

LIE IDEALS AND LEFT JORDAN GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS OF PRIME RINGS

C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY^{*1}, S. MALLIKARJUNA RAO²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, S. V. University, Tirupati-517502, Andhra Pradesh, India.

(Received On: 13-09-15; Revised & Accepted On: 08-10-15)

ABSTRACT

Let R be a ring and S a nonempty subset of R . An additive mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called Left generalized derivation (Left Jordan derivation) on S . If there exists a derivation $d: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = d(x)y + xF(y)$ (respect to left Jordan generalized derivation $F(x^2) = d(x)x + xF(x)$) holds for all $x, y \in S$. Suppose that R is a 2-torsion free prime ring and U a non zero Lie ideal of R such that $u^2 \in U$ for all $u \in U$. In this paper we proved that if F is a left Jordan generalized derivation on U , then F is a left generalized derivation on U .

Key words: Prime ring, Derivation, Generalized derivation, Left generalized derivation, Jordan generalizedderivation, Left Jordan generalized derivation, Lie ideals.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper R will denote an associative ring with the Centre $Z(R)$. Recall that prime if $aRb = (0)$ implise that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. As usual $[x, y]$ will denote the commutator $xy - yx$. An additive subgroup U of R is said to be Lie ideal of R if $[u, r] \in U$ for all $u \in U, r \in R$. We shall make use of Commutator identities: $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$ and $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$. An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation (resp. Jordan derivation) if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$, $(d(x^2) = d(x)x + xd(x))$, holds for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized derivation (resp. Jordan generalized derivation) if there exists a derivation $d: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ (resp. $F(x^2) = F(x)x + xd(x)$) for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a left generalized derivation (resp. left Jordan generalized derivation) if there exists a derivation $d: R \rightarrow R$ Suchthat $F(xy) = d(x)y + xF(y)$ (resp. $F(x^2) = d(x)x + xF(x)$) for all $x, y \in R$. Obviously, every derivation is a Jordan derivation. The converse is need not be true in general. A famous result due to Herstein [11] states that every Jordan derivation on 2-torsion free prime ring is a derivation. A brief proof of this result is presented in [8]. Further, Awtar [5] generalized this result on Lie ideals. Hvala [12] states that every generalized derivation on a ring is a Jordan generalized derivation. But the converse statement does not holds in general. In [4] states that if R is a 2-torsion free prime ring and U a non zero Lie ideal of R such that every Jordan generalized derivation on R is a generalized derivation. The aim of present paper R is a 2-torsion free prime ring and U a non zero lie ideal of R such that every left Jordan generalized derivation on R is a left generalized derivation .

We begin with the following result which is essentially proved in [6].

Lemma 1: If $U \not\subseteq Z$ is a Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime ring R and $a, b \in R$ such that $aUb = (0)$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

We define a mapping $\delta: R^2 \rightarrow R$ such that $\delta(x, y) = F(xy) - d(x)y - xF(y)$

Now we see that $\delta(x, y + z) = \delta(x, y) + \delta(x, z)$ and $\delta(x + y, z) = \delta(x, z) + \delta(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.

By the definition

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x, y + z) &= F(x(y + z)) - d(x)(y + z) - xF(y + z) \\ &= F(xy + xz) - d(x)y - d(x)z - xF(y) - xF(z) \\ &= F(xy) - d(x)y - xF(y) + F(xz) - d(x)z - xF(z) \\ &= \delta(x, y) + \delta(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta(x, y + z) = \delta(x, y) + \delta(x, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.$$

***Corresponding Author: Dr. C. Jaya Subba Reddy^{*1}**

Similarly we have prove that $\delta(x + y, z) = \delta(x, z) + \delta(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.

More over if δ is zero then F is left generalized derivation on R .

