



ON $(E,1)(N, P_n)$ SUMMABILITY OF FOURIER SERIES

¹MANJU PRABHAKAR*, ²KALPANA SAXENA

¹Research Scholar, Bhopal - (M.P.), India.

²Department of Mathematics, Govt. M. V. M. Bhopal - (M.P.), India.

(Received On: 28-12-15; Revised & Accepted On: 29-01-16)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we have establish theorem concerning $(E,1)(N, P_n)$ product summability of Fourier series.

Keywords: (E, q) summability, (N, P_n) summability, $(E,1)(N, P_n)$ summability.

1. DEFINITION AND NOTATION

Let $f(x)$ be a periodic function with period 2π and is integrable in Lebesgue sense over $(-\pi, \pi)$.

$$\text{Let } \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(x) \tag{1.1}$$

be the Fourier series of $f(x)$. The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(x) \tag{1.2}$$

is called the conjugate series of the Fourier series.

An infinite series $\sum a_n$ with the sequence of partial sum $\{s_n\}$ is said to be $(E,1)(N, P_n)$ summable to s , if

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \frac{1}{p_k} \sum_{v=0}^k p_{k-v} \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}t\right)}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \rightarrow s, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We shall use the following notation,

$$\phi(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2s$$

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\}$$

$$\text{and } K_n(t) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \frac{1}{p_k} \sum_{v=0}^k p_{k-v} \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}t\right)}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\}$$

$\tau = \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$, where τ denotes the greatest integer not greater than $\frac{1}{t}$

Corresponding Author: ¹Manju Prabhakar, ¹Research Scholar, Bhopal - (M.P.), India.

2. INTRODUCTION

The product summability of various summability have been studied since 1919, but now it seems to be more study after 1990's. So many researchers like Mittal, M.L. and Prasad, G. [7], Chandra, P. [2], Varshney, O.P. [11], Dikshit, H.P. [3], Sahney, B.N. [9], Sinha, Santosh Kumar and Shrivastava, U.K. [10], Lal, Shyam and Nigam, Hare Krishna [5], Mohanty, R. and Nanda, M. [8] and many more gives the result on the product summability of Fourier series and its allied series.

Under a general condition, here we have proved a theorem on product summability $(E,1)(N, P_n)$ of Fourier series.

3. MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 1: Let $\{c_n\}$ be a non-negative, monotonic, non-increasing sequence of real constants such that

$$C_n = \sum_{v=1}^n c_v \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

If
$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t |\phi(u)| du = o \left[\frac{t}{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)C_\tau} \right] \text{ as } t \rightarrow +0 \tag{3.1}$$

where, $\alpha(t)$ is positive, monotonic and non-increasing function of t and

$$\log(n+1) = O[\{\alpha(n+1)\}C_{n+1}] \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.2}$$

then the Fourier series (1.1) is $(E,1)(N, P_n)$ summable to zero at point x .

4. LEMMAS

Lemma 1: For $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$, $|K_n(t)| = O(n)$

Proof: We have, for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$

$$\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin nt \leq n \sin t$$

$$\begin{aligned} |K_n(t)| &= \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{v=0}^k P_{k-v} \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{v=0}^k P_{k-v} \frac{(2v+1)\sin \frac{t}{2}}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (2k+1) \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{v=0}^k P_{k-v} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(2n+1)}{2^{n+1}\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \right| \\ &= \frac{(2n+1)}{2^{n+1}\pi} \cdot 2^n \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{(2n+1)}{2\pi}$$

$$= O(n)$$

Lemma 2: For $\frac{1}{n+1} \leq t \leq \pi$, $|K_n(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$

Proof: We have $\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{\pi}$ and $\sin nt \leq 1$

$$|K_n(t)| = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{v=0}^k p_{k-v} \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}t} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{v=0}^k p_{k-v} \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}t} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n+1}t} \cdot 2^n$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$$

5. Proof of theorem1: Following Zygmund [12], the n^{th} partial sum of the Fourier series (1.1) can be written as

$$s_n(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt$$

The (N, P_n) transform of $s_n(x)$ is given by

$$t_n^N - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} \frac{\sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt$$

