

THE MIDDLE BLICT GRAPH OF A GRAPH

V. R. KULLI*¹, M. S. BIRADAR²

¹Department of Mathematics, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga 585 106, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Govt. First Grade College, Basavakalyan, India.

(Received On: 10-07-15; Revised & Accepted On: 27-07-15)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study the concept of the middle blict graph of a graph. We obtain some properties of this graph. We establish a characterization of graphs whose middle blict graphs are eulerian. Also we present characterizations of graphs whose middle blict graphs are planar, outerplanar and k -minimally nonouterplanar.

Keywords: point block graph, middle blict graph, inner point number, planar, k -minimally nonouterplanar.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider a graph as finite, undirected without loops and multiple lines. For any undefined term or notation, we refer Kulli [1].

If $B = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r, r \geq 2\}$ is a block of a graph G , then we say that point u_1 and block B are incident with each other, as are u_2 and B , and so on. If $B = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s, s \geq 1\}$ is a block of G , then we say that line e_1 and block B are incident with each other, as are e_2 and B and so on. If two distinct blocks B_1 and B_2 are incident with a common cut point, then they are adjacent blocks. This idea was introduced in [2]. The points, lines and blocks of a graph are called its members.

The middle graph $M(G)$ of a graph G is the graph whose point set is the union of the set of points and lines of G and in which two points are adjacent if they are adjacent lines of G or one is a point and other is a line incident with it. This concept was introduced in [3] and was studied by Kulli and Patil in [4, 5].

The point block graph $P_b(G)$ of a graph G is the graph whose point set is the union of the set of points and blocks of G and two points are adjacent if the corresponding blocks contain a common cutpoint of G or one corresponds to a block B of G and the other to a point v of G and v is in B . This concept was studied by Kulli and Biradar in [6, 7, 8]. Many other graph valued functions in graph theory were studied, for example, in [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

The following will be useful in the proof of our results.

Theorem A: [8] If G is a connected graph with p points and q lines; and b_i is the number of blocks to which point v_i belongs in G , then the point block graph $P_b(G)$ has $\sum_{i=1}^p b_i + 1$ points and $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p b_i (b_i + 1)$ lines.

Theorem B: [1, p. 50] If G is a (p, q) graph whose points have degree d_i , then the total graph $T(G)$ has $p+q$ points and $2q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p d_i^2$ lines.

Theorem C: [1, p. 197] A graph is planar if and only if it has no subgraph homeomorphic to K_5 or $K_{3,3}$.

Theorem D: A graph is eulerian if and only if every point is of even degree.

**Corresponding Author: V. R. Kulli*¹*

2. MIDDLE BLICT GRAPHS

The definitions of $P_b(G)$ and $M(G)$ inspired us to introduce the following graph valued function.

Definition 1: The middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ of a graph G is the graph whose set of points is the union of the set of points, lines and blocks of G and in which two points are adjacent if the corresponding lines and blocks of G are adjacent or one corresponds to a point and the other to a line incident with it or one corresponds to a block B of G and other to a point v of G and v is in B .

Example 2: In Figure 1, a graph G and its middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ are shown.




Figure-1

Remark 3: If G is a connected graph, then $M_n(G)$ is also connected and conversely.

Remark 4: The graph $L(G)$ and $B(G)$ are disjoint subgraphs of $M_n(G)$.

It is easy to see the following result.

Theorem 5: For any nontrivial connected graph, $M_n(G) = P_b(G) \cup M(G)$.

The following theorem determines the number of points and lines in the middle blict graph of a graph.

Theorem 6: If G is a (p, q) graph whose points have degree d_i and b_i is the number of blocks to which point v_i belongs in G , then the middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ has $q + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^p b_i$ points and $q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p [d_i^2 + b_i(b_i + 1)]$ lines.

Proof: The point set of $M_n(G)$ is $V \cup E \cup B$. But the point set of $P_b(G)$ is $V \cup B$. Therefore the number of points in $M_n(G)$ is the sum of the number of lines in G and the number of points in $P_b(G)$. By Theorem A, $P_b(G)$ has $\sum_{i=1}^p b_i + 1$ points. Hence the number of points in $M_n(G) = q + \sum_{i=1}^p b_i + 1$.