Lemma 2: Let R be a 2-torsion free ring and U be a non zero Lie ideal of R such that $u^2 \in U$, for all $u \in U$. If $F: R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping satisfying $F(u^2) = d(u)u + uF(u)$ for all $u \in U$ then

1. $F(uv + vu) = d(u)v + uF(v) + d(v)u + vF(u)$, for all $u, v \in U$.
2. $F(uvu) = d(u)vu + ud(v)u + uvF(u)$, for all $u, v \in U$.
3. $F(uvw + wvu) = d(u)vw + ud(v)w + uvF(w) + d(w)vu + wd(v)u + wvF(u)$ for all $u, v, w \in U$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} i) F(u + v)^2 &= F((u + v)(u + v)) = d(u + v)(u + v) + (u + v)F(u + v) \\ &= d(u)u + d(u)v + d(v)u + d(v)v + uF(u) + uF(v) + vF(u) + vF(v). \end{aligned}$$

$$F(u + v)^2 = d(u)u + d(u)v + d(v)u + d(v)v + uF(u) + uF(v) + vF(u) + vF(v). \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(u + v)^2 &= F((u + v)(u + v)) \\ &= F(u^2 + uv + vu + v^2) = F(u^2) + F(uv + vu) + F(v^2) \\ &= d(u)u + uF(u) + F(uv + vu) + d(v)v + vF(v). \end{aligned}$$

$$F(u + v)^2 = d(u)u + uF(u) + F(uv + vu) + d(v)v + vF(v). \quad (2)$$

From (1) & (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u)u + d(u)v + d(v)u + d(v)v + uF(u) + uF(v) + vF(u) + vF(v) \\ = d(u)u + uF(u) + F(uv + vu) + d(v)v + vF(v) \end{aligned}$$

$$F(uv + vu) = d(u)v + uF(v) + d(v)u + vF(u).$$

ii) Let $W = F(u(uv + vu) + (uv + vu)u)$.

On one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W &= d(u)(uv + vu) + uF(uv + vu) + d(uv + vu)u + (uv + vu)F(u) \\ W &= d(u)uv + d(u)vu + a(d(a)b + aF(b) + d(b)a + bF(a)) + (d(a)b + ad(b) + d(b)a + bd(a))a + abF(a) \\ &\quad + baF(a) \\ W &= d(u)uv + d(u)vu + ud(u)v + u^2F(v) + ud(v)u + uvF(u) + (d(u)v + ud(v) + d(v)u + vd(v))u \\ &\quad + uvF(u) + vuF(u). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W &= F(u^2v + 2uvu + vu^2). \\ W &= d(u^2)v + u^2F(v) + 2F(uvu) + d(v)u^2 + vF(u^2) \\ W &= (d(u)u + ud(u))v + u^2F(v) + 2F(uvu) + d(v)u^2 + v(d(u)u + uF(u)) \\ W &= (d(u)u + ud(u))v + u^2F(v) + 2F(uvu) + d(v)u^2 + vd(u)u + vuF(u). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

From (3) & (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u)uv + d(u)vu + ud(u)v + u^2F(v) + ud(v)u + uvF(u) + d(u)vu + ud(v)u + d(v)u^2 + vd(u)u + uvF(u) \\ + vuF(u) = d(u)uv + ud(u)v + u^2F(v) + 2F(uvu) + d(v)u^2 + vd(u)u + vuF(u) \\ 2F(uvu) = 2d(u)vu + 2ud(u)v + 2uvF(v) \end{aligned}$$

Since R is 2-torsion free, we get

$$F(uvu) = d(u)vu + ud(u)v + uvF(u).$$

(iii) Linearizing (ii) by replacing u by $u + w$

$$F((u + w)v(u + w)) = d(u + w)v(u + w) + (u + w)d(v)(u + w) + (u + w)vF(u + w)$$

