



**FIXED POINT THEOREM
IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY METRIC SPACE USING ABSORBING MAPS**

M. VIJAYA KUMAR* AND S. M. SUBHANI

Bhagawant University, Ajmer – (R,J.), India.

(Received On: 08-07-18; Revised & Accepted On: 16-07-18)

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to study a fixed point theorem intuitionistic fuzzy metric space using absorbing maps. We mainly generalized.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key Words: fixed point theorem, intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, absorbing maps.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965 Zadeh [17] introduced the notion of fuzzy sets. After this during the last few decades many authors have established the existence of lots of fixed point theorems in fuzzy setting: Fang [7]. Atanassov [2] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets and later there has been much progress in the study of intuitionistic fuzzy sets by many authors.

In 2004, Park introduced a notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norms and continuous t-conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Michalekin fact the concepts of triangular norms (t-norm) and triangular conorms (t-conorm) are originally introduced by Schweizer and Sklar in study of statistical metric spaces..

Ranadive *et al.* introduced the concept of absorbing mapping in metric space and prove common fixed point theorem in this space. Moreover they observe that the new notion of absorbing map is neither a subclass of compatible maps nor a subclass of non compatible maps. In Mishra *et al.* introduced absorbing maps in fuzzy metric space.

Most of the fixed point theorems for contraction mappings invariably require a compatibility condition besides assuming continuity of at least one of the mappings. Pant [14] noticed these criteria for fixed points of contraction mappings and introduced a new continuity condition, known as reciprocal continuity and obtained a common fixed point theorem by using the compatibility in metric spaces. He also showed that in the setting of fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying contraction conditions, the notion of reciprocal continuity is weaker than the continuity of one of the mappings.

He also showed that in the setting of fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying contraction conditions, the notion of reciprocal continuity is weaker than the continuity of one of the mappings.

Balasubramaniam *et al.* [5] proved a fixed point theorem, which generalizes a result of Pant [14] for self mappings in fuzzy metric space. Pant and Jha [14] proved a fixed point theorem that gives an analogue of the results by Balasubramaniam *et al.* [22] by obtaining a connection between the continuity and reciprocal continuity for four mappings in fuzzy metric space.

Kumar and Chugh [91] established some fixed point theorems in metric spaces by using the ideas of pointwise R-weak commutativity and reciprocal continuity of mappings.

**Corresponding Author: M. Vijaya Kumar*,
Bhagawant University, Ajmer – (R,J.), India.**

The aim of this paper is to introduce the new notion of absorbing maps in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space which is neither a subclass of compatible maps nor a subclass of non-compatible maps, it is not necessary that absorbing maps commute at their coincidence points however if the mapping pair satisfy the contractive type condition then point wise absorbing maps not only commute at their coincidence points but it becomes a necessary condition for obtaining a common fixed point of mapping pair.

This paper generalizes many known results and explores the possibility of applying the notion of reciprocal continuity and absorbing maps to the problem of finding common fixed points of six mappings or sequence of mappings satisfying contractive type conditions in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces without being continuous even at the fixed point for this first we give some definitions and known results which are used in this chapter.

Definition 1.1: Let X be any non empty set. A fuzzy set A in X is a function with domain X and values in $[0,1]$.

Definition 1.2: Let a set E be fixed. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) A of E is an object having the form

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in E \}$$

where the function

$$\mu_A : E \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ and } \nu_A : E \rightarrow [0,1],$$

Define respectively, the degree of membership and degree of non-membership of the element $x \in E$ to the set A , which is a subset of E , and for every $x \in E$, $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$.

Definition 1.3: A binary operation $*$: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous t-norm, if $*$ is satisfying the following conditions:

- 1.3 (i) $*$ is commutative and associative.
 - 1.3 (ii) $*$ is continuous.
 - 1.3 (iii) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$.
 - 1.3 (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$,
- For $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.

