



SOFT UNION IDEAL STRUCTURES OF GAMMA NEAR-RINGS

M. SUBHA<sup>1</sup>, G. SUBBIAH<sup>2\*</sup> AND M. NAVANEETHAKRISHNAN<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor in Mathematics,  
Sri K.G.S. Arts College, Srivaikuntam - 628 619, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2\*</sup>Associate Professor in Mathematics,  
Sri K.G.S. Arts College, Srivaikuntam - 628 619, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor in Mathematics,  
Kamaraj College, Thoothukudi - 628 003, Tamil Nadu, India.

(Received On: 14-09-17; Revised & Accepted On: 02-11-17)

---

ABSTRACT

The structure of soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring is based on the inclusion relation and union of sets and since this new concept brings the soft set theory, set theory and  $\Gamma$ -near ring theory together, it is very functional by means of improving the soft set theory with respect to  $\Gamma$ -near ring structure. Moreover, we investigate those notions with respect to soft image, soft pre-image and  $\beta$ -inclusion of soft sets. Finally, we give some applications of soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring to  $\Gamma$ -near ring theory.

**Index terms:** Soft set, gamma near- ring SU-action, ideal SU-action, soft image, soft pre-image,  $\beta$ -inclusion

**AMS Subject Classification:** 03E70, 58E40.

---

SECTION-1 INTRODUCTION

The notion of near ring was first introduced by Dickson and Leonard in 1905 [1]. They showed that there do exist "fields with one distributive law" (near fields). It was Zassenhaus who was able to determine all finite near rings. Now a days, near fields are mighty tools in characterizing doubly transitive groups, incidence groups and Frobenius groups. We note that the ideals of near rings play a central role in the structure theory; however, they do not in general coincide with the usual ring ideals of a ring. In 1984, Satyanarayana introduced  $\Gamma$ -near-ring in his doctoral thesis and obtained some basic results [34]. For further see [35, 36]. To solve complicated problems in economics, engineering, environmental science and social science. Methods in classical mathematics are not always successful because of various types of uncertainties presented in these problems. While probability theory, fuzzy set theory [3], rough set theory [4, 5] and other mathematical tools are well known and often useful approaches to describing uncertainty, each of these theories has its inherent difficulties as pointed out in [6, 7]. In 1999, Molodtsov[6] introduced the concept of soft sets, which can be seen as a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties. This so-called soft set theory is free from the difficulties affecting existing methods. Presently, works on soft set theory are progressing rapidly. Maji *et al.* [8] defined several operations on soft sets and made a theoretical study on the theory of soft sets. Since its inception, it has received much attention in the mean of algebraic structures such as groups [9], semi rings [10], rings [11], BCK/BCI-algebras [12, 13, 14], normalistic soft groups [15], BL-algebras [16], BCH-algebras [17] and near-rings [18]. Atagün and Sezgin [19] defined the concepts of soft sub rings and ideals of a ring, soft subfields of a field and soft sub modules of a module and studied their related properties with respect to soft set operations. Also union soft sub structures of near-rings and near-ring modules are studied in [20]. Cagman *et al.* defined two new types of group actions on a soft set, called group SI-action and group SU-action [21], which are based on the inclusion relation and the intersection of sets and union of sets, respectively. Ali *et al.* [23] introduced several operations of soft sets. Sezgin and Atagün[24] studied on soft set operations as well. Soft set relations and functions [25] and soft mappings [26] were proposed and many related concepts were discussed too. Moreover, the theory of soft sets has gone through remarkably rapid strides with a wide-ranging applications especially in soft decision making as in the following studies: [27, 28, 29] and some other fields such as [30, 31, 32, 33]. Cagman and Enginoglu [28] redefined the operations of soft sets to develop the soft set theory. By using their definitions, in this paper,

---

**Corresponding Author: G. Subbiah<sup>2\*</sup>**

**<sup>2\*</sup>Associate Professor in Mathematics, Sri K.G.S. Arts College, Srivaikuntam - 628 619, Tamil Nadu, India.**

We define a soft uni  $\Gamma$ -near-ring. The structure of soft uni  $\Gamma$ -near-ring is based on the inclusion relation and intersection of sets and since this new concept brings the soft set theory, set theory and  $\Gamma$ -near-ring theory together, it is very functional by means of improving the soft set theory with respect to  $\Gamma$ -near-ring structure. From this view, it functions as a bridge among soft set theory, set theory and  $\Gamma$ -near-ring theory.