By Theorem 5, the number of lines in $M_n(G)$ is the sum of the number of lines in $M(G)$ and in $P_b(G)$. The number of lines in $M(G)$ is the number lines in $T(G) - q$. By Theorem B, $T(G)$ has $2q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p d_i^2$ lines and by Theorem A, $P_b(G)$ has $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p b_i(b_i + 1)$ lines. Hence the number of lines in

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(G) &= -q + 2q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p d_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p b_i(b_i + 1) \\ &= q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p [d_i^2 + b_i(b_i + 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

3. TRAVERSABILITY OF MIDDLE BLICT GRAPHS

The following is the simple result, which we merely state.

Lemma 7: In $M_n(G)$, the point w corresponds to a block B of a graph G . Then $\deg_{M_n(G)} w = n_1 + n_2$, where n_1 is the number of blocks adjacent with B and n_2 is the number of points incident to B .

Let c be a cutpoint. The \deg_{Bc} represents the number of blocks incident with c .

We now establish a characterization of graphs whose middle blict graphs are eulerian.

Theorem 8: The middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ of a connected graph G is eulerian if and only if G satisfies the following conditions:

1. degree of every point is odd,
2. for a cutpoint c of G , \deg_{Bc} is odd, and
3. if B is a block of G , then the number of blocks adjacent with B and the number of points incident to B are either all even or odd.

Proof: Suppose $M_n(G)$ is eulerian. Then the degree of every point of $M_n(G)$ is even. Let v be a point of G . Assume $\deg_G v$ is even. We consider the following cases.

Case-1: Suppose v is a noncutpoint of G . Then $\deg_{M_n(G)} v = \deg_G v + 1$. Since $\deg_G v$ is even, $\deg_{M_n(G)} v$ is odd, which is a contradiction. Hence the degree of every noncutpoint of G is odd.

Case-2: Suppose v is a cutpoint of G . Let $e = uv$ be the line of G . If u is a noncutpoint of G , then by case 1, $\deg_G u$ is odd. Then by definition, $\deg_{M_n(G)} e = \deg_G u - 1 + \deg_G v - 1 + 2 = \deg_G u + \deg_G v$. Since $\deg_G v$ is even, $\deg_G u - 1$ is odd, which is a contradiction. Hence the degree of every cutpoint of G is odd.

From the two cases, we conclude that (1) holds.

Let c be a cutpoint of G . On the contrary, assume $\deg_G c$ is even, where $\deg_B c$ is the number of blocks incident with c . Then $\deg_{M_n(G)} c = \deg_G c + \deg_B c$. Since $\deg_{M_n(G)} c$ is even and $\deg_G c$ is odd, we have $\deg_B c$ is odd, which is a contradiction. Thus (2) holds.

Let B be a block of G . Then by Lemma 7, $\deg_{M_n(G)} B = n_1 + n_2$, where n_1 is the number of blocks adjacent with B and n_2 is the number of points incident to B in G . Since $\deg_{M_n(G)} B$ is even, we have n_1 and n_2 are both either even or odd. Thus (3) holds.

Conversely, suppose (1), (2) and (3) hold. We now prove that $M_n(G)$ is eulerian, so that we have to prove the degree of every point of $M_n(G)$ is even.

Let u be a point of $M_n(G)$. Then u represents either a point or a line or a block of G . We consider the following cases.

Case-1: Suppose u represents a point of G . We consider the following two subcases.

Subcase-1.1: Let u be a noncutpoint of G . Then u lies in a single block. Then by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{M_n(G)} u &= \text{number of lines incident with } u \text{ in } G + 1 \\ &= \deg_G u + 1. \end{aligned}$$

By condition (1), $\deg_G u$ is odd. Thus $\deg_{M_n(G)} u$ is even.

Subcase-1.2: Let u be a cutpoint of G . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{M_n(G)} u &= \text{number of lines incident with } u \text{ in } G + \text{number of blocks incident with } u \text{ in } G \\ &= \deg_G u + \deg_B u. \end{aligned}$$

By condition (1), $\deg_G u$ is odd and by condition (2), $\deg_B u$ is odd. Thus $\deg_{M_n(G)} u$ is even.

