned} \tag{D}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|s-t\| & =\|N(w)-(2v-s)\| \\
 & =\|N(w)-2v+N(w)\| \\
 & =2\|N(w)-w\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting the above value in equality (D), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\|N(w)-w\| & \leq(3\alpha+3\beta+2\gamma+2\delta+\eta)\|N(z)-z\|+2(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)\|w-N(w)\| \\
 \therefore\|N(w)-w\| & \leq q\|N(z)-z\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } q=\frac{3\alpha+3\beta+2\gamma+2\delta+\eta}{2-(2\alpha+2\beta+2\gamma)} < 1$$

since $5\alpha+5\beta+4\gamma+2\delta+\eta < 2$

$$\text{i.e. } \|N(w)-w\| \leq q\|N(z)-z\| \tag{E}$$

Put $G=\frac{1}{2}(N+I)$ then for $z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|G^2(z)-G(z)\| & =\|G(w)-w\| \\
 & =\left\|\frac{1}{2}(N+I)(w)-w\right\| \\
 & =\frac{1}{2}\|N(w)-w\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{q}{2} \|N(w) - w\|$$

By the definition of q , we claim that $\{G^n(X)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

By the completeness $\{G^n(X)\}$ convergent to some point X_0 in X .

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G^n(X) = X_0$$

which implies that $G(X_0) = X_0$

Hence $N(X_0) = X_0$

$$\therefore FGH(X_0) = X_0 \text{ because } N = FGH \tag{3.3.4}$$

and so

$$GH(FGH)(X_0) = GH(X_0)$$

$$\therefore F(X_0) = GH(X_0) \tag{3.3.5}$$

Also

$$H(FGH)(X_0) = H(X_0)$$

$$\therefore FG(X_0) = H(X_0)$$

Now, by (3.3.1), (3.3.2), (3.3.3), (3.3.4) and (3.3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(X_0) - X_0\| &= \|FG(X_0) - F^2(X_0)\| \\ &= \|FG(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|GHG(X_0) - FG(X_0)\| \|GHG(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - FG(X_0)\| \|GHF(X_0) - FG(X_0)\| + \|GHG(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\|^2}{\|GHG(X_0) - FG(X_0)\| + \|GHG(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\|} \right] \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \|GHG(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\| + \|GHG(X_0) - FG(X_0)\| \|GHG(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|GHG(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\|^2}{\|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|GHG(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\|} \right] \\ &+ \gamma [\|GHG(X_0) - FG(X_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\|] \\ &+ \delta [\|GHG(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - FG(X_0)\|] + \eta [\|GHG(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\|] \\ &= \alpha \left[\frac{\|H(X_0) - H(X_0)\| \|H(X_0) - X_0\| + \|X_0 - X_0\| \|X_0 - H(X_0)\| + \|H(X_0) - X_0\|^2}{\|H(X_0) - H(X_0)\| + \|H(X_0) - X_0\|} \right] \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|X_0 - X_0\| \|H(X_0) - (X_0)\| + \|H(X_0) - H(X_0)\| \|H(X_0) - X_0\| + \|H(X_0) - X_0\|^2}{\|X_0 - X_0\| + \|H(X_0) - (X_0)\|} \right] \\ &+ \gamma [\|H(X_0) - H(X_0)\| + \|X_0 - X_0\|] + \delta [\|H(X_0) - X_0\| + \|X_0 - H(X_0)\|] + \eta [\|H(X_0) - X_0\|] \\ &= \alpha \|H(X_0) - X_0\| + \beta \|H(X_0) - X_0\| + 2\delta \|H(X_0) - X_0\| + \eta \|H(X_0) - X_0\| \\ &= (\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta) \|H(X_0) - X_0\| \end{aligned}$$

There fore $\|H(X_0) - X_0\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta) \|H(X_0) - X_0\|$

This is contradiction

Since $\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta < 1$.