## SECTION-2: PRELIMINARIES AND BASIC CONCEPTS

In this section, we recall basic definitions of soft set theory that are useful for subsequent sections. For more detail see the papers [8, 9]

Through out the paper,  $U$  refers to an initial universe,  $E$  is a set of parameters and  $P(U)$  is the power set of  $U$ .  $\subset$  and  $\supset$  stand for proper subset and super set, respectively.

**Definition 2.1[9]:** For any subset  $A$  of  $E$ , a soft set  $\lambda_A$  over  $U$  is a set, defined by a function  $\lambda_A$ , representing the mapping  $\lambda_A: E \rightarrow P(U)$ . A soft set over  $U$  can also be represented by the set of ordered pairs  $\lambda_A = \{(x, \lambda_A(x)): x \in E, \lambda_A(x) \in P(U)\}$ . Note that the set of all soft sets over  $U$  will be denoted by  $S(U)$ .

**Definition 2.2[9]:** Let  $\lambda, \mu \in S(U)$ . Then

- (i) If  $\lambda(e) = \emptyset$  for all  $e \in E$ ,  $\lambda$  is said to be a null soft set, denoted by  $\emptyset$ .
- (ii) If  $\lambda(e) = U$  for all  $e \in E$ ,  $\lambda$  is said to be an absolute soft set, denoted by  $U$ .
- (iii)  $\lambda$  is a soft subset of  $\mu$ , denoted  $\lambda \subseteq \mu$ , if  $\lambda(e) \subseteq \mu(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ .
- (iv) Soft union of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\lambda \cup \mu$ , is a soft set over  $U$  and defined by  $\lambda \cup \mu: E \rightarrow P(U)$  such that  $(\lambda \cup \mu)(e) = \lambda(e) \cup \mu(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ .
- (v)  $\lambda = \mu$  if  $\lambda \subseteq \mu$  and  $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ .
- (vi) Soft intersection of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\lambda \cap \mu$ , is a soft set over  $U$  and defined by  $\lambda \cap \mu: E \rightarrow P(U)$  such that  $(\lambda \cap \mu)(e) = \lambda(e) \cap \mu(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ .
- (vii) Soft complement of  $\lambda$  is denoted by  $\lambda^c$  and defined by  $\lambda^c: E \rightarrow P(U)$  such that  $\lambda^c(e) = U/\lambda(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ .

**Definition 2.3:** Let  $E$  be a parameter set,  $S \subset E$  and  $\lambda: S \rightarrow E$  be an injection function. Then  $S \cup \lambda(s)$  is called extended parameter set of  $S$  and denoted by  $\xi_S$ .

If  $S = E$ , then extended parameter set of  $S$  will be denoted by  $\xi$ .

**Definition 2.4:** Let  $R$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near ring and  $f_R$  be a soft set over  $U$ . Then,  $f_R$  is said to be soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$ , if it satisfies the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $f_R(x+y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$ .
- (ii)  $f_R(-x) = f_R(x)$ .
- (iii)  $f_R(x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Example 1:** Let  $R = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$  be non-empty sets. The binary operations defined as

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| + | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

|          |   |   |   |   |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| $\alpha$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 0        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1        | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 2        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3        | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

|         |   |   |   |   |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| $\beta$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 0       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1       | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2       | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Clearly,  $(R, +, \Gamma)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -near ring.

Assume that  $R$  is the set of parameters and  $U = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & x \\ x & 0 \end{bmatrix} / x \in Z_4 \right\}$ ,  $2 \times 2$  matrices with  $Z_4$  terms, in the universal set. We construct a soft set  $f_R$  over  $U$  by

$$f_R(0) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

$$f_R(1) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

$$f_R(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

$$f_R(3) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Then, one can easily show that the soft set  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$ .

