

ON THE ZEROS OF A POLYNOMIAL WITH RESTRICTED COEFFICIENTS

M. H. Gulzar\*

Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar-190006, India

E-mail: [gulzarmh@gmail.com](mailto:gulzarmh@gmail.com)

(Received on: 22-11-11; Accepted on: 09-12-11)

ABSTRACT

If  $P(z)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with decreasing coefficients, then all its zeros lie in  $|z| \leq 1$ . In this paper we present some generalizations of this result and a refinement of a result of Dewan and Bidkham.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2002): 30c10, 30c15.

Key words and phrases: polynomials, zeros, Enestrom-Keakeya theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS:

The following elegant result in the theory of the distribution of the zeros of polynomials is due to Enestrom and Keakeya (see[9]-[10]).

**Theorem: A** Let  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  whose coefficients satisfy

$$0 < a_0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n,$$

then  $P(z)$  has all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ .

Several extensions and generalizations of this result are found in the literature (see[1]-[8],[11]) Aziz and Zargar ([2]) relaxed the hypothesis of Theorem A in an interesting way and proved the following extension of Theorem A:

**Theorem: B** Let  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  such that for some  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$ka_n \geq a_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq a_1 \geq a_0 > 0,$$

then  $P(z)$  has all its zeros in  $|z + k - 1| \leq k$ .

Dewan and Bidkham [4] proved the following result:

**Theorem: C** Let  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with complex coefficients. If  $\text{Re } a_j = \alpha_j$  and

$\text{Im } a_j = \beta_j, j=0,1,2,\dots,n$  such that

$$0 < \alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_\lambda \geq \alpha_{\lambda-1} \geq \dots \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0 > 0,$$

where  $0 \leq \lambda \leq n$ , then all the zeros of  $P(z)$  lie in the circle

$$|z| \leq \frac{1}{|a_n|} \left\{ 2\alpha_\lambda - \alpha_n + 2 \sum_{j=0}^n |\beta_j| \right\}$$

Shah and Liman [11] also considered polynomials with complex coefficients and proved the following result:

\*Corresponding author: M. H. Gulzar\*, \*E-mail: [gulzarmh@gmail.com](mailto:gulzarmh@gmail.com)

**Theorem: D** Let  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with complex coefficients. If  $\text{Re } a_j = \alpha_j$  and  $\text{Im } a_j = \beta_j, j=0,1,2,\dots,n$  such that for some  $k \geq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq n-1$ ,

$$k\alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq k\alpha_\lambda \geq \alpha_{\lambda-1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0,$$

$$\beta_n \geq \beta_{n-1} \geq \dots \beta_{1 \geq} \beta_0 > 0,$$

then all the zeros of  $P(z)$  lie in

$$\left| z + \frac{\alpha_n}{a_n} (k-1) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|a_n|} \{ 2\alpha_\lambda - k\alpha_n - \alpha_0 + |\alpha_0| + \beta_n \}$$

Firstly, Theorem D is not correct, because  $k \geq 1$  has no meaning in the statement Moreover the authors claim that it is a generalization of Theorem B, which is absurd as the two results are in no way connected.

The purpose of this paper is to present the correct statement of Theorem D and then present an interesting generalization of the result which in particular provides a generalization and an extension of Theorem C .In Theorem D if we take  $k$  extremely large such that  $k\alpha_n \geq \alpha_{n-1}$ , the hypothesis will not work .The correct statement of the theorem is as follows:

**Theorem: 1.** Let  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with complex coefficients. If  $\text{Re } a_j = \alpha_j$  and  $\text{Im } a_j = \beta_j, j=0,1,2,\dots,n$  such that for some  $0 < k \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq n-1$ ,

$$k\alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_\lambda \geq \alpha_{\lambda-1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0,$$

$$\beta_n \geq \beta_{n-1} \geq \dots \beta_{1 \geq} \beta_0 > 0,$$

then all the zeros of  $P(z)$  lie in

$$\left| z - \frac{\alpha_n}{a_n} (1-k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|a_n|} \{ 2\alpha_\lambda - k\alpha_n - \alpha_0 + |\alpha_0| + \beta_n \}$$

As a generalization of this result, we shall prove the following result:

**Theorem: 2** Let  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with complex coefficients. If  $\text{Re } a_j = \alpha_j$ ,