$$\therefore H(X_0) = X_0$$

I.e. X_0 is the fixed point of H . Thus we have from (3.2.5)

$$G(X_0) = F(X_0)$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(X_0) - X_0\| &= \|F(X_0) - F^2(X_0)\| \\ &= \|F(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|GH(X_0) - F(X_0)\| \|GH(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \|GHF(X_0) - F(X_0)\| + \|GH(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\|^2}{\|GH(X_0) - F(X_0)\| + \|GH(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\|} \right] \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \|GH(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\| + \|GH(X_0) - F(X_0)\| \|GH(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|GH(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\|^2}{\|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|GH(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\|} \right] \\ &+ \gamma [\|GH(X_0) - F(X_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\|] \\ &+ \delta [\|GH(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - F(X_0)\|] + \eta [\|GH(X_0) - GHF(X_0)\|] \\ &= \alpha \left[\frac{\|F(X_0) - F(X_0)\| \|F(X_0) - X_0\| + \|X_0 - X_0\| \|X_0 - F(X_0)\| + \|F(X_0) - X_0\|^2}{\|F(X_0) - F(X_0)\| + \|F(X_0) - X_0\|} \right] \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{\|X_0 - X_0\| \|F(X_0) - X_0\| + \|F(X_0) - F(X_0)\| \|F(X_0) - X_0\| + \|F(X_0) - X_0\|^2}{\|X_0 - X_0\| + \|F(X_0) - X_0\|} \right] \\ &+ \gamma [\|F(X_0) - F(X_0)\| + \|X_0 - X_0\|] + \delta [\|F(X_0) - X_0\| + \|X_0 - F(X_0)\|] + \eta [\|F(X_0) - X_0\|] \\ &= \alpha \|F(X_0) - X_0\| + \beta \|F(X_0) - X_0\| + 2\delta \|F(X_0) - X_0\| + \eta \|F(X_0) - X_0\| \\ &= (\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta) \|F(X_0) - X_0\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \|F(X_0) - X_0\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta) \|F(X_0) - X_0\|$$

Which is contradiction, since $\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta < 1$

$$\therefore F(X_0) = X_0$$

$$\text{But } F(X_0) = G(X_0)$$

$$\therefore F(X_0) = G(X_0) = H(X_0) = X_0$$

$\therefore X_0$ is the common fixed point of F, G, H .

Using (3.3.3), (3.3.2), (3.3.3), (3.3.4) and (3.3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_0 - Y_0\| &= \|F^2(X_0) - F^2(Y_0)\| \\ &= \|FF(X_0) - FF(Y_0)\| \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \|GHF(X_0) - GHF(Y_0)\| + \|GHF(Y_0) - FF(Y_0)\| \|GHF(Y_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - GHF(Y_0)\|^2}{\|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - GHF(Y_0)\|} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+\beta \left[\frac{\|GHF(Y_0) - FF(Y_0)\| \|GHF(X_0) - GHF(Y_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| \|GHF(X_0) - FF(Y_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - GHF(Y_0)\|^2}{\|GHF(Y_0) - FF(Y_0)\| + \|GHF(X_0) - GHF(Y_0)\|} \right]$$

$$+\gamma \left[\|GHF(X_0) - FF(X_0)\| + \|GHF(Y_0) - FF(Y_0)\| \right] \\ +\delta \left[\|GHF(X_0) - FF(Y_0)\| + \|GHF(Y_0) - FF(X_0)\| \right] + \eta \|GHF(X_0) - GHF(Y_0)\|$$

$$= \alpha \left[\frac{\|X_0 - X_0\| \|X_0 - Y_0\| + \|Y_0 - Y_0\| \|Y_0 - X_0\| + \|X_0 - Y_0\|^2}{\|X_0 - X_0\| + \|X_0 - Y_0\|} \right] \\ +\beta \left[\frac{\|Y_0 - Y_0\| \|X_0 - Y_0\| + \|X_0 - X_0\| \|X_0 - Y_0\| + \|X_0 - Y_0\|^2}{\|Y_0 - Y_0\| + \|X_0 - Y_0\|} \right] \\ +\gamma \left[\|X_0 - X_0\| + \|Y_0 - Y_0\| \right] + \delta \left[\|X_0 - Y_0\| + \|Y_0 - X_0\| \right] + \eta \|X_0 - X_0\|$$

$$= \alpha \|X_0 - Y_0\| + \beta \|X_0 - Y_0\| + 2\delta \|X_0 - Y_0\| + \eta \|X_0 - Y_0\| \\ = (\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta) \|X_0 - Y_0\|$$

$$\therefore \|X_0 - Y_0\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta) \|X_0 - Y_0\|$$