**Example 2:** In example-1, assume that  $R=\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  is again the set of parameters and  $U= S_3$ , Symmetric group, is the universal set. We defined a soft set  $f_R$  by,

$$f_R(0) = \{(1\ 2), (2\ 3)\}$$

$$f_R(1) = \{(1\ 2), (1\ 3), (1\ 2\ 3)\}$$

$$f_R(2) = \{(1\ 2), (2\ 3), (1\ 2\ 3)\}$$

$$f_R(3) = \{(1\ 2), (1\ 3), (1\ 2\ 3)\}$$

$f_R$  is not a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring, because

$$f_R(1+1) = f_R(0) = \{(1\ 2), (2\ 3)\} \not\subseteq \{(1\ 2), (1\ 3), (1\ 2\ 3)\}.$$

**Note-1:** If  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$ , then  $f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(y)$ , for all  $y \in R$

### SECTION-3: SOME IMPORTANT THEOREMS

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $R$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near ring and  $f_R$  a soft set over  $U$ . Then,  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring if and only if  $f_R(x - y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$  and  $f_R(x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$ . Then, by definition of a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring, we have

$$f_R(x - y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(-y) = f_R(x) \cup f_R(y) \text{ and}$$

$$f_R(x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in R \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Conversely, assume that  $f_R(x - y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$  and  $f_R(x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . If we choose  $x = 0$ , then  $f_R(0 - y) = f_R(-y) \subseteq f_R(0) \cup f_R(y) = f_R(y)$ .

Now,

$$f_R(y) = f_R(-(-y)) \subseteq f_R(-y). \text{ Thus } f_R(y) = f_R(-y) \text{ for all } y \in R. \text{ Also, by assumption, we have}$$

$$f_R(x + y) = f_R(x - (-y)) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(-y) = f_R(x) \cup f_R(y). \text{ Thus } f_R \text{ is a soft union } \Gamma\text{-near ring over } U.$$

**Note-2:** Let  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$ .

(i) If  $f_R(x - y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $f_R(x) = f_R(y)$ .

(ii) If  $f_R(x - y) = f_R(0)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $f_R(x) = f_R(y)$ .

It is known that if  $(R, +, \Gamma)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -near ring, then  $(R, +)$  is a group but not necessarily abelian. That is, for any  $x, y \in R$ ,  $x + y$  needs not be equal to  $y + x$ . However, we have the following.

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $f_R$  be a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$  and  $x \in R$ . Then  $f_R(x) = f_R(y) \Leftrightarrow f_R(x + y) = f_R(y + x)$ . for all  $y \in R$

**Proof:** Straight forward.

**Theorem 3.3:** Let  $R$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near field and  $f_R$  a soft set over  $U$ . If  $f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(1_R) = f_R(x)$  for all  $0 \neq x \in R$ , then  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(1_R) = f_R(x)$  for all  $0 \neq x \in R$ . In order to prove that  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$ , it is enough to prove that  $f_R(x - y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$  and  $f_R(x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$ .

Let  $x, y \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then, we have the following cases:

**Case-1:** Suppose that  $x \neq 0$  and  $y = 0$  or  $x = 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ . Since  $R$  is a  $\Gamma$ -near field, so it follows that  $x \alpha y = 0$  and

$$f_R(x \alpha y) = f_R(0). \text{ Since } f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(x) \text{ for all } x \in R,$$

So,  $f_R(x \alpha y) = f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(x)$  and  $f_R(x \alpha y) = f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(y)$ . This imply  $f_R(x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$ .

**Case-2:** Suppose that  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ . It follows that  $x \alpha y \neq 0$ . Then

$$f_R(x \alpha y) = f_R(1_R) = f_R(x) \text{ and } f_R(x \alpha y) = f_R(1_R) = f_R(y). \text{ This imply}$$

$$f_R(x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y).$$

**Case-3:** Suppose that  $x = 0$  and  $y = 0$ , then clearly  $f_R(x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$ . Hence