Definition 1.4: A binary operation \diamond : $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous t-conorm if \diamond it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1.4 (i) \diamond is commutative and associative.
 - 1.4 (ii) \diamond is continuous.
 - 1.4 (iii) $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$.
 - 1.4 (iv) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$,
- For $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.

Note 1.5: The concepts of triangular norms (t-norms) and triangular co norms (t-co norms) are known as the axiomatic skeletons that we use for characterizing fuzzy intersections and unions, respectively. These concepts were originally introduced by Menger [102] in his study of statistical metric spaces.

Definition 1.6: A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (shortly IFM-Space) if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm is a continuous t-conorm and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions: for all $x, y, z, s, t > 0$,

- 1.6 (IFM-1) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$
- 1.6 (IFM-2) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$
- 1.6 (IFM-3) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$.
- 1.6 (IFM-4) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$
- 1.6 (IFM-5) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$
- 1.6 (IFM-6) $M(x, y, \bullet) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is left continuous.
- 1.6 (IFM-7) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$
- 1.6 (IFM-8) $N(x, y, 0) = 1$
- 1.6 (IFM-9) $N(x, y, t) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.
- 1.6 (IFM-10) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$
- 1.6 (IFM-11) $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t + s)$
- 1.6 (IFM-12) $N(x, y, \bullet) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is right continuous.
- 1.6 (IFM-13) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X . The functions $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and degree of non-nearness between x and y with respect to t , respectively.

Remark 1.7: Every fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X of the form $(X, M, \alpha - M, *, \diamond)$ such that t - norm $*$ and t -conorm \diamond are associated, that is, $x \diamond y = \alpha - ((\alpha - x) * (\alpha - y))$ for any $x, y \in X$. But the converse is not true.

Example 1.8: (Induced intuitionistic fuzzy metric). Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define $a * b = a \wedge b$ and $a \diamond b = \min \{1, a + b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and let M_d and N_d be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defined as follows:

$$M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{ht^n}{ht^n + md(x,y)} \quad \text{and} \quad N_d(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x,y)}{kt^n + md(x,y)}$$

for all h, k, m and $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $(X, M_d, N_d, *, \diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Remark 1.9: Note that the above example holds even with the t -norm $a * b = \min \{a, b\}$ and t -conorm $a \diamond b = \max \{a, b\}$ and hence (M, N) is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric with respect to any continuous t -norm and continuous t -conorm.

In the above example by taking $h = k = m = n = 1$, we get

$$M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)} \quad \text{and} \quad N_d(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x,y)}{t+d(x,y)}$$

We call this intuitionistic fuzzy metric induced by a metric d the standard intuitionistic fuzzy metric.

Example 1.10: Let $X = \mathbb{N}$. Define $a * b = \max \{0, a + b - 1\}$ and $a \diamond b = (a + b - ab)$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and let M and N be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defined as Follows:

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2x}{y}, & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \frac{5y}{x}, & \text{if } y \leq x \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad N(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{3y-7x}{y}, & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \frac{5x-6y}{x}, & \text{if } y \leq x \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Then $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Remark 1.11: Note that, in the above example, t -norm $*$ and t -conorm \diamond are not associated, and there exists no metric d on X satisfying

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)} \quad \text{and} \quad N(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x,y)}{t+d(x,y)}$$

Where $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ are as defined in above example. Also note that the above functions (M, N) is not an intuitionistic fuzzy metric with the t -norm and t -conorm defined as $a * b = \min \{a, b\}$ and t -conorm $a \diamond b = \max \{a, b\}$.

Definition 1.12: Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

(a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called cauchy sequence if for each $t > 0$ and $p > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 0.$$

(b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is convergent to $x \in X$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0. \quad \text{for each } t > 0.$$

(c) An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 1.13: Let A and B be mappings from an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ into itself. Then the mappings are said to be reciprocally continuous if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABx_n = Az \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BAx_n = Bz,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BAx_n = z$, for some $z \in X$.

