

ASSOCIATOR IN THE CENTER OF NONASSOCIATIVE RINGS

GOLLA KAVITHA*¹ AND THUMMALA RAMESH²

Department of Mathematics, RGUKT, RK Valley Kadapa (A.P.) - 516330, India.

(Received On: 10-05-19; Revised & Accepted On: 21-05-19)

ABSTRACT

We present some results on associators in the center of nonassociative rings. In this paper we show that if R is simple, characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ and satisfies $(R, (R, R, R)) = 0$, then R must be either associative or commutative.

Keywords: Nonassociative ring, center, associator, commutator, characteristic and simple ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

The great mathematician Thedy whose contribution towards the rings is great ppreciable. He has introduced that rings which satisfy the identity $(R, (R, R, R)) = 0$ (1)

Now by using his results we show that R is a simple ring of char. $\neq 2, 3$ and satisfies $(R, (R, R, R)) = 0$ then R must be either associative or commutative.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper we consider a nonassociative ring R , which satisfies $(R, (R, R, R)) = 0$..(2) Let R be a nonassociative ring. We shall denote commutator and the associator by $(x, y) = xy - yx$ and $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$ for all x, y, z in R respectively. By the center C of R , c in N such that $(c, R) = 0$. It is easily verified that N is a subring of R and C is a subring of N . Obviously, we note that $N = R$ if and only if R is an associative ring and $C = R$ if and only if R is associative and commutative. A ring R is said to be char. $\neq n$ if $nx = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$, for all $x \in R$ and $n \in N$. A ring R is said to be simple if whenever A is an ideal of R , then either $A = R$ or $A = 0$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In every ring the so called semi-Jacobi identity

$$(xy, z) = x(y, z) + (x, z)y + (x, y, z) + (z, x, y) - (x, z, y) \quad (3)$$

Lemma 3.1: V is an ideal of R .

Proof: Since $V \subset U$, it is sufficient to show that V is a right ideal. Let $v \in V$. Then for all $r, s \in R$, $vr \in U$ follows from the definition of V . Since (2) implies $(v, r, s) \in U$ and $vr.s = (v, r, s) + vr.s \in U$, it follows that $vr \in V$.

Theorem 3.1: If R is a simple ring of char. $\neq 2, 3$ and satisfies $(R, (R, R, R)) = 0$ then R is either associative or commutative.

Proof: Now very first to prove the theorem, assume that R is not commutative. Hence V is not equals to R .

Corresponding Author: Golla Kavitha*¹,

Department of Mathematics, Rgukt, RK Valley Kadapa (A.P.) - 516330, India.

Since R is simple and by the lemma.3.1 we reduce the case, where V is equals to zero. Then in every ring the Teichmuller identity is

$$(wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z \quad (4)$$

It follows that on expanding each side and using the associator definition.

Now by using (2) and every term of (4) is commute by r we have

$$\begin{aligned} [r, (wx, y, z)] - [r, (w, xy, z)] + [r, (w, x, yz)] &= [r, w(x, y, z)] + [r, (w, x, y)z] \\ \Rightarrow [r, w(x, y, z)] + [r, (w, x, y)z] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So that $[r, w(x, y, z)] = -[r, (w, x, y)z] = -[r, z(w, x, y)]$

Using (2). By permuting cyclically ($wzyx$) we get

$$[r, w(x, y, z)] = -[r, z(w, x, y)] = -[r, y(z, w, x)] = -[r, x(y, z, w,)] \quad (5)$$

Let the associator of R is a , which is an arbitrary

Let $y = x$ and $z = a$ in (3) and use (2).

Thus $(x^2, a) = x(x, a) + (x, a)x + (x, x, a) + (a, x, x) - (x, a, x)$

So that $(x, x, a) + (a, x, x) - (x, a, x) = 0 \quad (6)$

Now by using (6), multiplying with x on left and simultaneously commutating by z .

Then we get

$$(z, x((x, x, a) + (a, x, x) - (x, a, x))) = 0 \quad (7)$$

Using (5) and (7), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} -(z, a(x, x, x)) - (z, a(x, x, x)) - (z, a(x, x, x)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow -3(z, a(x, x, x)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(z, a(x, x, x)) = 0$

Now we change a with (b, c, d) . Because of an arbitrary associator a , we obtain

$$(z, (b, c, d)(x, x, x)) = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$(z, (x, x, x)(b, c, d)) = 0 \quad (9)$$

Applying (5) to (9), we obtain

$$-(z, ((x, x, x), b, c)d) = 0$$

Then $-(z, d((x, x, x), b, c)) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow (z, d((x, x, x), b, c)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -(z, c(d, (x, x, x), b)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (z, b(c, d, (x, x, x))) = 0$$

Thus $\Rightarrow (z, b(c, d, (x, x, x))) = 0 = (z, c(d, (x, x, x), b)) = 0 = (z, d((x, x, x), b, c)) \quad (10)$

By using (2) in the above we get

$$(b(c, d, (x, x, x))) = ((c, d, (x, x, x))b)$$

But (10) and (2) prove that

$$(c, d, (x, x, x)) \in V, (d, (x, x, x), b) \in V \text{ and } ((x, x, x), b, c) \in V$$

Since $V = 0$, (x, x, x) must be in the nucleus of R .

This is combined with (2) prove that (x, x, x) is in the center of R .

Next we apply (5) to $(z, x(x, x, x))$.

Thus $(z, x(x, x, x)) = -(z, x(x, x, x))$

This leads to $2 \not\approx x(x, x, x) = 0$
 So that $(z, x(x, x, x)) = 0$ (11)

Expanding $(x, (x, x, x), z) = 0$, by using the semi-Jacobi identity we have
 $0 = x((x, x, x), z) + (x, z)(x, x, x) + (x, (x, x, x), z) + (z, x, (x, x, x)) - (x, z, (x, x, x))$

Which implies that (x, x, x) is in the center. Hence we have left one term and which gives
 $(x, z)(x, x, x) = 0$ (12)

Now let $z = -x^2$ in (12) we get
 $(x, -x^2)(x, x, x) = 0$

Since $(x, -x^2) = -(x, x^2)$
 $= -(xx^2 - x^2x)$
 $= -x(xx) + (xx)x$
 $= (x, x, x)$

We obtain
 $(z, x(x, x, x)) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow (x, -x^2)(x, x, x) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow (x, x, x)(x, x, x) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow (x, x, x)^2 = 0$ (13)

Let $q = (x, x, x)$.
 That is the center element is q .
 So from (13) we get $q^2 = 0$.

Now it is clear that the ideal $q^2 = 0$ belongs to R . Which concludes that R is commutative as well as associative.

By our assumption we said that R is not commutative.

That is the ideal generated by q is zero.
 $\Rightarrow q = 0$

So $q = (x, x, x) = 0$
 Hence the proof.

REFERENCES

1. E. Kleinfeld, "A class of rings which are very nearly associative", Amer. Math. Monthly, 93,720-722, (1986).
2. E. Kleinfeld, "Rings with associators in the commutative center", Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. 104(1988), 10-12.
3. A. Thedy, "On rings with commutators in the nuclei", Math. Z., 119(1971), 213-218.
4. C.T Yen., "Simple rings of characteristic not with associators in left nuclei are associative", Tamkang J. Math. 33, No1 (2002), 93-95.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared
[Copy right © 2019, RJPA. All Rights Reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Research Journal of Pure Algebra (IRJPA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]