Case-2: Let $u = v_1 v_2$ be a line of G . Then

$$\deg_{M_n(G)} u = \deg_G v_1 + \deg_G v_2.$$

By condition (1), $\deg_G v_1$ and $\deg_G v_2$ are odd. Thus $\deg_{M_n(G)} u$ is even.

Case-3: Let u be a block of G . Then by Lemma 7, $\deg_{M_n(G)} u = n_1 + n_2$, where n_1 is the number of blocks adjacent with u and n_2 is the number of points incident with u . By Condition (3), $\deg_{M_n(G)} u$ is even.

From the above 3 cases, we conclude that if u is a point of $M_n(G)$, then $\deg_{M_n(G)} u$ is even. Thus by Theorem D, $M_n(G)$ is eulerian.

4. PLANARITY OF MIDDLE BLICT GRAPHS

We now establish a characterization of graphs whose middle blict graphs are planar.

Theorem 9: The middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ of a connected graph G is planar if and only if $\Delta(G) \leq 2$.

Proof: Suppose $M_n(G)$ is planar. Assume $\Delta(G) = 3$. Then there exists a point v of degree 3. Clearly v lies on at most 3 blocks. Then G has a subgraph homeomorphic to G_1 or G_2 or G_3 with respect to the cutpoints as shown in Figure 2. Then $M_n(G_1)$ or $M_n(G_2)$ or $M_n(G_3)$ can be




Figure-2




Figure-3

drawn in the plane as shown in Figure 3. Then $M_n(G_1)$ or $M_n(G_2)$ or $M_n(G_3)$ is a subgraph of $M_n(G)$, $M_n(G)$ has a subgraph homeomorphic to K_5 . By Theorem C, $M_n(G)$ is nonplanar, a contradiction.

Conversely suppose $\Delta(G) \leq 2$. Then G is either a path or a cycle. If G is either $P_n, n \geq 1$ or $C_n, n \geq 3$, then clearly $M_n(G)$ is planar, see Figure 4.




Figure-4

We need the following concept, for our next results. In 1975, Kulli [27] introduced the concept of k -minimally nonouterplanar graph. The inner point number $i(G)$ of a planar graph G is the minimum possible number of points not belonging to the boundary of the exterior region in any embedding of G is the plane. Obviously, G is outerplanar if and only if $i(G) = 0$. A graph G is called minimally nonouterplanar if $i(G) = 1$ and is called k -minimally nonouterplanar if $i(G)=k, k \geq 2$ and this concept was studied, for example in [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37].

The following results are easy to prove, we omit the proofs.

Theorem 10: The middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ of a connected graph G is outerplanar if and only if $G = P_2$.

Theorem 11: The middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ of a connected graph G is minimally nonouterplanar if and only if $G = P_3$.

Theorem 12: The middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ of a connected graph G is 2-minimally nonouterplanar if and only if $G=P_4$.

Theorem 13: The middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ of a connected graph G is 3-minimally nonouterplanar if and only if $G=P_5$ or C_3 .

Theorem 14: The middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ of a connected graph G is 4-minimally nonouterplanar if and only if $G = P_6$.

In the next theorem, we present a characterization of graphs whose middle blict graphs are k -minimally nonouterplanar, $k \geq 5$.

Theorem 15: The middle blict graph $M_n(G)$ of a connected graph G is k -minimally nonouterplanar, $k \geq 5$ if and only if G is either P_{k+2} or C_{k-1} .

Proof: Suppose G is either P_{k+2} or C_{k-1} , $k \geq 5$. To prove the result we use mathematical induction on k .

Suppose $k = 5$. Then it is easy to see that $M_n(P_7)$ or $M_n(C_4)$ is 5-minimally nonouterplanar.

Assume the result is true for $k=m$. Therefore if G is either P_{m+2} or C_{m-1} then $M_n(G)$ is m -minimally nonouterplanar.

Suppose $k = m+1$. Then G is either P_{m+3} or C_m . We now prove that $M_n(G)$ is $(m+1)$ -minimally nonouterplanar.

We consider the following cases.