From L.H.S

$$\begin{aligned} F((u + w)v(u + w)) &= F((uv + wv)(u + w)) \\ &= F(uvu + uwv + wvu + wvw) \\ &= F(uvu) + F(uvw) + F(wvu) + F(wvw) \\ &= d(u)vu + ud(v)u + uvF(u) + F(uvw + wvu) + d(w)vw + wd(v)w + wvF(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$F((u+w)v(u+w)) = F(u)vu + uF(v)u + uvF(v) + F(uvw + wvu) + d(w)vw + wd(v)w + wvF(w). \quad (5)$$

From R.H.S

$$\begin{aligned} d(u+w)v(u+w) + (u+w)d(v)(u+w) + (u+w)vF(u+w) \\ = (d(u) + d(w))(vu + vw) + (ud(w) + wd(v))(u+w) + (uv + wv)(F(u) + F(w)) \\ = d(u)vu + d(u)vw + d(w)uv + d(w)vw + ud(v)u + ud(v)w + wd(v)u + wd(v)w + uvF(u) \\ + wvF(u) + uvF(w) + wvF(w). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From (5) & (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(u)vu + ud(v)u + uvF(u) + F(uvw + wvu) + d(w)vw + wd(v)w + wvF(w) \\ = d(u)vu + d(u)vw + d(w)vu + d(w)vw + ud(v)u + ud(v)w + wd(v)u + wd(v)w + uvF(u) \\ + wvF(u) + uvF(w) + wvF(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$F(uvw + wvu) = d(u)vw + ud(v)w + uvF(w) + d(w)vu + wd(v)u + wvF(u).$$

Lemma 3: Let R be a 2-torsion free ring and U be a nonzero Lie ideal of R such that $u^2 \in U$ for all $u \in U$. If $F: R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping satisfying $F(u^2) = d(u)u + uF(u)$, for all $u \in U$, then $\delta(u, v)w[u, v] = 0$, for all $u, v, w \in U$.

Proof: Let $W = F(uvwvu + vuwuv)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= d(uv)wvu + uvd(w)vu + uvwF(vu) + d(vu)wuv + vuwF(uv) \\ &= d(u)vwvu + ud(v)wvu + uvd(w)vu + uvwF(vu) + d(v)uwuv + vd(u)wuv + vuwF(uv) \\ &\quad + vuwF(uv). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} w &= F(u(vwv)u + v(uwu)v) \\ &= d(u)vwvu + ud(vwv)u + uvwvF(u) + d(v)uwuv + vd(uwu)v + vuwuF(v) \\ &= d(u)vwvu + ud(v)wvu + uvd(w)vu + uvwd(v)u + uvwvF(u) + d(v)uwuv + vd(u)wuv \\ &\quad + vuwF(uv) + vuwd(u)v + vuwuF(v). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From (7) & (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u)vwvu + ud(v)wvu + uvd(w)vu + uvwF(vu) + d(v)uwuv + vd(u)wuv + vuwF(uv) + uvwF(uv) \\ = d(u)vwvu + ud(v)wvu + uvd(w)vu + uvwd(v)u + uvwvF(u) + d(v)uwuv + vd(u)wuv \\ + vuwF(uv) + vuwd(u)v + vuwuF(v) \end{aligned}$$

$$uvwF(uv) + vuwF(uv) = uvwd(v)u + vuwvF(u) + vuwd(u)v + vuwuF(v)$$

$$uvw(F(vu) - d(v)u - vF(u)) + (F(uv) - d(u)v - uF(v)) = 0$$

$$uvw\delta(v, u) + vuw\delta(u, v) = 0$$

We know that

$$\delta(u, v) = -\delta(v, u)$$

$$(uv - vu)w\delta(u, v) = 0$$

$$[u, v]w\delta(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U$$

MAIN RESULT

The main result of the present paper as follows

Theorem 1: Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and U a non zero Lie ideal of R such that $u^2 \in U$ for all $u \in U$. If F is an additive mapping of R into itself satisfying $F(u^2) = d(u)u + uF(u)$ for all $u \in U$, then $F(uv) = d(u)v + uF(v)$ for all $u \in U$.