The $(E,1)(N, P_n)$ transform of $s_n(x)$ is given by

$$t_n^{EN} - f(x) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{v=0}^k p_{k-v} \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt \right\}$$

$$= \int_0^\pi \phi(t) K_n(t) dt$$

$$= \int_0^{1/n+1} \phi(t) K_n(t) dt + \int_{1/n+1}^\delta \phi(t) K_n(t) dt + \int_\delta^\pi \phi(t) K_n(t) dt$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \text{ (say)} \tag{5.1}$$

In order to prove the theorem, we have to prove that

$$\int_0^\pi \phi(t) K_n(t) dt = o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Now, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_1| &\leq \int_0^{1/n+1} |\phi(t)| K_n(t) dt \\
 &= O(n) \int_0^{1/n+1} |\phi(t)| dt \quad (\text{Using Lemma1}) \\
 &= O(n) \left[o\left\{ \frac{1}{(n+1)\log(n+1)} \right\} \right] \quad \text{by (3.2)} \\
 &= o\left\{ \frac{1}{\log(n+1)} \right\} \\
 &= o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (5.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_2| &\leq \int_{1/n+1}^{\delta} |\phi(t)| K_n(t) dt \\
 &= O \left[\int_{1/n+1}^{\delta} |\phi(t)| \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) dt \right] \quad (\text{using Lemma2}) \\
 &= O \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{t} \Phi(t) \right\}_{1/n+1}^{\delta} + \int_{1/n+1}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t^2} \Phi(t) dt \right] \\
 &= O \left[o\left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) C_{\tau}} \right\}_{1/n+1}^{\delta} + \int_{1/n+1}^{\delta} o\left\{ \frac{1}{t \alpha \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) C_{\tau}} \right\} dt \right] \quad \text{by (3.1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting $1/t = u$ in second term

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O \left[o\left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha(n+1)C_{n+1}} \right\} + \int_{1/\delta}^{n+1} o\left\{ \frac{1}{u \alpha(u)C_u} \right\} du \right] \\
 &= o\left\{ \frac{1}{\log(n+1)} \right\} + o\left\{ \frac{1}{\log(n+1)} \right\} \\
 &= o(1) + o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
 &= o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (5.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

By Riemann-Lebesgue lemma & by regularity condition of the method of summability

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_3| &\leq \int_{\delta}^{\pi} |\phi(t)| K_n(t) dt \\
 &= o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (5.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.2), (5.3) & (5.4)

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3 = o(1)$$

Hence we proved that

$$t^{(E,1)(N, P_n)} - f(x) = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

This completes the proof of theorem1.

6. REFERENCES

1. Bari, N.K., "A Treatise on Trigonometric Series" Vol. I and II (Pergoman Press) 1964.
2. Chandra, P., "On the degree of approximation of functions belonging to the Lipschitz class" Nanta Math., 8(1), 88-91, (1975).
3. Dikshit, H.P., Absolute $(C,1)(N, p_n)$ summability of fourier series and its conjugate series, "Pacific Journal of Mathematics" 26(2), (1968).
4. Hardy, G.H., "Divergent Series", Oxford (1949).
5. Lal, Shyam and Nigam, Hare Krishna, "On almost (N, p, q) summability of Conjugate Fourier series" IJMMS 25:6, 365-372 (2001).
6. Mears, F.M., "Some multiplication theorems for Nörlund means", Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (1930).
7. Mittal, M.L. and Prasad, G., 'On a sequence of Fourier coefficients" Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25(3), 235-241 (1992).
8. Mohanty, R. and Nanda, M., "On the behavior of Fourier coefficients" Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5, 79-84 (1954).
9. Sahney, B.N., "On a Nörlund summability of Fourier series" Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 13, (1963).
10. Sinha, Santosh Kumar and Shrivastava, U.K., "The Almost $(E, q)(N, P_n)$ Summability of Fourier series" International Journal of Mathematics and Physical Science Research, 2, 17-20 (2014).
11. Varshney, O.P., "On a sequence of Fourier coefficients" Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10, 790-795 (1959).
12. Zygmund, A., "Trigonometrical Series", Vol. I and II, Warsaw (1935).

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2016, RJPA. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Research Journal of Pure Algebra (IRJPA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]