



**COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM  
WITH INTIMATE MAPPINGS IN DISLOCATED METRIC SPACE**

**SMITA NAIR, SHALU SAXENA\***

**Department of Mathematics Sri Sathya Sai College for Women, Bhopal (M.P), India.**

*(Received On: 31-12-16; Revised & Accepted On: 25-01-17)*

**ABSTRACT**

The purpose of the paper is to generalize common fixed point theorem of Jain and Bajaj [4] in dislocated metric space using intimate mappings.

**Keywords:** Dislocated metric space, intimate mappings.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Banach’s common fixed point theorem was generalized in 1976 by Jungck by the use of commuting maps. The result has been since generalized and extended in various ways by many authors. Jungck [2] introduced the concept of compatibility which is a generalization of weak commutativity. The concept of compatible mapping of type (A) has further been generalized through the concept of intimate mappings.

**2. PRELIMINARIES**

**Definition 2.1 [1]:** Let X be a non-empty set and let  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0$  implies  $x = y$
- (iii)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$

Then d is called dislocated metric (or simply d- metric) on X.

**Definition 2.2:** Let A and S be self-maps on a d-metric space X. The pair {A, S} is said to be compatible if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SAsx_n) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ .

**Definition 2.3:** Let A and S be self-maps on a d-metric space X. The pair {A, S} is said to be compatible of type (A) if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SSx_n) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, AAsx_n) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ .

**Definition 2.4:** Let A and S be self-maps on a d-metric space X. The pair {A, S} is said to be S intimate iff  $\alpha d(SAx_n, Sx_n) \leq \alpha d(AAx_n, Ax_n)$  where  $\alpha = \limsup$  or  $\liminf$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ .

**MAIN RESULT**

Let A, B, E, F, S, T be six mappings on dislocated metric space (X, d) such that

2.1.1  $A(X) \subset F(X) \cup S(X)$  and  $B(X) \subset E(X) \cup T(X)$

2.1.2  $d(Ax, By) \leq a_1 d(Fy, By) \frac{[1+d(Ex, Ax)]}{[1+d(Tx, Sy)]} + a_2 [d(Tx, Ax) + d(Sy, By)] + a_3 d(Ex, Ax) \frac{[1+d(Fy, By)]}{[1+d(Sy, By)]} + a_4 [d(Ex, Fy) + d(Fy, Ax)] + a_5 [d(Tx, By) + d(Ax, Sy)] + a_6 d(Fy, By) \frac{[1+d(Ax, Tx)]}{[1+d(Sy, Ex)]}$

**Corresponding Author: Shalu Saxena\***

**Department of Mathematics Sri Sathya Sai College for Women, Bhopal (M.P), India.**

For all  $x, y$  in  $X$  where  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 \geq 0$  and  $a_1+2a_2+a_3+2a_4+2a_5+a_6 < \frac{1}{2}$

2.1.3 The pair  $\{A, E\}$  is  $E$  intimate and  $\{B, F\}$  is  $S$  intimate.

2.1.4  $E(X)$  and  $T(X)$  are complete.

Then  $A, B, E, F, S, T$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Let  $x_0$  be any arbitrary point in  $X$ . Then from 2.1.1 there exists a point  $x_1$  in  $X$  such that  $Ax_0=Fx_1=Sx_1$ . For point  $x_1$  we choose a point  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $Bx_1=Ex_2=Tx_2$  and so on .Inductively we define a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $y_{2n}=Fx_{2n+1}=Sx_{2n+1}=Ax_{2n}$  and  $y_{2n+1}=Ex_{2n+2}=Tx_{2n+2}=Bx_{2n+1}$  for  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