$\text{Im } a_j = \beta_j, j = 0,1,2,\dots,n$  and for some real numbers  $0 < k \leq 1, 0 < \tau \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq n-1$ ,

$$k\alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_\lambda \geq \alpha_{\lambda-1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_1 \geq \tau\alpha_0,$$

$$\beta_n \geq \beta_{n-1} \geq \dots \beta_{1 \geq} \beta_0 > 0,$$

then all the zeros of  $p(z)$  lie in

$$\left| z - \frac{\alpha_n}{a_n} (1-k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|a_n|} \{ 2\alpha_\lambda - k\alpha_n + 2|\alpha_0| - \tau(|\alpha_0| + \alpha_0) + \beta_n \}.$$

**Corollary: 1** If the coefficients are  $>0$  then, under the conditions of Theorem 2 , all the zeros of  $P(z)$  lie in

$$\left| z - \frac{\alpha_n}{a_n} (1-k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{a_n} \{ 2\alpha_\lambda - k\alpha_n + 2\alpha_0(1-\tau) + \beta_n \}$$

Taking k=1 in corollary 1, we get the following result:

**Corollary: 2** If P(z) is a polynomial satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2, then all the zeros of P(z) lie in

$$|z| \leq \frac{1}{a_n} \{2\alpha_\lambda + 2\alpha_0(1 - \tau) + \beta_n - \alpha_n\}$$

**Remark: 1** For k=1 and  $\tau = 1$ , corollary 1 reduces to Theorem C due to Dewan and Bidkham.

**Remark: 2** If all the coefficients of P(z) are real and  $\tau = 1$  corollary 2 reduces to Enestrom- Kakeya Theorem.

**Remark: 3.** If the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied by the imaginary parts of the coefficients, then we are able to prove the following interesting result which follows by applying Theorem 2 to  $-iP(z)$ .

**Theorem: 3** Let Let P (z) =  $\sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  be a polynomial of degree n with complex coefficients. If.

**Re  $a_j = \alpha_j$ , Im  $a_j = \beta_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$**  and for some real numbers

**$0 < k \leq 1, 0 < \tau \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq n - 1,$**

$$\alpha_n \geq \alpha_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0 \geq 0$$

$$k\beta_n \leq \beta_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \beta_\lambda \geq \beta_{\lambda-1} \geq \dots \beta_1 \geq \beta_0 \tau,$$

then all the zeros of P(z) lie in

$$\left| z - \frac{\beta_n}{a_n} (1 - k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|a_n|} \{2\beta_\lambda - k\beta_n + 2|\beta_0| - \tau(|\beta_0| + \beta_0) + \alpha_n\}.$$

**2. PROOF OF THEOREM:**

**Proof of Theorem: 2** Consider the polynomial

$$F(z) = (1-z) (a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0)$$

$$= -a_n z^{n+1} + (a_n - a_{n-1})z^n + \dots + (a_{\lambda+1} - a_\lambda)z^{\lambda+1} + (a_\lambda - a_{\lambda-1})z^\lambda + \dots + (a_1 - a_0)z + a_0$$

$$= -a_n z^{n+1} + (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})z^n + \dots + (\alpha_{\lambda+1} - \alpha_\lambda)z^{\lambda+1} + (\alpha_\lambda - \alpha_{\lambda-1})z^\lambda + \dots + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_0)z + \alpha_0$$

$$+ i\{(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})z^n + \dots + (\beta_1 - \beta_0)z + \beta_0\}$$

$$= -a_n z^{n+1} - k\alpha_n z^n + \alpha_n z^n + (k\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})z^n + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2})z^{n-1} + \dots + (\alpha_{\lambda+1} - \alpha_\lambda)z^{\lambda+1}$$

$$+ (\alpha_\lambda - \alpha_{\lambda-1})z^\lambda + \dots + (\alpha_1 - \tau\alpha_0)z + (\tau - 1)\alpha_0 z + \alpha_0 + i\{(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})z^n + \dots + (\beta_1 - \beta_0)z + \beta_0\}$$