$$f_R(x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

**Theorem 3.4:** If  $f_R$  and  $f_S$  are soft union  $\Gamma$ -near rings over  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ , then  $f_R \times f_S$  is also soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U_1 \times U_2$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in R \times S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{h}_{R \times S}\{(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)\} &= \mathcal{h}_{R \times S}(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) \\ &= \mathcal{h}_R(x_1 - x_2) \times \mathcal{h}_S(y_1 - y_2) \\ &\subseteq (\mathcal{h}_R(x_1) \cup \mathcal{h}_R(x_2)) \times (\mathcal{h}_S(y_1) \cup \mathcal{h}_S(y_2)) \\ &= (\mathcal{h}_R(x_1) \times \mathcal{h}_S(y_1)) \cup (\mathcal{h}_R(x_2) \times \mathcal{h}_S(y_2)) \\ &= \mathcal{h}_{R \times S}(x_1, y_1) \cup \mathcal{h}_{R \times S}(x_2, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in R \times S$  and  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{h}_{R \times S}\{(x_1, y_1)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)(x_2, y_2)\} &= \mathcal{h}_{R \times S}(x_1 \alpha_1 x_2, y_1 \alpha_2 y_2) \\ &= \mathcal{h}_R(x_1 \alpha_1 x_2) \times \mathcal{h}_S(y_1 \alpha_2 y_2) \\ &\subseteq (\mathcal{h}_R(x_1) \cup \mathcal{h}_R(x_2)) \times (\mathcal{h}_S(y_1) \cup \mathcal{h}_S(y_2)) \\ &= (\mathcal{h}_R(x_1) \times \mathcal{h}_S(y_1)) \cup (\mathcal{h}_R(x_2) \times \mathcal{h}_S(y_2)) \\ &= \mathcal{h}_{R \times S}(x_1, y_1) \cup \mathcal{h}_{R \times S}(x_2, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_R \times f_S$  is soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U_1 \times U_2$ .

**Theorem 3.5:** If  $f_R$  and  $f_S$  are soft union  $\Gamma$ -near rings over  $U$ , then  $f_R \cap f_S$  is also soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$ .

**Proof:** Now, let  $x, y \in R$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (f_R \cup f_S)(x - y) &= f_R(x - y) \cup f_S(x - y) \\ &\subseteq (f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)) \cup (f_S(x) \cup f_S(y)) \\ &= (f_R(x) \cup f_S(y)) \cup (f_R(x)) \cup f_S(y) \\ &= f_{R \cup S}(x) \cup f_{R \cup S}(y) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $x, y \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (f_R \cup f_S)(x \alpha y) &= f_R(x \alpha y) \cup f_S(x \alpha y) \\ &\subseteq (f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)) \cup (f_S(x) \cup f_S(y)) \\ &= (f_R(x) \cup f_S(x)) \cup ((f_R(y) \cup f_S(y))) \\ &= f_{R \cup S}(x) \cup f_{R \cup S}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_R \cup f_S$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$ .

#### 4. SOFT UNION IDEALS IN GAMMA NEAR -RINGS

**Definition 4.1:** Let  $R$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near ring and  $f_R$  be a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$ . Then,  $f_R$  is said to be a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ , if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $f_R(x + y - x) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y)$ .
- (ii)  $f_R(x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(x)$ .
- (iii)  $f_R(x \alpha (y + z) - x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

If  $f_R$  is soft union  $\Gamma$ -near ring over  $U$  and the conditions (i) and (ii) hold, then  $f_R$  is called a soft union right  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$  and if conditions (i) and (iii) hold, then  $f_R$  is called a soft union left  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$

**Example 1:** Let  $R = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$  be non-empty sets. The binary operations defined as

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| + | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

|          |   |   |   |   |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| $\alpha$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 0        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1        | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2        | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 3        | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |

|         |   |   |   |   |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| $\beta$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 0       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1       | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2       | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 3       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Clearly,  $(R, +, \Gamma)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -near ring.

Assume that  $R$  is the set of parameters and

$$U = D_3 = \{(x, y): x^3 = y^3 = (xy)^2 = e, xy = yx^2\} = \{e, x, x^2, y, yx, y^2x\}$$

dihedral group, the universal set.

We define a soft set  $f_R$  over  $U$  by

$$\begin{aligned} f_R(0) &= f_R(3) = D_3 \\ f_R(2) &= f_R(1) = \{e, x\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, clearly  $f_R$  is a soft union left  $\Gamma$ -ideal and right  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ .

**Theorem 4.2:** Let  $R$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near field and  $f_R$  a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ . Then,

$$f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(1_R) = f_R(x) \text{ for all } 0 \neq x \in R.$$

**Proof:** suppose that  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ , then  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -near-ring of  $R$  over  $U$ . since  $f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(x)$ , so in particular  $f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(1_R)$ .

Now, let  $0 \neq x \in R$ . Then

$$f_R(x) = f_R(1_R \cdot x) \subseteq f_R(1_R) = f_R(x \cdot x^{-1}) \subseteq f_R(x)$$

Imply that  $f_R(x) = f_R(1_R)$  for all  $0 \neq x \in R$ .

