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#### Abstract

In this paper, we have accomplished the task of generalizing the fixed point theorems due to Som [3], Taskovic [4] Mukherjee [1] in the context of semi-compatibility and weak compatibility in Menger space and also it has been applied to prove common fixed point theorems for three, four and sequence of mappings. A coincidence point theorem is also established for a multi-valued mapping satisfying a generalized condition of Hausdorff distance function in ( $T, f, x$ )-orbitally complete Menger space. This theorem is generalized result of Tiwari and Shrivastava [5] and Singh [2].


## BASIC PRELIMINARIES

Following notations and definitions will be used in this paper.
$C L(X)=\{A: A$ is non-empty closed subset of $X\}$.
Definition 1: Let $T$ be a multi-valued mapping on a Menger space ( $X, F, \Delta$ ) and $x_{0} \in X$. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ said to be an orbit of $T$ at $\mathbf{x}_{0}$ denoted by $o\left(T, x_{0}\right)$ if $x_{n-1} \in T^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$, i.e., $x_{n} \in T x_{n-1}, \forall n \in N$.

If $T$ is a self mapping then the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right), \forall \mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$, is the orbit of $\mathbf{T}$ at $\mathrm{x}_{0}$.
Definition 2: A Manger space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}$, ) is said to be T -orbitally complete iff every cauchy sequence of the form $\left(x_{n_{i}}: x_{n_{i}} \in T x_{n_{i-1}}\right)$ converges in $X$.

Definition 3: Let S and T be a single-valued mappings and multi-valued mapping respectively on a Menger space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}, \Delta$ ). A Menger space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}, \Delta$ ) is said to be ( $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{X}$ )-orbitally complete iff every cauchy sequence of the form $\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}}: S \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}} \in T \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}-1}}\right)$ converges in X .

Definition 4: A multi-valued mapping $T$ in Menger space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}, \Delta$ ) is said to be asymptotically regular at $\mathrm{x}_{0}$, if for each sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ in $\mathrm{X}, \quad X_{n} \in T X_{n-1}$ and $F_{X_{n^{\prime} X_{n+1}}}(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$, for all $\mathrm{t}>0$

Definition 5: Let S and T be a single-valued mappings and multi-valued mapping respectively on a Menger space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}, \Delta$ ). A point x in X is said to be a coincidence point of S and T if $\mathrm{Sx} \in \mathrm{Tx}$.

Definition 6: Self mappings $A$ and $S$ of a menger space ( $X, F, A$ ) are called semi-compatible if $F_{A S}, S u(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$, for all $\mathcal{E}>0$, whenever $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\mathrm{Ax}_{\mathrm{n}}, S \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{u}$, for some u in X .

Proposition 7: Let A and S be self mappings on a Menger space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}$, ) with $\Delta(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}) \geq \mathrm{a}$, for all $\mathrm{a} \in[0,1]$. If S is continuous then $(A, S)$ is semi-compatible iff $(A, S)$ is compatible.

[^0]Proof: Consider a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that $\left\{\mathrm{Ax}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} \rightarrow \mathrm{u}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} \rightarrow \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{As}$ S is continuous, we have $\mathrm{SAx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Su}$.
Suppose that (A, S) is semi-compatible, then for given $(\mathcal{E}, \lambda)$, we have a positive integer $\mathrm{N}_{0}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \lambda)$ such that

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{AS}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Su}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \geq 1-\lambda \quad \text { and } \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{n}}}, \mathrm{Su}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \geq 1-\lambda, \forall \mathrm{n}>\mathrm{N}_{0}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ASx}_{\mathrm{n}}, S A x_{\mathrm{n}}}(\varepsilon) & \geq \Delta\left(F_{A S x_{n}, S u}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right), F_{S A x_{n}, S u}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \Delta(1-\lambda, 1-\lambda), & & \forall \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{N}_{0} \\
& \geq 1-\lambda, & \forall \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{N}_{0} .
\end{array}
$$

Hence the pair $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{S})$ is compatible.
Conversely, let the pair (A,S) be compatible. Then for given $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$, we have a positive integer $\mathrm{N}_{0}(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ASx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{SAx}_{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \geq 1-\lambda, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{SAx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Su}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \geq 1-\lambda, \quad \forall \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{N}_{0} .
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ASx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Su}}(\varepsilon) & \geq \Delta\left(F_{A S x_{n}, S A x_{n}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right), F_{S A x_{n}, S u}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \Delta(1-\lambda, 1-\lambda) \\
& \geq 1-\lambda ; \forall \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{N}_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mathrm{ASx}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Su}$, i.e. (A, S ) is semi-compatible.
Definition 8: Two self mappings $A$ and $S$ of a menger space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}, \Delta$ ) are said to be reciprocally continuous if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A S x_{n}=A t \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S A x_{n}=S t
$$

whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A x_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{n}=t ; \quad \text { for some } t \in X
$$

If A and S are both continuous, then they are obviously reciprocally continuous but the converse is not true.