For  $|z| > 1$ , we have

$$|F(z)| \geq \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left| a_n z + k\alpha_n - \alpha_n \right| - \left\{ |k\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + \frac{|\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}|}{|z|} + \dots + \frac{|\alpha_\lambda - \alpha_{\lambda+1}|}{|z|^{n-\lambda-1}} + \frac{|\alpha_\lambda - \alpha_{\lambda-1}|}{|z|^{n-\lambda}} \right\} \\ & + \dots \frac{|\alpha_1 - \tau\alpha_0|}{|z|^{n-1}} + \frac{|1 - \tau||\alpha_0|}{|z|^{n-1}} + \frac{|\alpha_0|}{|z|^n} \left\} - \left\{ |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + \dots + \frac{|\beta_1 - \beta_0|}{|z|^{n-1}} + \frac{|\beta_0|}{|z|^n} \right\} \right] \\ > |z|^n \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left| a_n z - (1 - k)\alpha_n \right| - \left\{ -k\alpha_n + \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-2} + \dots + \alpha_\lambda - \alpha_{\lambda+1} + \alpha_\lambda - \alpha_{\lambda-1} + \dots + \alpha_1 - \tau\alpha_0 \right\} \\ & + (1 - \tau)|\alpha_0| + |\alpha_0| + (\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}) + \dots + (\beta_1 - \beta_0) + \beta_0 \end{aligned} \right] \\ = |a_n| |z|^n \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left| z - (1 - k) \frac{\alpha_n}{a_n} \right| - \frac{1}{|a_n|} \left\{ -k\alpha_n + 2\alpha_\lambda + 2|\alpha_0| - \tau(|\alpha_0| + \alpha_0) + \beta_n \right\} \right] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\left| z - \frac{\alpha_n}{a_n}(1-k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|a_n|} \{ 2\alpha_\lambda - k\alpha_n + 2|\alpha_0| - \tau(|\alpha_0| + \alpha_0) + \beta_n \}$$

This shows that the zeros of F (z) having modulus greater than 1 lie in

$$\left| z - \frac{\alpha_n}{a_n}(1-k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|a_n|} \{ 2\alpha_\lambda - k\alpha_n + 2|\alpha_0| - \tau(|\alpha_0| + \alpha_0) + \beta_n \}$$

But those zeros of F (z) whose modulus is less than or equal to 1 already satisfy the above inequality. Hence, we conclude that all the zeros of F (z) lie in the disk

$$\left| z - \frac{\alpha_n}{a_n}(1-k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|a_n|} \{ 2\alpha_\lambda - k\alpha_n + 2|\alpha_0| - \tau(|\alpha_0| + \alpha_0) + \beta_n \}$$

Since every zero of P (z) is also a zero of F (z) ,it follows that all the zeros of P(z)lie in the disk

$$\left| z - \frac{\alpha_n}{a_n}(1-k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|a_n|} \{ 2\alpha_\lambda - k\alpha_n + 2|\alpha_0| - \tau(|\alpha_0| + \alpha_0) + \beta_n \}$$

That completes the proof of the theorem 2.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] N. Anderson, E. B. Saff, R. S. Verga, *An extension of the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem and its sharpness*, SIAM. Math. Anal, 12(1981), 10-22.

[2] A. Aziz and B. A. Zargar, *Some extensions of the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem*, GlasnikMathematiki, 31(1996), 239 – 244.

[3] K. K. Dewan, N. K. Govil, *On the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem*, J. Approx. Theory, 42(1984), 239-244.

[4] Dewan K. K., Bidkham M., *On the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem*, J.Math.Anal.Appl.180 (1993)29-36.

[5] R. B. Gardner, N. K. Govil , *Some generalisations of the Enestrom-Kakeya theorem*, Acta math. Hungar Vol. 4(1997), 125-134.

[6] N. K. Govil and G. N. Mctume, *Some extensions of Enestrom-Kakeya Theorm*, Int.J. Appl. Math., Vol.11, No.3, 2002, 245-253.

[7] N. K. Govil and Q. I. Rahman, *On the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem*, Tohoku Math.J.,20(1968), 126-136.

[8] A. Joyal, G. Labelle, Q. I. Rahman, *On the location of zeros of polynomials*, CanadianMath. Bull, 10(1967) 55-63.

[9] M. Marden, *Geometry of polynomials*, IInd Ed., Math. Surveys, No.3, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I, 1996.

[10] G. V. Milovanoic, D. S. Mitrinovic and Th. M. Rassias, *Topics in polynomials, Extremal properties, Inequalities Zeros*, World Scientific publishing Co. Singapore, New, London, Honh-kong, 1994

[11] W. M. Shah, A. Liman, *On Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem and related analytic functions*,Proc.Indian Acad.Sci. (Math. Sci.) Vol.117, No.3 August 2007, pp359-370.

\*\*\*\*\*