We now prove  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(Fx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}) \frac{[1+d(Ex_{2n}, Ax_{2n})]}{[1+d(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})]} + a_2 [d(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) + d(Sx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})] \\ &+ a_3 d(Ex_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) \frac{[1+d(Fx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})]}{[1+d(Sx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})]} + a_4 [d(Ex_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}) + d(Fx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n})] \\ &+ a_5 [d(Tx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) + d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})] + a_6 d(Fx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}) \frac{[1+d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n})]}{[1+d(Sx_{2n+1}, Ex_{2n})]} \\ &\leq a_1 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \frac{[1+d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]}{[1+d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})]} + a_2 [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + a_3 d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \frac{[1+d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]}{[1+d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]} \\ &+ a_4 [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + a_5 [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n})] + a_6 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \frac{[1+d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]}{[1+d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]} \\ &\leq a_1 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + a_2 [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + a_3 d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + a_4 [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \\ &+ a_5 [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n})] + a_6 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + a_2 [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + a_3 d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + a_4 [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \\ &+ d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + a_5 [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + a_6 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq (a_1+a_2+3a_5+a_6)d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + (a_2+a_3+3a_4+a_5)d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{a_2+a_3+3a_4+a_5}{(1-(a_1+a_2+3a_5+a_6))} d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$$

Let  $\frac{a_2+a_3+3a_4+a_5}{(1-(a_1+a_2+3a_5+a_6))} = h$

Therefore

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq h d(y_{n-1}, y_n)$$

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq h d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \leq \dots \leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) \text{ for every integer } p > 0 \text{ we get}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+p}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + d(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}) + \dots + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) \\ &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+2} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + h^{n+p-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq h^n [1+h+h^2+h^3 \dots \dots h^{p-1}] d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0$

Therefore  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence

Since  $E(X)$  and  $T(X)$  are complete and  $\{Ex_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Tx_{2n}\}$  is Cauchy therefore it converges to a point  $z = Eu = Tu$  for some  $u$  in  $X$ . Then  $y_n \rightarrow z$  and  $Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Ex_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n} \rightarrow z$

From 2.1.2

$$\begin{aligned} d(Au, Bx_{2n+1}) &\leq a_1 d(Fx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}) \frac{[1+d(Eu, Au)]}{[1+d(Tu, Sx_{2n+1})]} + a_2 [d(Tu, Au) + d(Sx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})] \\ &+ a_3 d(Eu, Au) \frac{[1+d(Fx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})]}{[1+d(Sx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})]} + a_4 [d(Eu, Fx_{2n+1}) + d(Fx_{2n+1}, Au)] \\ &+ a_5 [d(Tu, Bx_{2n+1}) + d(Au, Sx_{2n+1})] + a_6 d(Fx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}) \frac{[1+d(Au, Tu)]}{[1+d(Sx_{2n+1}, Eu)]} \\ &\leq a_1 d(z, z) \frac{[1+d(z, Au)]}{[1+d(z, z)]} + a_2 [d(z, Au) + d(z, z)] + a_3 d(z, Au) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(z, z)]} + a_4 [d(z, z) + d(z, Au)] \\ &+ a_5 [d(z, z) + d(Au, z)] + a_6 d(z, z) \frac{[1+d(Au, z)]}{[1+d(z, z)]} \\ &\leq a_1 d(z, Au) + a_2 [d(z, Au) + d(z, Au) + d(z, Au)] + a_3 d(z, Au) + a_4 [d(z, Au) + d(z, Au) + d(z, Au)] \\ &+ a_5 [d(z, Au) + d(Au, z) + d(z, Au)] + a_6 d(z, Au) d(z, Au) \\ &\leq (a_1+3a_2+a_3+3a_4+3a_5+a_6)d(z, Au) \end{aligned}$$

$$[1 - (a_1 + 3a_2 + a_3 + 3a_4 + 3a_5 + a_6)]d(z, Au) \leq 0$$

This implies  $d(z, Au) = 0 = d(Au, z)$ . Therefore  $Au = z$ .