## MAIN RESULTS

The following theorem is given by R. Tiwari and S.K. Shrivastava [5]
Theorem 9: Let $T$ be a multi-valued mapping from a metric space $X$ to $C L(X)$. If there exists $f: X \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathrm{TX} \subseteq \mathrm{fX}$, for each $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$, and
$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{Ty}) \leq \phi\binom{\alpha d(f x, f y)+\beta[D(f x, T x)+D(f x, T y)]+\gamma[D(f x, f y)+D(f y, T x)]}{\sigma \max \left\{d(f x, f y), \frac{1}{2}[D(f x, T x)+D(f y, T y)], \frac{1}{2}[D(f x, T y)+D(f y, T x)]\right\}}$
where $\max \{\alpha+2 \gamma+\sigma, \beta+\gamma+\sigma\} \leq 1, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0,0<\sigma \leq 1, \phi(\mathrm{t})<\mathrm{qt}$ for each $\mathrm{t}>0$ for some fixed $0<\mathrm{q}$ $<1, \phi \in \psi$ and there exists an $x_{0} \in X$ such that $T$ is asymptotically regular at $\mathrm{x}_{0}$, and X is (T, f, x)-orbitally complete, then T and f have a coincidence point.

Taking the clue from above theorem 9, we prove the following theorem
Theorem 10: Let $(X, F, \Delta)$ be a Menger space, where $\Delta(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\min \{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$, for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \in[0,1]$ and T be a multi-valued mapping from $X$ to $C L(X)$. If there exists mapping $S: X \rightarrow X$ such that
(a) $T X \subseteq S X$, for each $x, y \in X$, and
(b) $\quad F_{T x, T y}(\phi t) \geq \min \binom{F_{S x, 5 y}(t), F_{S x, T x}(t), F_{S y, T y}(t), F_{S x, T_{y}}(t), F_{S y, T x}(t)}{\left.\left.,\max \left\{F_{S x, s_{y}}(t), F_{S x, T_{x}}(\alpha t), F_{S y, T y}(2-\alpha) t\right), F_{S y, T y}(\beta t), F_{S y, T x}((2-\beta) t)\right\}\right)}$

$$
\text { for all } \mathrm{t}>0, \alpha, \beta \in(0,1) \text {, }
$$

(c) $\quad \phi(\mathrm{t})<\mathrm{qt}, \forall \mathrm{t}>0,0<\mathrm{q}<1, \phi \in \Phi$,
(d) There exists an $\mathrm{x}_{0} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that T is asymptotically regular at $\mathrm{x}_{0}$,
(e) ( $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{x}$ ) - orbitally complete.

Then T and S have a coincidence point.
Proof: Choose $\mathrm{x}_{0} \in \mathrm{X}$ satisfying (a). We shall construct two sequences $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ as follows:
Since $T X \subset S X$, Choose $y_{1}=S x_{1} \in T x_{0}$. If $T x_{0}=T x_{1}$, Choose $y_{2}=S x_{2} \in T x_{1}$ such that $y_{1}=y_{2}$, If $T x_{0} \neq T x_{1}$, from the definition of Hausdorff distance one can choose $\mathrm{y}_{2}=\mathrm{Sx}_{2} \in \mathrm{Tx}_{1}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}}(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Tx}_{0}, \mathrm{Tx}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})
$$

In general, Choose $y_{n+2}=S x_{n+2} \in T x_{n+1}$, such that $y_{n+1}=y_{n+2}$ if $T x_{n}=T x_{n+1}$ and $F_{y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}}(t) \geq F_{T x_{n}, T x_{n+1}}(t)$
Otherwise.
We wise to show that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is cauchy. For this it is sufficient to show that $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$ is cauchy.
Suppose on the contrary that $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$ is not cauchy. Then there is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that for each integer $2 \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}=0,1,2, \ldots$. there exists even integers 2 nk and 2 mk with $2 \mathrm{k}<2 \mathrm{nk}<2 \mathrm{mk}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{nk}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{mk}}}(\varepsilon)<1-\lambda . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let for each integer $2 \mathrm{k}, 2 \mathrm{mk}$ be the least positive integer exceeding 2 nk satisfying (1). Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{nk}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{mk}}}(\varepsilon) \geq 1-\lambda  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{nk}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{mk}}}(\varepsilon)<1-\lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

As such, for each even integer $2 k$, we have

$$
1-\lambda>\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{nk}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{mk}}}(\varepsilon) \geq F_{y_{2 m k} y_{2 m k}}(\varepsilon) \geq \Delta\left(F_{y_{2 n k} y_{2 m k-2}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right), F_{y_{2 m k-2}, y_{2 m k-1}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right), F_{y_{2 m k-1}, y_{2 m k}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)\right)
$$