Case-1: Let $G = P_{m+3}$ and v be an end point of G . Let $G_1 = G - v = P_{m+2}$. By inductive hypothesis, $M_n(G_1)$ is m -minimally nonouterplanar.

Let $e_i = (v_i, v_j)$ be an endline of G_1 . Then b_i is an end block incident with the cutpoint v_i , since line and block coincide in a path. The points e_i, b_i and v_j in $M_n(G_1)$ are on the boundary of the exterior region on some cycle C , since $M_n(G_1)$ is m -minimally outerplanar. Now join the point v to the point v_j of G_1 such that the resulting graph is G . Let $e_j = (v_j, v)$ be an endline and $b_j = (v_j, v)$ is an end block of G . The formation of $M_n(G)$ is an extension of $M_n(G_1)$ with additional points e_j, b_j and v such that e_j is adjacent with e_i, v_j and v . Similarly b_j is adjacent with b_i, v_j and v . Clearly v_j is an inner point of $M_n(G)$, but it is not an inner point of $M_n(G)$. Thus $M_n(G)$ is $(m+1)$ -minimally nonouterplanar.

Case-2: Let $G = C_m$ and v_m be a point of G . Let $G_1 = C_{m-1}$. By inductive hypothesis, $M_n(G_1)$ is m -minimally nonouterplanar.

If $e_{m-1} = v_1v_{m-1}$ is a line of G_1 and v_m is not a point of G_1 , then it is replaced by the lines $e_m = v_1v_m$ and $e_{m-1} = v_mv_{m-1}$. Then the resulting graph is G . The formation of $M_n(G)$ is an extension of $M_n(G_1)$ with additional points v_m and e_m , where e_m is adjacent to the points, e_{m-1}, v_m, v_1 and e_1 ; and also v_m is adjacent to the points e_{m-1} and B_1 , where B_1 represents the point corresponding to the block of G . Then clearly v_m does not lie on the exterior region of $M_n(G)$. Hence $M_n(G)$ is $(m+1)$ -minimally nonouterplanar.

Conversely suppose $M_n(G)$ is k -minimally nonouterplanar. Then by Theorem 9, $\Delta(G) \leq 2$, since $M_n(G)$ is planar. Thus G is either a path or a cycle. We consider the following cases.

Case-1: Suppose G is a path. We consider the following subcases.

Subcase-1.1: Assume $G=P_{k+1}$, $k \geq 5$. In particular, if $k = 5$, then $G = P_6$. By Theorem 14, $M_n(P_6)$ is 4-minimally nonouterplanar, a contradiction.

Subcase-1.2: Assume $G = P_{k+3}$. In particular, if $k = 5$, then $G = P_8$. Then from Figure 4(a) it is easy to observe that $M_n(P_8)$ is 6-minimally nonouterplanar, again a contradiction.

Case-2: Suppose G is a cycle. We consider the following subcases.

Subcase-2.1: Assume $G = C_{k-2}$, $k \geq 5$. In particular if $k=5$, then $G = C_3$. By Theorem 13, $M_n(C_3)$ is 3-minimally nonouterplanar, a contradiction.

Subcase-2.2: Assume $G = C_k$. In particular if $k=5$, then $G = C_5$. Then from Figure 4(b), it is easy to observe that $M_n(C_5)$ is 6-minimally nonouterplanar, again a contradiction.

Thus from the above two cases, we conclude that G is either P_{k+2} or C_{k-1} .

