



INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLD OF (4, 1) STRUCTURE MANIFOLD

LAKHAN SINGH*¹, SHAILENDRA KUMAR GAUTAM²

¹Department of Mathematics, D. J. College, Baraut, Baghpat (U.P.), India.

²Eshan College of Engineering, Mathura (U.P.), India.

(Received On: 18-06-16; Revised & Accepted On: 28-06-16)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we have studied various properties of a (4, 1) structure manifold and its invariant submanifold. Under two different assumptions, the nature of induced structure ψ has also been discussed.

Keywords: Invariant submanifold, Nijenhuis tensor, projection operators and complementary distributions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let V^m be a C^∞ m-dimensional Riemannian manifold imbedded in a C^∞ n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M^n , where $m < n$. The imbedding being denoted by

$$f : V^m \longrightarrow M^n$$

Let B be the mapping induced by f i.e. $B = df$

$$df : T(V) \longrightarrow T(M)$$

Let $T(V, M)$ be the set of all vectors tangent to the submanifold $f(V)$. It is well known that

$$B : T(V) \longrightarrow T(V, M)$$

Is an isomorphism. The set of all vectors normal to $f(V)$ forms a vector bundle over $f(V)$, which we shall denote by $N(V, M)$. We call $N(V, M)$ the normal bundle of V^m . The vector bundle induced by f from $N(V, M)$ is denoted by $N(V)$. We denote by $C : N(V) \longrightarrow N(V, M)$ the natural isomorphism and by $\eta_s^r(V)$ the space of all C^∞ tensor fields of type (r, s) associated with $N(V)$. Thus $\zeta_0^0(V) = \eta_0^0(V)$ is the space of all C^∞ functions defined on V^m while an element of $\eta_0^1(V)$ is a C^∞ vector field normal to V^m and an element of $\zeta_0^1(V)$ is a C^∞ vector field tangential to V^m .

Let \bar{X} and \bar{Y} be vector fields defined along $f(V)$ and \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} be the local extensions of \bar{X} and \bar{Y} respectively. Then $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]$ is a vector field tangential to M^n and its restriction $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]/f(V)$ to $f(V)$ is determined independently of the choice of these local extension \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} . Thus $[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ is defined as

Corresponding Author: Lakhan Singh*¹

¹Department of Mathematics, D. J. College, Baraut, Baghpat (U.P.), India.

$$(1.1) \quad [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] = [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] / f(V)$$

Since B is an isomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad [BX, BY] = B[X, Y] \text{ for all } X, Y \in \zeta_0^1(V)$$

Let \bar{G} be the Riemannian metric tensor of M^n , we define g and g^* on V^m and $N(V)$ respectively as

$$(1.3) \quad g(X_1, X_2) = \tilde{G}(BX_1, BX_2) f, \text{ and}$$

$$(1.4) \quad g^*(N_1, N_2) = \tilde{G}(CN_1, CN_2)$$

For all $X_1, X_2 \in \zeta_0^1(V)$ and $N_1, N_2 \in \eta_0^1(V)$

It can be verified that g and g^* are the induced metrics on V^m and $N(V)$ respectively.

Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connection determined by \tilde{G} in M^n , then $\tilde{\nabla}$ induces a connection ∇ in $f(V)$ defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{Y} / f(V)$$

where \bar{X} and \bar{Y} are arbitrary C^∞ vector fields defined along $f(V)$ and tangential to $f(V)$.

Let us suppose that M^n is a (4, 1) structure manifold with structure tensor $\tilde{\psi}$ of type (1, 1) satisfying

$$(1.6) \quad \tilde{\psi}^4 + \tilde{\psi} = 0$$

Let \tilde{l} and \tilde{m} be the complementary distributions corresponding to the projection operators

$$(1.7) \quad \tilde{l} = -\tilde{\psi}^3, \quad \tilde{m} = I + \tilde{\psi}^3$$

where I denotes the identity operator.