Remark 1.14: If A and B are both continuous then they are obviously reciprocally continuous. But the converse need not be true.

Example 1.15: Let $X = [4, 20]$ and d be the usual metric space X . Define mappings $A, B: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Ax = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = 4 \\ 13 & \text{if } x > 4 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Bx = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = 4 \\ 16 & \text{if } x > 4 \end{cases}$$

It may be noted that A and B are reciprocally continuous mappings but neither A nor B is continuous mappings.

We shall use the following lemmas to prove our next result without any further citation:

Lemma 1.16: In an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X , $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing and $N(x, y, \cdot)$ is non increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 1.17: Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, kt) = M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)$$

And

$$N(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, kt) = N(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)$$

For every $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Lemma 1.18: Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(x, y, kt) = M(x, y, t) \text{ and } N(x, y, kt) = N(x, y, t)$$

for $x, y \in X$. Then $x = y$.

Definition 1.19: Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two self maps on a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ then \mathcal{A} is called \mathcal{B} – absorbing if there exists a positive integer $R > 0$ such that

$$M(\mathcal{B}x, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}x, t) \geq M(\mathcal{B}x, \mathcal{A}x, t/R)$$

$$N(\mathcal{B}x, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}x, t) \leq N(\mathcal{B}x, \mathcal{A}x, t/R)$$

for all $x \in X$

Similarly \mathcal{B} is called \mathcal{A} - absorbing if there exists a positive integer $R > 0$ such that

$$M(\mathcal{A}x, \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}x, t) \geq M(\mathcal{A}x, \mathcal{B}x, t/R)$$

$$N(\mathcal{A}x, \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}x, t) \leq N(\mathcal{A}x, \mathcal{B}x, t/R)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.20: The map \mathcal{A} is called point wise \mathcal{B} - absorbing if for given $x \in X$, there exists a positive integer $R > 0$ such that

$$M(\mathcal{B}x, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}x, t) \geq M(\mathcal{B}x, \mathcal{A}x, t/R)$$

$$N(\mathcal{B}x, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}x, t) \leq N(\mathcal{B}x, \mathcal{A}x, t/R)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Similarly we can define point wise \mathcal{A} - absorbing maps.

Example 1.21: Let (X, d) be usual metric space where $X = [2, 20]$ and (M, N) be the usual intuitionistic fuzzy metric on $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ with

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t+|x-y|}, & t > 0 \\ 0, & t = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad N(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{|x-y|}{t+|x-y|}, & t > 0 \\ 1, & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

For $x, y \in X, t > 0$. We define

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 7 & \text{if } 2 \leq x \leq 6; \text{ and } x = 7 \\ 10 & \text{if } x > 7 \\ \frac{x-1}{2} & \text{if } x \in (5, 7) \end{cases}$$

and

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 2 \leq x \leq 7 \\ \frac{x+1}{3} & \text{if } x > 7 \end{cases}$$

If we choose $x_n = 7 + \frac{1}{2n}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then both pairs (A, B) and (B, A) are not compatible but A is B -absorbing and B is A -absorbing.

2.2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1: Let P be point wise S -absorbing and Q be point wise T – absorbing self maps on a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ with continuous t -norm defined by $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ and $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ where $a, b \in (0, 1)$, satisfying the conditions:

2.1 (I) $P(X) \subset T(X), Q(X) \subset S(X)$

2.1 (II) There exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$M(Px, Qy, kt) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{matrix} M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Px, Sx, t), M(Qy, Ty, t), \\ M(Px, Ty, t), M(Px, Qy, t), M(Ty, Ty, t) \end{matrix} \right\}$$

$$N(Px, Qy, kt) \leq \min \left\{ \begin{matrix} N(Sx, Ty, t), N(Px, Sx, t), N(Qy, Ty, t), \\ N(Px, Ty, t), N(Px, Qy, t), N(Ty, Ty, t) \end{matrix} \right\}$$

2.1 (III) for all $x, y \in X, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$

If the pair of maps (P, S) is reciprocal continuous compatible maps then P, Q, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: let x_0 be any arbitrary point in X , construct a sequence $y_n \in X$ such that
 $y_{2n-1} = Tx_{2n-1} = Px_{2n-2}$ and $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Qx_{2n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ (1)

This can be done by the virtue of 2.1(I).