Since  $A(X) \subset F(X) \cup S(X)$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $Fw = Sw = z$

Then consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Bw) &= d(Au, Bw) \\ &\leq a_1 d(Fw, Bw) \frac{[1+d(Eu, Au)]}{[1+d(Tu, Sw)]} + a_2 [d(Tu, Au) + d(Sw, Bw)] + a_3 d(Eu, Au) \frac{[1+d(Fw, Bw)]}{[1+d(Sw, Bw)]} \\ &\quad + a_4 [d(Eu, Fw) + d(Fw, Au)] + a_5 [d(Tu, Bw) + d(Au, Sw)] + a_6 d(Fw, Bw) \frac{[1+d(Au, Tu)]}{[1+d(Sw, Eu)]} \\ &\leq a_1 d(z, Bw) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(z, z)]} + a_2 [d(z, z) + d(z, Bw)] + a_3 d(z, z) \frac{[1+d(z, Bw)]}{[1+d(z, Bw)]} + a_4 [d(z, z) + d(z, z)] + a_5 [d(z, Bw) + d(z, z)] \\ &\quad + a_6 d(z, Bw) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(z, z)]} \\ &\leq (a_1 + 3a_2 + 2a_3 + 4a_4 + 3a_5 + a_6)d(z, Bw) \end{aligned}$$

$$[1 - (a_1 + 3a_2 + 2a_3 + 4a_4 + 3a_5 + a_6)]d(z, Bw) \leq 0$$

This implies  $d(z, Bw) = 0$ . Similarly  $d(Bw, z) = 0$  which implies  $Bw = z$

Since  $Au = Eu = Tu = z$

As the pair  $\{A, E\}$  is E intimate we have

$$d(EAx_{2n}, Ex_{2n}) \leq d(AAx_{2n}, Ax_{2n})$$

$$d(Ez, z) \leq d(Az, z)$$

Now we prove  $Az = z$

$$\begin{aligned} d(Az, z) &= d(Az, Bw) \\ &\leq a_1 d(Fw, Bw) \frac{[1+d(Ez, Az)]}{[1+d(Tz, Sw)]} + a_2 [d(Tz, Az) + d(Sw, Bw)] + a_3 d(Ez, Az) \frac{[1+d(Fw, Bw)]}{[1+d(Sw, Bw)]} \\ &\quad + a_4 [d(Ez, Fw) + d(Fw, Az)] + a_5 [d(Tz, Bw) + d(Az, Sw)] + a_6 d(Fw, Bw) \frac{[1+d(Az, Tz)]}{[1+d(Sw, Ez)]} \\ &\leq a_1 d(z, z) \frac{[1+d(Ez, Az)]}{[1+d(Tz, z)]} + a_2 [d(Tz, Az) + d(z, z)] + a_3 d(Ez, Az) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(z, z)]} + a_4 [d(Ez, z) + d(z, Az)] \\ &\quad + a_5 [d(Tz, z) + d(Az, z)] + a_6 d(z, z) \frac{[1+d(Az, Tz)]}{[1+d(z, Ez)]} \\ &\leq a_1 d(z, z) \frac{[1+d(Az, Az)]}{[1+d(Az, z)]} + a_2 [d(Az, Az) + d(z, z)] + a_3 d(Az, Az) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(z, z)]} + a_4 [d(Az, z) + d(z, Az)] \\ &\quad + a_5 [d(Az, z) + d(Az, z)] + a_6 d(z, z) \frac{[1+d(Az, Az)]}{[1+d(z, Az)]} \end{aligned}$$

$$[1 - (2a_1 + 4a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4 + 2a_5 + 2a_6)]d(Az, z) \leq 0$$

$$d(Az, z) = 0.$$

Similarly  $d(z, Az) = 0$ . Therefore  $Az = z$ . This implies  $Az = Tz = Ez = z$ . Similarly the pair  $\{B, F\}$  is F intimate we have

$$d(FBx_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n+1}) \leq d(BBx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})$$