For a near  $\Gamma$ -ring  $R$ , the zero-symmetric part of  $R$  denoted by  $R_0$  is defined by  $R_0 = \{r \in R / r0 = 0\}$ .

It is a zero-symmetric near-ring and  $I_i \nabla R$ , then  $RI \supseteq R$ . Hence, we have an analog for this case.

**Theorem 4.3:** Let  $R=R_0$  and  $f_R$  be a soft set of  $R$  over  $U$ . Then  $f_R(x \alpha (y + z) - x \alpha y) \subseteq f_R(z)$  implies that  $f_R(xz) \subseteq f_R(z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ .

**Theorem 4.4:** If  $f_R$  and  $f_S$  are soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideals over  $U$ , then  $f_R f_S$  is also soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal over  $U$ .

**Proof:** Let  $f_R$  and  $f_S$  are soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideals over  $U$ . Let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in R \times S$  and  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ . Then  
 $(f_R f_S)((x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) - (x_1, y_1)) = (f_R f_S)(x_1 + x_2 - x_1, y_1 + y_2 - y_1)$   
 $= f_R(x_1 + x_2 - x_1) \cup f_S(y_1 + y_2 - y_1)$   
 $\subseteq f_R(x_2) \cup f_S(y_2) = f_R f_S(x_2, y_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} (f_R f_S)((x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2)) &= (f_R f_S)(x_1 x_2, y_1 y_2) \\ &= f_R(x_1 x_2) f_S(y_1 y_2) \\ &\subseteq f_R(x_1) \cup f_S(y_1) = (f_R f_S)(x_1, y_1) \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f_R f_S)((x_1, y_1)(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)((x_2, y_2) + (x_3, y_3)) - (x_1, y_1)(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)(x_2, y_2)) \\ = (f_R f_S)(x_1 \sigma_1(x_2 + x_3) - x_1 \sigma_1 x_2, y_1 \sigma_2(y_2 + y_3) - y_1 \sigma_2 y_2) \\ = f_R(x_1 \sigma_1(x_2 + x_3) - x_1 \sigma_1 x_2) \cup f_S(y_1 \sigma_2(y_2 + y_3) - y_1 \sigma_2 y_2) \\ \subseteq f_R(x_3) \cup f_S(y_3) = (f_R f_S)(x_3, y_3) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f_R f_S$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R \times S$  over  $U$ .

**Theorem 4.5:** If  $f_R$  and  $f_S$  are soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideals over  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ , then  $f_R \times f_S$  is also soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal over  $U_1 \times U_2$ .

**Proof:** similar to previous theorem.

**Theorem 4.6:** If  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $\Gamma$  near-ring  $R$  over  $U$ , then  $R_f = \{x \in R: f_R(x) = f_R(0)\}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$

**Proof:** It is obvious that  $0 \in R_f \subseteq R$ . We need to prove that

$$(i) x - y \in R_f \quad (ii) n + x - n \in R_f \quad (iii) x \alpha n \in R_f \text{ and } n \alpha (i + x) - n \alpha i \in R_f$$

for all  $x, y \in R_f, n, i \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

If  $x, y \in R_f$ , then  $f_R(x) = f_R(y) = f_R(0)$ . So by Theorem 4.2, it follows that  $f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(x - y), f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(n + x - n)$ , and  $f_R(0) \subseteq f_R(n \alpha (i + x) - n \alpha i)$

for all  $x, y \in R_f, n, i \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$

Since  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} (i) f_R(x - y) &\subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y) = f_R(0) \\ (ii) f_R(n + x - n) &\subseteq f_R(x) = f_R(0) \\ (iii) f_R(x \alpha n) &\subseteq f_R(x) = f_R(0) \text{ and } f_R(n \alpha (i + x) - n \alpha i) \subseteq f_R(x) = f_R(0) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (i)  $f_R(x - y) = f_R(0)$ , (ii)  $f_R(n + x - n) = f_R(0)$ , (iii)  $f_R(x \alpha n) = f_R(0)$

$$\text{and } f_R(n \alpha (i + x) - n \alpha i) = f_R(0) \text{ for all } x, y \in R_f, n, i \in R \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma$$

Thus,  $R_f$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ .

**Theorem 4.7:** Let  $f_R$  be a soft set over  $U$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subset of  $U$  such that  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{B} \supseteq f_R(0)$ .

If  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ , then  $f_R \subseteq \mathcal{B} = \{x \in R / f_R(x) \subseteq \mathcal{B}\}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ .

**Proof:** Since  $f_R(0) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , so  $0 \in f_R \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  and  $\emptyset \neq f_R \subseteq \mathcal{B} \supseteq R$ . Take  $x, y \in f_R \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ ,  $n, i \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , which implies that  $f_R(x) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  and  $f_R(y) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ . Now we need to prove that

- (i)  $x - y \in f_R \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  (ii)  $n + x - n \in f_R \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  (iii)  $x\alpha n \in f_R \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  and  $n\alpha(i+x) - n\alpha i \in f_R \subseteq \mathcal{B}$   
for all  $x, y \in f_R \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ ,  $n, i \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Since  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ , so it follows that
- (i)  $f_R(x - y) \subseteq f_R(x) \cup f_R(y) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$
- (ii)  $f_R(n + x - n) \subseteq f_R(x) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$
- (iii)  $f_R(x\alpha n) \subseteq f_R(x) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  and
- (iv)  $f_R(n\alpha(i + x) - n\alpha i) \subseteq f_R(x) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$

Thus, this completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.8:** Let  $f_R$  and  $f_S$  are soft sets over  $U$  and  $\varphi$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near ring isomorphism from  $R$  to  $S$ .

- (i) If  $f_R$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ , then  $\varphi(f_R)$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$  over  $U$ .
- (ii) If  $f_S$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$  over  $U$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(f_S)$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $R$  over  $U$ .

**Proof:** (i) let  $x_1, x_2 \in S$ . since  $\varphi$  is surjective, there exists  $y_1, y_2 \in R$  such that  $\varphi(y_1) = x_1, \varphi(y_2) = x_2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f_R)(x_1 - x_2) &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, \varphi(y) = x_1 - x_2\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, y = \varphi^{-1}(x_1 - x_2)\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(y_1 - y_2)) = y_1 - y_2\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y_1 - y_2) / y_1 \in R, \varphi(y_i) = x_i, i=1,2\} \\ &\subseteq \cup \{f_R(y_1) \cup f_R(y_2) / y_i \in R, \varphi(y_i) = x_i, i=1,2\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y_1) / y_1 \in R, \varphi(y_1) = x_1\} \cup \{f_R(y_2) / y_2 \in R, \varphi(y_2) = x_2\} \\ &= \varphi(f_R)(x_1) \cup \varphi(f_R)(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\varphi(f_R)(x_1 - x_2) \subseteq \varphi(f_R)(x_1) \cup \varphi(f_R)(x_2)$ . Similarly, we can prove that

$$\varphi(f_R)(x_1 \alpha_1 x_2) \subseteq \varphi(f_R)(x_1) \cup \varphi(f_R)(x_2).$$

Now we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f_R)(x_1 + x_2 - x_1) &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, \varphi(y) = (x_1 + x_2 - x_1)\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, y = \varphi^{-1}(x_1 + x_2 - x_1)\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(y_1 + y_2 - y_1)) = (y_1 + y_2 - y_1)\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y_1 + y_2 - y_1) / y_i \in R, (y_i) = x_i, i=1, 2\} \\ &\subseteq \cup \{f_R(y_2) / y_2 \in R, \varphi(y_2) = x_2\} \\ &= \varphi(f_R)(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\varphi(f_R)(x_1 + x_2 - x_1) \subseteq \varphi(f_R)(x_2)$ .