By using contractive condition we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &= M(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), \\ M(Qx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Px_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), \\ M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), 1M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt)M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) \end{array} \right\} \\ N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &= N(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), N(Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), \\ N(Qx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), N(Px_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), N(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, t), N(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), \\ N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), 0N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, kt)N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Which implies,

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &\geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \\ N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &\leq N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \end{aligned}$$

In general $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t)$
 $N(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) \leq N(y_{n-1}, y_n, t)$ (1)

To prove $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, we have to show

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 0$$

(for $t > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on $p \in \mathbb{N}$), for this from (1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) &\geq M\left(y_{n-1}, y_n, \frac{t}{k}\right) \geq M\left(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right) \geq \dots \geq M\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{k^n}\right) \rightarrow 1 \\ N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) &\geq N\left(y_{n-1}, y_n, \frac{t}{k}\right) \geq N\left(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right) \geq \dots \geq N\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{k^n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}$, by (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) &\geq M(y_n, y_{n+1}, (1-k)t) * M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+p}, kt) \\ &\geq M\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{(1-k)t}{k^n}\right) * M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) * M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+p}, (k-1)t) \\ &\geq M\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{(1-k)t}{k^n}\right) * M\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{k^n}\right) * M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, t) * M(y_{n+3}, y_{n+p}, (k-2)t) \\ &\geq M\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{(1-k)t}{k^n}\right) * M\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{k^n}\right) * M\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{(1-k)t}{k^{n+2}}\right) * \dots * M\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{(k-p)t}{k^{n+p+1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) &\leq N(y_n, y_{n+1}, (1-k)t) \diamond N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+p}, kt) \\ &\leq N\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{(1-k)t}{k^n}\right) \diamond N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) \diamond N(y_{n+2}, y_{n+p}, (k-1)t) \\ &\leq N\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{(1-k)t}{k^n}\right) \diamond N\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{k^n}\right) \diamond N(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, t) \dots \dots \dots \diamond N\left(y_0, y_1, \frac{(k-p)t}{k^{n+p+1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) \rightarrow 1$ & $N(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) \rightarrow 0$ (for all $t > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on $p \in \mathbb{N}$).

Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

But $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is complete so there exists a point (say) z in X such that $\{y_n\} \rightarrow z$.

Also, using 2.1(I) we have

$$\{Px_{2n-2}\}, \{Tx_{2n-1}\}, \{Sx_{2n}\}, \{Qx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z.$$

Since the pair (P, S) is reciprocally continuous mappings, then we have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} PSx_{2n} = Pz \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SPx_{2n} = Sz$$

and compatibility of P and S yields,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(PSx_{2n}, SPx_{2n}, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(PSx_{2n}, SPx_{2n}, t) = 0.$$

i.e. $M(Pz, Sz, t) = 1$ and $N(Pz, Sz, t) = 0$.

Hence $Pz = Sz$.

Since $P(X) \subset T(X)$, then there exists a point u in X such that $Pz = Tu$.

Now by contractive condition, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} M(Pz, Qu, kt) &\geq \min \left\{ M(Sz, Tu, t), M(Pz, Sz, t), M(Qu, Tu, t), \right. \\ &\quad \left. M(Pz, Tu, t), M(Pz, Qu, t), M(Tu, Tu, t) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ M(Pz, Pz, t), M(Pz, Pz, t), M(Qu, Pz, t), \right. \\ &\quad \left. M(Pz, Pz, t), M(Pz, Qu, t), M(Tu, Tu, t) \right\} \\ &> M(Pz, Qu, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N(Pz, Qu, kt) &\leq \min \left\{ N(Sz, Tu, t), N(Pz, Sz, t), N(Qu, Tu, t), \right. \\ &\quad \left. N(Pz, Tu, t), N(Pz, Qu, t), N(Tu, Tu, t) \right\} \\ &\leq \min \left\{ N(Pz, Pz, t), N(Pz, Pz, t), N(Qu, Pz, t), \right. \\ &\quad \left. N(Pz, Pz, t), N(Pz, Qu, t), N(Tu, Tu, t) \right\} \\ &< N(Pz, Qu, t) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $Pz = Qu$.