This is contradiction, since $\alpha + \beta + 2\delta + \eta < 1$

$\therefore X_0 = Y_0$. This completes the proof of theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad A. and Shakil, M. "Some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces" Nonlinear Funct. Anal. And Appl. 11(2006) 343-349.
- [2] Banach S. "Sur les operation dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations intergrals" Fund. Math. 3(1922) 133-181.
- [3] Badshah V. H. and Gupta, O.P. "Fixed point theorems in Banach and 2 – Banach spaces" Jnanabha 35(2005) 73-78.
- [4] Browder F.E. "Non-expansive non-linear operators in Banach spaces" Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 54 (1965) 1041-1044.
- [5] Datson W. G. Jr. "Fixed point of quasi non-expansive mappings" J, Austral. Math. Soc. 13 (1972) 167-172.
- [6] Gohde D. "Zum prinzip dev kontraktiven abbilduing" Math. Nachr 30 (1965) 251-258.
- [7] Goebel, K. "An elementary proof of the fixed point theorem of Browder and Kirk" Michigan Math. J. 16 (1969) 381-383.
- [8] Goebel K. and Zlotkiewics, E. "Some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces" Colloq Math 23(1971) 103-106.
- [9] Goebel K. Kirk, W.A. and Shimt, T.N. "A fixed point theorem in uniformly convex spaces" Boll. Un. Math, Italy 4 (1973) 67-75.
- [10] Gahlar S. "2 – Metreche raume and ihre topologicche structure" Math. Nach. 26 (1963-64) 115-148.
- [11] Isekey K. "Fixed point theorem in Banach space" Math Sem. Notes, Kobe University 2(1974) 111-115.
- [12] Jong S. J. "Viscosity approximation methods for a family of finite non expansive in Banach spaces" nonlinear Analysis 64(2006) 2536-2552.

- [13] Khan M. S. "Fixed points and their approximation in Banach spaces for certain commuting mappings" Glasgow Math. Jour. 23(1982) 1-6.
- [14] Khan M. S. and Imdad, M. "Fixed points of certain involutions in Banach spaces" J. Austral. Math. Soc. 37 (1984) 169-177.
- [15] Kirk W. A. "A fixed point theorem mappings do not increase distance" Amer. Math. Monthly 72 (1965) 1004-1006.
- [16] Kirk W.A. "A fixed point theorem for non-expansive mappings" Lecture notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York 886 (1981) 111-120.
- [17] Kirk W. A. "Fixed point theorem for non-expansive mappings" Contem Math. 18(1983) 121-140.
- [18] Pathak H. K. and Maity, A. R. "A fixed point theorem in Banach space" Acta Ciencia Indica 17 (1991) 137-139.
- [19] Qureshi N. A. and Singh, B. "A fixed point theorem in Banach space" Acta Ciencia Indica 17 (1995) 282-284.
- [20] Rajput S. S. and Naroliya, N. "Fixed point theorem in Banach space" Acta Ciencia Indica 17 (1991) 469-474.
- [21] Sgarma P. L. and Rajput, S. S. "Fixed point theorem in Banach space" Vikram Mathematical Journal 4 (1983) 35-38.
- [22] Singh M. R. and Chattergee, A. K. "Fixed point theorem in Banach space" Pure Math. Manuscript 6 (19870) 53-61.
- [23] Sharma S. and Bhagwan, A. "Common fixed point theorems on Normed space" Acta Ciencia Indica 31 (2003) 20-24.
- [24] Shahzad N and Udomene, A. "Fixed point solutions of variational inequalities for asymptotically non-expansive mappings in Banach spaces" Nonlinear Analysis 64(2006) 558-567.
- [25] Verma B. P. "Application of Banach fixed point theorem to solve non linear equations and its generalization" Jnanabha 36 (2006) 21-23.
- [26] Yadava R. N., Rajput, S. S. and Bhardwaj, R. K. "Some fixed point and common fixed point theorems in Banach spaces" Acta Ciencia Indica 33 No 2 (2007) 453-460.
- [27] R. Shrivastav, B.Dwivedi, S. S. Rajput "Some common fixed point theorems in Banach spaces" Int.Jour. Of Math Sci. & Engg. Appls.5 (2011).