Proof: If U is commutative lie ideal of R i.e $[u, v] = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$, then use the same argument as used in the proof of lemma 1. 3 of [11], $U \subset Z$. Now by lemma 2(iii) we have

$$F(uvw + wvu) = d(u)vw + ud(v)w + uvF(w) + d(w)uv + wd(v)u + wvF(u). \quad (9)$$

Since $u^2 \in U$, for all $u \in U$ we find that $uv + vu \in U$ for all $u, v \in U$. This yields that $2uv \in U$ for all $u, v \in U$. As the ideal U is commutative, in view of lemma 2 (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2F(uvw + wvu) &= F((2uv)w + w2(uv)) \\ 2F(uvw + wvu) &= 2(d(uv)w + uvF(w) + d(w)uv + wF(uv)) \end{aligned}$$

This shows that for all $u, v \in U$.

$$F(uvw + wvu) = d(u)vw + ud(v)w + uvF(w) + d(w)uv + wF(uv). \quad (10)$$

Using (9) & (10) and using the fact of $uv = vu$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(u)vw + ud(v)w + uvF(w) + d(w)uv + wd(v)u + wvF(u) \\ = d(u)vw + ud(v)w + uvF(w) + d(w)uv + wF(uv) \\ w(F(vu) - d(v)u - vF(u)) = 0 \\ w\delta(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Now, replacing w by $[w, r]$ in (11) and using (11), we get $wr\delta(u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v, w \in U$ and $r \in R$ and hence $UR\delta(u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$. since $U \neq 0$ and R is prime the above expression yields that $\delta(u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$. Hence, we get the required result.

Hence, onward we shall assume that U is a non commutative Lie ideal of R i.e. $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$. By lemma 3 we have $[u, v]U\delta(u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$. Thus in view of lemma 1, we find that for each pair $u, v \in U$ either $[u, v] = 0$ or $\delta(u, v) = 0$ for $u \in U$. Let $U_1 = \{u \in U | [u, v] = 0\}$ and $U_2 = \{u \in U | \delta(u, v) = 0\}$. Hence U_1 and U_2 are additive subgroups of U whose union is U . By Brauer's trick, we have either $U = U_1$ or $U = U_2$. Again by using the same method we find that either $U = \{u \in U | U = U_1\}$ or $U = \{u \in U | U = U_2\}$. Since U is non-commutative, we find that $\delta(u, v) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$ i.e. F is left generalized derivation on U .

Corollary: let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a left Jordan generalized derivation. Then F is a left generalized derivation on R .

REFERENCE

1. M.Ashraf and N.Rehman, Math.J.Okayama Univ.42(9) (2000) 7-9.
2. M.Ashraf and N.Rehman, Arch. Math. (Bmo) 36 (2000) 201-206.
3. M.Ashraf, M. A.Quadri and N. Rehman, Tamkang, J. Math.32 (2001), 247-252.
4. M.Asharf, N.Rehman and ShakirAli, Indian J. Pure appl math, 34(2) (2003) 291-294.
5. R.Awtar, proc. Amer.math.Soc.90 (1984) 9 -14.
6. J.Bergen, I. N. Herteisn and J.W.Kerr,J.Algebra 71 (1981)259-267.
7. M.Bresar ,Proc.Amer.Math Soc.104 (1988)1003-1006.
8. M.Bresar and J.Vukman, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 37 (1988) 321-322.
9. M.BresaranmdJ.Vukman, Glasnik Mat.26 (46) (1991) 13-17.
10. I.N.Herstein, Proc.Amer. math. Soc. 8 (1957) 1104-1110.
11. I.N.Herstein, Topics in Ring Theory. Univ. Of Chicago Press,Chicago, 1969.
12. B. Hvala, comm. Algebra 26(1998) 1147-1166.
13. E.C. Posner, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.8 (1957) 1093-1100.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2015, RJPA. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Research Journal of Pure Algebra (IRJPA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]