Now, let  $x_1, x_2 \in S, y_1, y_2 \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f_R)(x_1 \alpha_1 x_2) &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, \varphi(y) = x_1 \alpha_1 x_2\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, y = \varphi^{-1}(x_1 \alpha_1 x_2)\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(y_1 \alpha y_2)) = y_1 \alpha y_2\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y_1 \alpha y_2) / y_i \in R, (y_i) = x_i, i=1,2\} \\ &\subseteq \cup \{f_R(y_2) / y_2 \in R, \varphi(y_2) = x_2\} \\ &= \varphi(f_R)(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in S, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in R$  and  $\alpha_1 \in \Gamma$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f_R)(x_1 \alpha_1 (x_2 + x_3) - (x_1 \alpha_1 x_2)) &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, \varphi(y) = x_1 \alpha_1 (x_2 + x_3) - x_1 \alpha_1 x_2\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, y = \varphi^{-1}(x_1 \alpha_1 (x_2 + x_3) - x_1 \alpha_1 x_2)\} \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y) / y \in R, y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(y_1 \alpha (y_2 + y_3) - y_1 \alpha y_2))\} \\ &= (y_1 \alpha (y_2 + y_3) - y_1 \alpha y_2) \\ &= \cup \{f_R(y_1 \alpha (y_2 + y_3) - y_1 \alpha y_2); y_i \in R, (y_i) = x_i, i=1, 2, 3\} \\ &\subseteq \cup \{f_R(y_3) / y_3 \in R, \varphi(y_3) = x_3\} \\ &= \varphi(f_R)(x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\varphi(f_R)$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $S$  over  $U$ .

(ii) Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in S$  and  $\alpha_1 \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \Gamma_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } (\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_1 \alpha_1 x_2) &= f_S(\varphi(x_1 \alpha_1 x_2)) = f_S(\varphi(x_1) \mathcal{B} \varphi(x_2)) \\ &\subseteq f_S(\varphi(x_1)) \cup f_S(\varphi(x_2)) \\ &= (\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_1) \cup (\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $(\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_1-x_2) \subseteq (\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_1) \cup (\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } (\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_1+x_2-x_1) &= f_S(\varphi(x_1+x_2-x_1)) \\ &= f_S(\varphi(x_1) + \varphi(x_2) - \varphi(x_1)) \\ &\subseteq f_S(\varphi(x_2)) = (\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $x_1, x_2 \in S$  and  $\alpha_1 \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \Gamma_1$ .

$$(\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_1\alpha_1x_2) = f_S(\varphi(x_1\alpha_1x_2)) = f_S(\varphi(x_1)\mathcal{B}_1\varphi(x_2)) \subseteq f_S(\varphi(x_1)) = (\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_1).$$

Finally, Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in S$  and  $\alpha_1 \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \Gamma_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } (\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_1\alpha_1(x_2+x_3) - (x_1\alpha_1x_2)) &= f_S(\varphi(x_1\alpha_1(x_2+x_3) - (x_1\alpha_1x_2))) \\ &= f_S(\varphi(x_1)\mathcal{B}_1\varphi(x_2)) + \varphi(x_3) - \varphi(x_1)\mathcal{B}_1\varphi(x_2)) \\ &\subseteq f_S(\varphi(x_3)) = (\varphi^{-1}(f_S))(x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\varphi^{-1}(f_S)$  is a soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideal of R over U.

## CONCLUSION

Fuzzy set theory, rough set theory and soft set theory are all mathematical tools for dealing with uncertainties. This paper is devoted to discussion of combination of soft set theory, set theory and  $\Gamma$ -near-ring. By using soft sets and union operation of sets, we have defined a new concept, called soft union  $\Gamma$ -near-ring. This new notion brings the soft set theory, set theory and  $\Gamma$ -near-ring theory together and therefore is very functional for obtaining results by means of  $\Gamma$ -near-ring structure. Based on the definition, we have introduced the concepts of soft union sub  $\Gamma$ -near-rings and soft union  $\Gamma$ -ideals of a  $\Gamma$ -near-ring with illustrative examples. We have then investigated these notions with respect to soft image, soft pre-image and  $\beta$ -inclusion of soft sets. Finally, we give some applications of soft union  $\Gamma$ -near-rings to  $\Gamma$ -near-ring theory.