From (1.6) and (1.7), we have

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \tilde{l} + \tilde{m} &= I & \text{(b)} \quad \tilde{l}^2 &= \tilde{l} & \text{(c)} \quad \tilde{m}^2 &= \tilde{m} \\ \text{(d)} \quad \tilde{l} \tilde{m} &= \tilde{m} \tilde{l} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let D_l and D_m be the subspaces inherited by complementary projection operators l and m respectively.

We define

$$D_l = \{X \in T_p(V) : lX = X, mX = 0\}$$

$$D_m = \{X \in T_p(V) : mX = X, lX = 0\}$$

$$\text{Thus } T_p(V) = D_l + D_m$$

$$\text{Also } Ker l = \{X : lX = 0\} = D_m$$

$$Ker m = \{X : mX = 0\} = D_l \text{ at each point } p \text{ of } f(V).$$

2. INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLD OF (4,1) STRUCTURE MANIFOLD

We call V^m to be invariant submanifold of M^n if the tangent space $T^p(f(V))$ of $f(V)$ is invariant by the linear mapping $\tilde{\psi}$ at each point p of $f(V)$. Thus

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\psi}BX = B\psi X, \text{ for all } X \in \zeta_0^1(V), \text{ and } \psi \text{ being a (1,1) tensor field in } V^m.$$

Theorem 2.1: Let \tilde{N} and N be the Nijenhuis tensors determined by $\tilde{\psi}$ and ψ in M^n and V^m respectively, then

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{N}(BX, BY) = BN(X, Y), \text{ for all } X, Y \in \zeta_0^1(V)$$

Proof: We have, by using (1.2) and (2.1)

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{N}(BX, BY) &= [\tilde{\psi}BX, \tilde{\psi}BY] + \tilde{\psi}^2[BX, BY] - \tilde{\psi}[\tilde{\psi}BX, BY] - \tilde{\psi}[BX, \tilde{\psi}BY] \\ &= [B\psi X, B\psi Y] + \tilde{\psi}^2 B[X, Y] - \tilde{\psi}[B\psi X, BY] - \tilde{\psi}[BX, B\psi Y] \\ &= B[\psi X, \psi Y] + B\psi^2[X, Y] - \tilde{\psi}B[\psi X, Y] - \tilde{\psi}B[X, \psi Y] \\ &= B\{[\psi X, \psi Y] + \psi^2[X, Y] - \psi[\psi X, Y] - \psi[X, \psi Y]\} \\ &= BX + B\psi^3 X \end{aligned}$$

3. DISTRIBUTION \tilde{M} NEVER BEING TANGENTIAL TO $f(V)$

Theorem 3.1: if the distribution \tilde{M} is never tangential to $f(V)$, then

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{m}(BX) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad X \in \zeta_0^1(V)$$

and the induced structure ψ on V^m satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad \psi^3 = -I$$

Proof: if possible $\tilde{m}(BX) \neq 0$. From (2.1) we get

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\psi}^3 BX = B\psi^3 X; \text{ from (1.7) and (3.3)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}(BX) &= (I + \tilde{\psi}^3) BX \\ &= BX + B\psi^3 X \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{m}(BX) = B[X + \psi^3 X]$$

This relation shows that $\tilde{m}(BX)$ is tangential to $f(V)$ which contradicts the hypothesis. Thus $\tilde{m}(BX) = 0$.

Using this result in (3.4) and remembering that B is an isomorphism, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \psi^3 = -I$$

Theorem 3.2: Let \tilde{M} be never tangential to $f(V)$, then

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{N}_{\tilde{m}}(BX, BY) = 0$$

Proof: We have

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{N}_{\tilde{m}}(BX, BY) = [\tilde{m}BX, \tilde{m}BY] + \tilde{m}^2[BX, BY] - \tilde{m}[\tilde{m}BX, BY] - \tilde{m}[BX, \tilde{m}BY]$$

Using (1.2), (1.8) (c) and (3.1), we get (3.6).