Thus $Pz = Sz = Qu = Tu$.

Since P is S - absorbing then for $R > 0$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} M(Sz, SPz, t) &\geq M\left(Sz, Pz, \frac{t}{R}\right) = 1 \\ N(Sz, SPz, t) &\leq N\left(Sz, Pz, \frac{t}{R}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $Pz = SPz = Sz$.

Now by contractive condition, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} M(Pz, PPz, t) &= M(PPz, Qu, t) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ M(SPz, Tu, t), M(PPz, Su, t), \right. \\ &\quad \left. M(Qu, Tu, t), M(PPz, Tu, t), M(Pz, PPz, t), M(Tu, Tu, t) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ M(Pz, Pz, t), M(PPz, Pz, t), \right. \\ &\quad \left. M(Qu, Qu, t), M(PPz, Pz, t), M(Pz, PPz, t), M(Tu, Tu, t) \right\} \\ &= M(PPz, Pz, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N(Pz, PPz, t) &= N(PPz, Qu, t) \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \left(N(SPz, Tu, t), N(PPz, Su, t), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. N(Qu, Tu, t), N(PPz, Tu, t), N(Pz, PPz, t), N(Tu, Tu, t) \right) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \left(N(Pz, Pz, t), N(PPz, Pz, t), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. N(Qu, Qu, t), N(PPz, Pz, t), N(Pz, PPz, t), N(Tu, Tu, t) \right) \right\} \\ &= N(PPz, Pz, t) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $PPz = Pz = SPz$.

Therefore Pz is a common fixed point of P and S.

Similarly, T is Q – absorbing

Therefore we have, $M(Tu, TQu, t) \geq M\left(Tu, Qu, \frac{t}{R}\right) = 1$

$$N(Tu, TQu, t) \leq N\left(Tu, Qu, \frac{t}{R}\right) = 0$$

i.e. $Tu = TQu = Qu$.

Now by contractive condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(QQu, Qu, t) &= M(Pz, QQu, t) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} M(Sz, TQu, t), M(Pz, Su, t), \\ M(QQu, TQu, t), M(Pz, TQu, t) M(QQu, Qu, t) M(TQu, TQu, t) \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} M(Sz, Qu, t), M(Pz, Pz, t), \\ M(QQu, Qu, t), M(Pz, Qu, t) M(QQu, Qu, t) M(TQu, TQu, t) \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= M(QQu, Qu, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N(QQu, Qu, t) &= N(Pz, QQu, t) \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} N(Sz, TQu, t), N(Pz, Su, t), \\ N(QQu, TQu, t), N(Pz, TQu, t) N(QQu, Qu, t) N(TQu, TQu, t) \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} N(Sz, Qu, t), N(Pz, Pz, t), \\ N(QQu, Qu, t), N(Pz, Qu, t) N(QQu, Qu, t) N(TQu, TQu, t) \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= N(QQu, Qu, t) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $QQu = Qu = TQu$.

Hence $Qu = Pz$ is a common fixed point of P, Q, S and T .

Uniqueness of Pz can easily follows from contractive condition.

The proof is similar when Q and T are assumed compatible and reciprocally continuous.

This completes the proof. Now we prove the result by assuming the range of one of the mappings P, Q, S or T to be a complete subspace of X .