## REFERENCES

1. Dickson and E. Leonard, Definitions of a group and a field by independent postulates, Trans.Amer. Math. Soc, 6 (1905), 198-204.
2. G. Pilz, Near rings the theory and its Applications, North-Holland Publishing Com, 1977.
3. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338.353.
4. Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, International Journal of Computing and Information Sciences 11(1982), 341.356.
5. Z. Pawlak, Rough Sets: Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Data, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1991.
6. D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory. .rst results, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 37 (1999), 19.31.
7. D. Molodtsov, The theory of soft sets, URSS Publishers, Moscow, 2004 (in Russian).
8. P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, A. R. Roy, Soft set theory, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 45, 2003, 555-562.
9. H. Aktas. N. Cagman, Soft sets and soft groups. Inform Sci 177, 2007, 2726-2735.
10. F. Feng, Y. B. Jun, X. Zhao, Soft semi rings. Comput Math Appl 56, 2008, 2621-2628.
11. U. Acar, F. Koyuncu and B. Tanay (2010) Soft sets and soft rings. Comput Math Appl 59: 3458-3463.
12. Y. B. Jun (2008) Soft BCK/BCI-algebras. Comput Math Appl 56: 1408-1413
13. Y. B. Jun, C. H. Park, Applications of soft sets in ideal theory of BCK/BCI-algebras. Inform Sci 178, 2466-2475.
14. Y. B. Jun, K. J. Lee, J. Zhan, Soft p-ideals of soft BCI-algebras. Comput Math Appl 58, 2009, 2060-2068.
15. A. Sezgin, A. O. Atagün, Soft groups and normalistic soft groups. Comput Math Appl 62, 2, 2011, 685-698.
16. J. Zhan, Y. B. Jun Soft BL-algebras based on fuzzy sets. Comput. Math. Appl. 59, 6, 2010, 2037-2046.
17. S. O. Kazanc, Ylmaz, S. Yamak Soft sets and soft BCH-algebras. Hacet J Math Stat 39 (2),(2010), 205-217.
18. A. Sezgin, A. O. Atagün, E. Aygün, A note on soft near-rings and idealistic soft near-rings. Filomat 25(1), (2011), 53-68.
19. A. O. Atagün, A. Sezgin Soft substructures of rings, .elds and modules. Comput Math Appl. 61(3), (2011), 592-601.
20. A. Sezgin and A. O. Atagün, N. Ça¼gman, Union soft substructures of near-rings and N-groups.Neural Comput. Appl, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s00521-011-0732-1.
21. N. Çağman , F. Çtak , H. Akta,s , Soft int-groups and its applications to group theory, NeuralComput. Appl., DOI: 10.1007/s00521-011-0752-x.
22. P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, A. R. Roy, Soft set theory, Comput Math Appl 45, 2003, 555-562.
23. M. I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W. K. Min and M. Shabir, On some new operations in soft set theory, Comput Math Appl 57, 2009, 1547-1553.

24. A. Sezgin, A. O. Atagün, On operations of soft sets, Comput. Math. Appl. 61, 5, 2011, 1457-1467.
25. K. V. Babitha, J. J. Sunil, Soft set relations and functions. Comput Math Appl 60, 7, 2010, 1840-1849.
26. P. Majumdar , S.K. Samanta On soft mappings, Comput Math Appl 60(9), (2010), 2666-2672.
27. N. Cagman, S. Enginoğlu, Soft matrix theory and its decision making. Comput Math Appl. 59, (2010), 3308-3314.
28. N. Cagman, S. Enginoğlu, Soft set theory and uni-int decision making. Eur J Oper Res 207, (2010), 848-855.
29. P. K. Maji, A. R. Roy, R. Biswas, An application of soft sets in a decision making problem. Comput Math Appl 44, (2002), 1077-1083.
30. F. Feng, X.Y. Liu, V. Leoreanu-Fotea, Y. B. Jun, Soft sets and soft rough sets. Inform Sci. 181, 6, 2011, 1125-1137.
31. F. Feng, C. Li, B. Davvaz and M. I. Ali, Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: a tentative approach. Soft Comput 14, 6, (2010), 899-911.
32. F. Feng, Y. M. Li, V. Leoreanu-Fotea, Application of level soft sets in decision making based on interval-valued fuzzy soft sets, Comput Math Appl 60, (2010), 1756-1767.
33. F. Feng, Y B. Jun, X.Y. Liu , L F. Li, An adjustable approach to fuzzy soft set based decision making, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 234, (2010), 10-20.
34. Bh. Satyanarayana Contributions to near ring theory, Doctoral Thesis, Nagarjuna Univ. (1984).
35. G. L. Booth A note on  $\Gamma$ -near-rings, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 23 (1988) 471-475.
36. G. L. Booth Jacobson radicals of  $\Gamma$ -near-rings Proceedings of the Hobart Conference, Longman Sci.& Technical (1987) 1-12.

**Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**

***[Copy right © 2017, RJPA. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Research Journal of Pure Algebra (IRJPA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]***