Theorem 3.3: Let \tilde{M} be never tangential to $f(V)$, then

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{N}_i(BX, BY) = 0$$

Proof: We have

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{N}_i(BX, BY) = [\tilde{l}BX, \tilde{l}BY] + \tilde{l}^2[BX, BY] - \tilde{l}[\tilde{l}BX, BY] - \tilde{l}[BX, \tilde{l}BY]$$

Using (1.2), (1.8) (a), (b) and (3.1) in (3.9); we get (3.8)

Theorem 3.4: Let \tilde{M} be never tangential to $f(V)$. Define

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{H}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{N}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) - \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) - \tilde{N}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{m}\tilde{Y}) + \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}\tilde{X}, \tilde{m}\tilde{Y})$$

For all $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \zeta_0^1(M)$, then

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{H}(BX, BY) = BN(X, Y)$$

Proof: Using $\tilde{X} = BX, \tilde{Y} = BY$ and (2.2), (3.1) in (3.10). we get (3.11).

4. DISTRIBUTION \tilde{M} ALWAYS BEING TANGENTIAL TO $f(V)$

Theorem 4.1: Let \tilde{M} be always tangential to $f(V)$, then

$$(4.1) \quad (a) \quad \tilde{m}(BX) = BmX \quad (b) \quad \tilde{l}(BX) = BlX$$

Proof: from (3.4), We get (4.1) (a). Also

$$(4.2) \quad l = -\psi^3$$

$$lX = -\psi^3 X$$

$$(4.3) \quad BlX = -B\psi^3 X$$

Using (2.1) in (4.3)

$$(4.4) \quad BlX = -\tilde{\psi}^3 BX = \tilde{l}(BX),$$

which is (4.1) (b).

Theorem 4.2: Let \tilde{M} be always tangential to $f(V)$, then l and m satisfy

$$(4.5) \quad (a) \quad l + m = I \quad (b) \quad lm = ml = 0 \quad (c) \quad l^2 = l \quad (d) \quad m^2 = m.$$

Proof: Using (1.8) and (4.1) We get the results.

Theorem 4.3: If \tilde{M} is always tangential to $f(V)$, then

$$(4.6) \quad \psi^4 + \psi = 0$$

Proof: From (2.1)

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{\psi}^4 BX = B\psi^4 X$$

Using (1.6) in (4.7)

$$-\tilde{\psi} BX = B\psi^4 X$$

$$-B\psi X = B\psi^4 X$$

Or $\psi^4 + \psi = 0$ which is (4.6)

Theorem 4.4: If \tilde{M} Is always tangential to $f(V)$ then as in (3.10)

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{H}(BX, BY) = BH(X, Y)$$

Proof: from (3.10) we get

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{H}(BX, BY) = \tilde{N}(BX, BY) - \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}BX, BY) - \tilde{N}(BX, \tilde{m}BY) + \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}BX, \tilde{m}BY)$$

Using (4.1) (a) and (2.2) in (4.9) we get (4.8).

REFERENCES

1. A Bejancu: On semi-invariant submanifolds of an almost contact metric manifold. An Stiint Univ., "A.I.I. Cuza" Iasi Sec. Ia Mat. (Supplement) 1981, 17-21.
2. B. Prasad: Semi-invariant submanifolds of a Lorentzian Para-sasakian manifold, Bull Malaysian Math. Soc. (Second Series) 21 (1988), 21-26.
3. F. Careres : Linear invariant of Riemannian product manifold, Math Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 91 (1982), 99-106.
4. Endo Hiroshi: On invariant submanifolds of connect metric manifolds, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math 22 (6) (June-1991), 449-453.
5. H.B. Pandey & A. Kumar: Anti-invariant submanifold of almost para contact manifold. Prog. of Maths Volume 21(1): 1987.
6. K. Yano: On a structure defined by a tensor field f of the type (1,1) satisfying $f^3+f=0$. Tensor N.S., 14 (1963), 99-109.
7. R. Nivas & S. Yadav: On CR-structures and $F_\lambda(2\nu+3,2)$ - HSU -structure satisfying $F^{2\nu+3} + \lambda^r F^2 = 0$ Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XXXVII M, No. 4, 645 (2012).

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2016, RJPA. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Research Journal of Pure Algebra (IRJPA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]