So by (2) and $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{y_{2 n k}, y_{2 m k}}(\varepsilon)=1-\lambda \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (3) in the triangle inequality

$$
F_{y_{2 n k} y_{2 n k-1}}(\varepsilon) \geq \Delta\left(F_{y_{2 n k} \cdot y_{2 n k}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right), F_{y_{2 n k} y_{2 m k-1}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
F_{y_{2 k k+1} y_{2 m k-1}}(\varepsilon) \geq \Delta\left(F_{y_{2 n k+1} y^{\prime}} y_{2 n k}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right), F_{y_{2 n k} y_{2 m k}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right), F_{y_{2 m k} y_{2 n k-1}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)\right)
$$

Taking $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{nk}+1},}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{mk}-1}  \tag{4}\\
& \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{nk}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{mk}-1}}(\varepsilon) \geq \Delta[1-\lambda, 1]=1-\lambda \text { and }  \tag{5}\\
& \geq \Delta[1-\lambda, 1,1]=1-\lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{y_{2 n k} \cdot y_{2 m k}}(\phi t) \geq \Delta\left(F_{y_{2 n k} y_{2 n k+1}}\left(\frac{\phi t}{2}\right), F_{y_{2 n k t t^{\prime}} y_{2 m k}}\left(\frac{\phi t}{2}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \Delta\left(F_{y_{2 n k}, y_{2 n k+1}}\left(\frac{\phi t}{2}\right), F_{T X_{2 n k+1} T X_{2 m k}}\left(\frac{\phi t}{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $\beta=1-\mathrm{q}, \alpha=1-\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{r} \in(0,1)$

$$
\geq \Delta\left(F_{y_{2 n k}, y_{2 n k+1}}\left(\frac{\phi t}{2}\right), \min \left(\begin{array}{l}
F_{y_{2 n k+1},} y_{2 m k}(2 t), F_{y_{2 n k+1},} y_{2 n k+2}(2 t), F_{y_{2 m k},} y_{2 m k+1}(2 t), \\
F_{y_{2 n k+1},} y_{2 m k+1}(2 t), F_{y_{2 m k},} y_{2 n k+2}(2 t), \\
\\
\max \left(\begin{array}{l}
F_{y_{2 n k+1},} y_{2 m k}(2 t), F_{y_{2 n k+1},} y_{2 n k+2}(2(1-r) t), F_{y_{2 n k+1},} y_{2 n k+1}(2(1+r) t), \\
F_{y_{2 n k+1},} y_{2 m k+1}(2(1-q) t), F_{y_{2 m k}, y_{2 n k+1}}(2(1+q) t)
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

Since $\phi$ is upper semi-continuous, taking the limit as $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty$

$$
1-\lambda \geq \Delta(1,(1-\lambda), 1,1,(1-\lambda),(1-\lambda), \max \{(1-\lambda), 1,1,(1-\lambda),(1-\lambda)\})
$$

$$
1-\lambda \geq 1-\lambda,
$$

which is a contraction.
Thus $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a cauchy sequence. Since $S X$ is (T, $S, x_{0}$ )-orbitally complete, $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converges to a point $u$ in $X$. Hence there exists a point z in SX such that $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{Sz}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\mathrm{Sz}, \mathrm{Tz}}(\phi t) \geq \Delta\left(F_{S z}, S x_{n+1}\left(\frac{\phi t}{2}\right), F_{S x_{2 n+1}, T z}\left(\frac{\phi t}{2}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \Delta\left(F_{S z}, S X_{n+1}\left(\frac{\phi t}{2}\right), F_{T X_{n}} T z\left(\frac{\phi t}{2}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \Delta\left(\begin{array}{l}
F_{S Z}, S x_{n+1}\left(\frac{\phi t}{2}\right), \min \\
F_{S X_{n}, S Z}(2 t), F_{S X_{n}, T X_{n}}(2 t), F_{S Z, T Z}(2 t), \\
F_{S X_{n}, T Z}(2 t), F_{S Z, T X_{n}}(2 t),
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(\max \binom{F_{S X_{n}, S Z}(2 t), F_{S X_{n}, T X_{n}}(2(1-r) t), F_{S Z, T Z}(2(1+r) t),}{F_{S X_{n}, T Z}(2(1-q) t), F_{S Z, T X_{n}}(2(1+q) t)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking limit $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.F_{S z, T z}(\phi t) \geq \Delta\binom{\left.\left(\begin{array}{l}
1, F_{S z, T z}(2 t), F_{S z, T z}(2 t), \\
F_{S z, T z}(2 t), F_{S z, T z}(2 t), \\
\max \binom{1, F_{S z, T z}(2(1-r) t), F_{S z, T z}(2(1+r) t),}{F_{S z, T z}(2(1-q) t), F_{S z, T z}(2(1+q) t)}
\end{array}\right)\right)}{\quad \geq \Delta\left(1, \min \left(1, F_{S z, T z}(2 t), 1\right)\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
F_{s z, z_{z}}(\phi t) \geq F_{s z, z_{z}}(2 t)
$$

$$
F_{s, T T_{z}}(t) \geq F_{s, T_{2}}\left(\phi^{-1} t\right)
$$

Hence $\mathrm{Sz} \in \mathrm{Tz}$.
z is a coincidence point of S and T .