Taking limits  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$d(Fp, p) \leq d(Bp, p)$ . Now we prove  $BZ = z$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Bz) &= d(Az, Bz) \\ &\leq a_1 d(Fz, Bz) \frac{[1+d(Ez, Az)]}{[1+d(Tz, Sz)]} + a_2 [d(Tz, Az) + d(Sz, Bz)] + a_3 d(Ez, Az) \frac{[1+d(Fz, Bz)]}{[1+d(Sz, Bz)]} \\ &\quad + a_4 [d(Ez, Fz) + d(Fz, Az)] + a_5 [d(Tz, Bz) + d(Az, Sz)] + a_6 d(Fz, Bz) \frac{[1+d(Az, Tz)]}{[1+d(Sz, Ez)]} \\ &\leq a_1 d(Fz, Bz) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(z, Sz)]} + a_2 [d(z, z) + d(Sz, Bz)] + a_3 d(z, z) \frac{[1+d(Fz, Bz)]}{[1+d(Sz, Bz)]} \\ &\quad + a_4 [d(z, Fz) + d(Fz, z)] + a_5 [d(z, Bz) + d(z, Sz)] + a_6 d(Fz, Bz) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(Sz, z)]} \\ &\leq a_1 d(Bz, Bz) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(z, Bz)]} + a_2 [d(z, z) + d(Bz, z)] + a_3 d(z, z) \frac{[1+d(Bz, Bz)]}{[1+d(Bz, Bz)]} + a_4 [d(z, Bz) + d(Bz, z)] + a_5 [d(z, Bz) \\ &\quad + d(z, Bz)] + a_6 d(Bz, Bz) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(z, Bz)]} \\ &\leq 2a_1 + 4a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4 + 2a_5 + 2a_6)d(z, Bz) \end{aligned}$$

$$[1 - (2a_1 + 4a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4 + 2a_5 + 2a_6)]d(z, Bz) \leq 0$$

$$d(z, Bz) = 0.$$

Similarly  $d(Bz, z) = 0$ . Therefore  $Bz = z$ . This implies  $Bz = Fz = Sz = z$ . Therefore  $z$  is the common fixed point of  $A, B, E, F, S, T$ . Now we prove  $z$  is the unique fixed point of  $A, B, E, F, S, T$

Let  $p$  is the another common fixed point of  $A, B, E, F, S, T$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, p) &= d(Az, Bp) \\ &\leq a_1 d(Fp, Bp) \frac{[1+d(Ez, Az)]}{[1+d(Tz, Sp)]} + a_2 [d(Tz, Az) + d(Sp, Bp)] + a_3 d(Ez, Az) \frac{[1+d(Fp, Bp)]}{[1+d(Sp, Bp)]} \\ &\quad + a_4 [d(Ez, Fp) + d(Fp, Az)] + a_5 [d(Tz, Fp) + d(Az, Sp)] + a_6 d(Fp, Bp) \frac{[1+d(Az, Tz)]}{[1+d(Sp, Ez)]} \\ &\leq a_1 d(p, p) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(z, p)]} + a_2 [d(z, z) + d(p, p)] + a_3 d(z, z) \frac{[1+d(p, p)]}{[1+d(p, p)]} + a_4 [d(z, p) + d(p, z)] \\ &\quad + a_5 [d(z, p) + d(z, p)] + a_6 d(p, p) \frac{[1+d(z, z)]}{[1+d(p, z)]} \\ &\leq 2a_1 + 4a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4 + 2a_5 + 2a_6 d(z, p) \end{aligned}$$

$$[1 - (2a_1 + 4a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4 + 2a_5 + 2a_6)]d(z, p) \leq 0$$

$d(z, p) = 0$ . Similarly  $d(p, z) = 0$ . Therefore  $p = z$ . Hence  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A, B, E, F, S, T$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Cho, Y.J. Fixed points for compatible mappings of type(A), Math.Japan, 38, (1993), 497-508.
2. Jungck, G. Commuting maps and fixed points, Amer.Math.Monthly, 83, (1976), 261.
3. Pathak, H.K. Weak commuting mappings and fixed points, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 17(2), (1986), 201-212.
4. Jain, R. and Bajaj, N. Fixed point with intimate mappings, IOSR Journal of Math. (2003) Vol.5 (1), 11-19.
5. Seesa, S. On weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point consideration, Publ.Inst.Math. 32 (1982), 149-153.
6. Savita. Fixed points for four intimate mappings, Varamiher journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol.3 (1), (2003), 93-98.

**Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**

**[Copy right © 2016, RJPA. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Research Journal of Pure Algebra (IRJPA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]**