Corollary 2.2: Let P be point wise S - absorbing and Q be point wise T – absorbing self maps on an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ with continuous t -norm defined by $a * b = \min \{a, b\}$ and $a \diamond b = \max \{a, b\}$ where 2.2 $a, b \in [0, 1]$ satisfying the conditions:

(I) $P(X) \subseteq T(X), Q(X) \subseteq S(X)$

2.2 (II) There exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} M(Px, Qy, kt) &\geq \min \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Px, Sx, t), \\ M(Qy, Ty, t), M(Px, Ty, t) M(Px, Qy, t) M(Qy, Ty, t) \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ N(Px, Qy, kt) &\leq \min \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} N(Sx, Ty, t), N(Px, Sx, t), N(Qy, Ty, t), \\ N(Px, Ty, t) N(Px, Qy, t) N(Qy, Ty, t) \end{array} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

2.2.2 (III) for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$$

If the range of one of the mappings maps P, Q, S or T be a complete subspace of X . Then P, Q, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

3. REFERENCE

1. Aage, C. T. and Salunke, J. N., "On Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces." *Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math.*, 3 (2), (2010), 123-131.
2. Alaca, C., Altun, I. and Turkoglu, D., "On Compatible Mapping of Type (I) and (II) in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces." *Korean Mathematical Society*, 23(3), (1986), 771-779.
3. Atanssove, K., "Intuitionstic Fuzzy Sets." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 2(1), (1986), 87-96.
4. Banach, S. "Surles Operation Dansles Ensembles Abstraites Etleur Application Integrals." *Fund. Math.*, 3, (1922), 133-181.
5. Balasubramaniam, P., Muralisankar S., Pant R.P., "Common Fixed Points of Four Mappings in a Fuzzy Metric Space." *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 10 (2), (2002)
6. Cho, Y.J., Sharma, B.K. and Sahu, D.R., "Semi-Compatibility and Fixed Points." *Math. Japon.*, 42(1), (1995), 91-98.
7. Fang, J. X., "Common Fixed Point Theorems of Compatible and Weakly Compatible Maps in Menger Spaces." *Non Linear Analysis Theory, Methods & Applications Vol.71*, (2009), 1833-1843.
8. Jungck, G., "Fixed Points for Non Continuous Non Self Maps on Non Metric Spaces." *Far East. J. Math. Sci.*, 4(2), (1996), 199-215.
9. Kannan, R., "Some Results on Fixed Point Theorems." *Bull. Cat. Math. Soc.*, 60, (1968), 71-78.
10. Karapinar, E. Yuksel, U., "On Common Fixed Point Theorem without Commuting Conditions in TVS-Cone Metric Spaces." *J. Comput Anal Appl*, 13, (2011), 1123-1131.

11. Kutukcu Servet, Sharma Sushil and Tokgoz Hanifi, "A Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces." Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 1(18), (2007), 861-872, International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science, 3(1), (2011), 13-15.
12. Pant, R. P., "R-Weak Commutativity and Common Fixed Points." Soochow Journal Math, 25, (1999), 37-42.
13. Pathak, H.K., Kang, S.M. and Bae, J.H., "Weak Compatible Mappings of Type (A) and Common Fixed Points." Kyungbook Math. J., 35, (1995), 345- 359.
14. Popa, V., "Fixed Points for Non-Surjective Expansion Mappings Satisfying an Implicit Relation." Bull. Stiint. Univ. Baia Mare Ser. B. Fasc. Mat. Inform. 18(1), (2002), 105-108.
15. Singh, B. and Jain, S., "Semi Compatibility and Fixed Point Theorem in Menger Space." J. Chungcheong Math Soc., 17(1), (2004), 1
16. Zadeh, L.A., "Fuzzy sets." Inform and Control, 8, (1965), 338-353.
17. Zhang, Q, Song, Y., "Fixed Point Theory for Generalized Φ -Weakly Contraction." Appl. Math Lett., 22, (2009), 75-78.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2018, RJPA. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Research Journal of Pure Algebra (IRJPA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]