## APPLICATIONS

In this section we study the existence of fixed point for multi-valued and self-mappings in a metric space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) using the results in main result.

Theorem 11: Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T:(X, d) \rightarrow\left(C L(X), d_{H}\right)$. If there exists a mapping $S:(X, d) \rightarrow$ ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) such that
(a) $T X \subseteq S X$, for each $x, y \in X$, and
(b) $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{Ty}) \leq \phi \max \left(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Sy}), \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Tx}), \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{Ty}), \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Ty}), \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{Tx})\right.$ ), min $\{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Sy})$,

$$
\left.\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{Ty})+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Tx})\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Ty})+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{Tx})\right]\right\}
$$

where $\phi(\mathrm{t})<\mathrm{qt}$ for each $\mathrm{t}>0,0<\mathrm{q}>1, \phi \in \Phi$ and
(c) there exists an $x_{0} \in X$ such that $T$ is asymptotically regular at $x_{0}$,
(d) X is (T, S, X ) - orbitally complete.

Then T and S have a coincidence point.
Proof: If we define $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{+}$by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})\right.$ ), where $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} \in \mathrm{CL}(\mathrm{X})$, then the space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}$, min) with a $t$-norm $\Delta=\min$ is a Menger space and the topology induced by the metric $d$ coincides with the topology $\tau$. And for any $T x, T y \in C L(X)$, we have
where, $\mathrm{d}_{1}=\mathrm{d}(S x, S y), \mathrm{d}_{2}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Tx}), \mathrm{d}_{3}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, T y), \mathrm{d}_{4}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Ty})$,

$$
\mathrm{d}_{5}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Tx}), \mathrm{d}_{6}=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Sy}), \mathrm{d}_{7}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Tx}), \mathrm{d}_{8}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Ty}),
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{Ty}}(\phi \mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{H}\left[\phi \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{Ty})\right] \\
& \geq H\left[\phi t-\max \left\{d(S x, S y), d_{H}(S x, T x), d_{H}(S x, T y),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Ty}), \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Tx})\right\}, \min \{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Sy}) \text {, } \\
& \left.\left.\frac{1}{2}\left[d_{H}(S x, T x)+d_{H}(S x, T y)\right], \frac{1}{2}\left[d_{H}(S x, T y)+d_{H}(S y, T x)\right]\right\}\right] \\
& =H\left[t-\max \left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}, d_{5}, \min \left\{d_{6}, \frac{1}{2}\left(d_{7}+d_{8}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(d_{9}+d_{10}\right)\right\}\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}_{9}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Ty}), \mathrm{d}_{10}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{Tx}) \\
& =H\left[\operatorname { m i n } \left\{\left(t-d_{1}\right),\left(t-d_{2}\right),\left(t-d_{3}\right),\left(t-d_{4}\right),\left(t-d_{5}\right),\right.\right. \\
& \max \left\{\left(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right),\left(\mathrm{t}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{7}-\mathrm{d}_{8}\right)\right),\left(\mathrm{t}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{9}+\mathrm{d}_{10}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{H\left(t-d_{1}\right), H\left(t-d_{2}\right), H\left(t-d_{3}\right), H\left(t-d_{4}\right), H\left(t-d_{5}\right)\right. \text {, } \\
& \max \left\{H\left(t-d_{6}\right), H\left(\alpha t-d_{7}\right), H\left((2-\alpha) t-d_{8}\right), H\left(\beta t-d_{9}\right)\right. \text {, } \\
& \left.\left.H\left((2-\beta) t-d_{10}\right)\right\}\right] \text { for some } \alpha, \beta \in(0,2) \\
& =\min \left\{F_{S x, S y}(t), F_{S x, T x}(t), F_{S y, T y}(t), F_{S x, T y}(t), F_{S y, T x}(t),\right. \\
& \max \left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Sy}}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Tx}}(\alpha \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{Ty}}((2-\alpha) \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Ty}}(\beta \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{Tx}}((2-\beta) \mathrm{t})\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Theorem 10 follows from Theorem 11 immediately.
Hence there exists a coincident point.
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