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. V.R.Kulli, *College Graph Theory*, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India (2012).
2. V.R. Kulli, The semitotal block graph and the total-block graph of a graph, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 7, 625-630 (1976).
3. T.Hamada and I. Yoshimura, Traversability and connectivity of the middle graph of a graph, *Discrete Mathematics*, 14, 247-255 (1976).
4. V.R. Kulli and H.P. Patil, Graph equations for line graphs, middle graphs and entire graphs, *J. Karnatak University Sci.*, 23, 25-28 (1978).
5. V.R. Kulli and H.P. Patil, Middle graphs and crossing numbers, *Discussiones Mathematicae*, 7, 97-106 (1985).
6. V.R. Kulli and M.S. Biradar, Planarity of the point block graph of a graph, *Ultra Scientist*, 18, 609-611 (2006).
7. V.R. Kulli and M.S. Biradar, The point block graphs and crossing numbers, *Acta Ciencia Indica*, 33(2), 637-640 (2007).
8. V.R. Kulli and M.S. Biradar, The point block graph of a graph, *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, 5(5), 476-481 (2014).
9. V.R. Kulli, On full graphs, *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, 6(5), 261-267 (2015).
10. V.R. Kulli, Entire edge dominating transformation graphs, *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Technology*, 3(2), 104-106 (2015).
11. V.R. Kulli, Entire total dominating transformation graphs, *International Research Journal of Pure Algebra*, 5(5), 50-53 (2015).
12. V.R. Kulli, On qlick transformation graphs, *International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematical Archive*, 8(1), 29-35 (2015).
13. V.R. Kulli, The semifull graph of a graph, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 10(1), 99-104 (2015).
14. V.R. Kulli, On block line graphs, middle line graphs and middle block graphs, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 6(5), 80-86 (2015).
15. V.R. Kulli, The block point tree of a graph, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 7, 620-624 (1976).
16. V.R. Kulli, On the plick graph and the qlick graph of a graph, *Research Journal*, 1, 48-52 (1988).
17. V.R. Kulli, The middle edge dominating graph, *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, 4(5), 372-375 (2013).
18. V.R.Kulli, The sementire edge dominating graph, *Ultra Scientist*, Vol.25 (3) A, 431-434(2013).
19. V.R.Kulli, The common minimal total dominating graph, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 17(1), 49-54 (2014).
20. V.R.Kulli, On line block graphs, *International Research Journal of Pure Algebra*, 5(4), 40-44 (2015).
21. V.R.Kulli, The block line forest of a graph, *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, 6(4) 200-205 (2015).
22. V.R. Kulli and D.G.Akka, On semientire graphs, *J. Math. and.Phy. Sci*, 15, 585-589 (1981).
23. V.R. Kulli and N.S.Annigeri, The ctree and total ctree of a graph, *Vijnana Ganga*, 2, 10-24 (1981).
24. V.R. Kulli and M.S. Biradar, The line splitting graph of a graph, *Acta Ciencia Indica*, 28, 57-64 (2001).
25. V.R. Kulli and B.Basavanagoud, On the quasivertex total graph of a graph, *J. Karnatak University Sci.*, 42, 1-7 (1998).
26. V.R. Kulli and N.S. Warad, On the total closed neighbourhood graph of a graph, *J. Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 4, 109-114 (2001).
27. V.R.Kulli, On minimally nonouterplanar graphs, *Proc. Indian Nat. Sci. Acad.*, A41, 275-280 (1975).
28. V.R.Kulli, On maximal minimally nonouterplanar graphs, *Progress of Mathematics*, 9, 43-48 (1975).
29. V.R.Kulli, Minimally nonouterplanar graph and its complement, *J. Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 9, 77-81 (1975).
30. V.R. Kulli, *Recent Studies in Graph Theory*, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India (1989).

31. V.R. Kulli, *Minimally nonouterplanar graphs*, A survey. In Recent Studies in Graph Theory, V.R.Kulli, ed., Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India, 177-189 (1989).
32. V.R. Kulli, Planarity of line block graphs, *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, 6(4), 206-209 (2015).
33. V.R. Kulli and D.G.Akka, Traversability and planarity of total block graphs, *J Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 11, 365-375(1977).
34. V.R. Kulli and D.G.Akka, On outerplanar repeated line graphs, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 12(2), 195-199 (1981).
35. V.R. Kulli and H.P. Patil, Minimally nonouterplanar graphs and some graph valued functions, *J. Karnatak University Sci.*, 24, 123-129 (1979).
36. V.R. Kulli and H.P. Patil, Characterizations of minimally nonouterplanar middle graphs, *Discussiones Mathematicae*, 7, 93-96 (1985).
37. V.R.Kulli and B.Basavanagoud, Characterizations of planar plick graphs, *Discussiones Mathematicae*, Graph Theory, 24, 41-45 (2004).

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2015, RJPA. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Research Journal of Pure Algebra (IRJPA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]